Astigmatic Refractive Error: Introduction

Basic Optics, Chapter 10





In Chapter 5, we learned that refractive error is fundamentally a *Far Point* problem. The far point of the myopic eye is just anterior to the cornea, whereas the far point of the hyperopic eye is behind the eye. Absent correction or accommodation, neither is in focus at distance.





In Chapter 8, we employed the *Error Lens* concept to explain why the far point of the myopic and hyperopic eyes are located where they are.





In Chapter 6, we summarized refraction thusly: Place a lens in front of an eye so that the secondary focal point of the lens coincides with the far point of the eye.





To offset the error lens, the corrective lens needs to be of equal but opposite power (except for the adjustment in power needed to account for vertex distance).



To offset the error lens, the corrective lens needs to be of equal but opposite power (except for the adjustment in power needed to account for vertex distance).

But note that the discussion thus far has dealt solely with *spherical* refractive error (and therefore with spherical error lenses).



By definition, a *spherical* lens has equal power in all meridia, and focuses parallel rays to a single point at its secondary focal point*

*Bear in mind we are discussing **idealized** lenses here. We will see in a chapter on *Aberrations* that a true point-focus is exceedingly hard to come by!



In a *spherocylindrical* lens, however, the dioptric powers are *not* equal in all meridia, so light will *not* be focused to a single point!



In a *spherocylindrical* lens, however, the dioptric powers are *not* equal in all meridia, so light will *not* be focused to a single point!



Spherocylindrical lens



How does a spherocylindrical lens focus light?

To answer this, first consider that a spherocylindrical lens consists, in essence, of two cylindrical lenses of differing dioptric powers oriented 90° apart

Spherocylindrical lens =

Less plus power in this meridian

More plus power in this meridian

Cylindrical lens

Less plus power (you can tell because it's less steeply curved)



+

How does a spherocylindrical lens focus light?

To answer this, first consider that a spherocylindrical lens consists, in essence, of two cylindrical lenses of differing dioptric powers oriented 90° apart







How does a spherocylindrical lens focus light?

To answer this, first consider that a spherocylindrical lens consists, in essence, of two cylindrical lenses of differing dioptric powers oriented 90° apart



Spherical lens =





By the way...A *spherical* lens can be thought of as two cylindrical lenses of *identical* dioptric powers oriented 90° apart...



Spherical lens

Cylindrical lens + Cylindrical lens + Cylindrical lens



...or for that matter, as THREE cylindrical lenses of identical power oriented 60° apart, or four at 45°, etc. But for now, just think of it as two identical cylinders at 90° to one another.









Consider a cylinder, oriented as shown, that is encountering parallel rays from a point at infinity...





(*This is important!* The separateness of the rays in the drawing seems to indicate that they originate at different locations on the source of origin. *They do not!* They originated from a single point, but are so far removed from that point that their relative vergence is now zero.)

Consider a cylinder, oriented as shown, that is encountering parallel rays from a point at infinity...



Consider a cylinder, oriented as shown, that is encountering parallel rays from a point at infinity...

























So, if a spherocylindrical lens is composed of two cylindrical lenses of different dioptric powers oriented 90° apart...



So, if a spherocylindrical lens is composed of two cylindrical lenses of different dioptric powers oriented 90° apart...a spherocylindrical lens must do something like this. Let's examine it in more detail.

Consider a spherocylindrical lens that is the dioptric equivalent of +1D and +2D cylinders oriented thusly:





Consider a spherocylindrical lens that is the dioptric equivalent of +1D and +2D cylinders oriented thusly:



Note: The +1D and +2D labels are pointing to the **meridia** of power (090 and 180, respectively). The **axis** of +1D power is at 180; of +2D, 090.





Consider a spherocylindrical lens that is the dioptric equivalent of +1D and +2D cylinders oriented thusly:



Note: The +1D and +2D labels are pointing to the **meridia** of power (090 and 180, respectively). The **axis** of +1D power is at 180; of +2D, 090.

Let's examine the light as it moves along its post-refraction path





The rays are converging in both vertical and horizontal aspects. The horizontal is converging faster because there is more power in that meridian.





The rays have converged further to form a *vertical focal line*. Note that the rays are continuing to converge vertically as well (i.e., the line is shorter than the previous oval).





The rays have converged further to form a *vertical focal line*. Note that the rays are continuing to converge vertically as well (i.e., the line is shorter than the previous oval). *What is the distance from the lens to this anterior focal line?*





The rays have converged further to form a *vertical focal line*. Note that the rays are continuing to converge vertically as well (i.e., the line is shorter than the previous oval). *What is the distance from the lens to this anterior focal line*?

A +2D cylinder will form a focal **line** at a distance of 1/2 = .5 meters.





The rays are continuing to converge vertically, so the height of the figure continues to shrink. However, the horizontal rays, having converged to form a focal line, are now **diverging**. Thus the width is now *increasing*.





(We purposely skipped this area for now)

41











pattern of light is morphing from a vertical oval to a horizontal oval. Does it make sense that, at some point in this process, the light pattern will form...

46















Note that this circle (about which we will have **much** more to say shortly) is located at the dioptric 'halfway point' between the focal lines (i.e., 1.5 is halfway between 1.0 and 2.0). But be sure to note also that the dioptric halfway point is **not** the same as the **geometric** halfway point (which would be at .75 m in this case).



So **at last**, we can see now how a spherocylindrical lens focuses parallel rays—not to a single secondary focal point, but rather to a pair of secondary focal **lines** separated by a **circle** of as yet unidentified significance. (To be continued in the next chapter.)