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OBJECTIVES OF PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN® GUIDELINES

As a service to its members and the public, the American Academy of Ophthalmology has developed a series of Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines that identify characteristics and components of quality eye care. Appendix 1 describes the core criteria of quality eye care.

The Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are based on the best available scientific data as interpreted by panels of knowledgeable health professionals. In some instances, such as when results of carefully conducted clinical trials are available, the data are particularly persuasive and provide clear guidance. In other instances, the panels have to rely on their collective judgment and evaluation of available evidence.

These documents provide guidance for the pattern of practice, not for the care of a particular individual. While they should generally meet the needs of most patients, they cannot possibly best meet the needs of all patients. Adherence to these PPPs will not ensure a successful outcome in every situation. These practice patterns should not be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care reasonably directed at obtaining the best results. It may be necessary to approach different patients’ needs in different ways. The physician must make the ultimate judgment about the propriety of the care of a particular patient in light of all of the circumstances presented by that patient. The American Academy of Ophthalmology is available to assist members in resolving ethical dilemmas that arise in the course of ophthalmic practice.

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are not medical standards to be adhered to in all individual situations. The Academy specifically disclaims any and all liability for injury or other damages of any kind, from negligence or otherwise, for any and all claims that may arise out of the use of any recommendations or other information contained herein.

References to certain drugs, instruments, and other products are made for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to constitute an endorsement of such. Such material may include information on applications that are not considered community standard, that reflect indications not included in approved U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling, or that are approved for use only in restricted research settings. The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA status of each drug or device he or she wishes to use, and to use them with appropriate patient consent in compliance with applicable law.

Innovation in medicine is essential to ensure the future health of the American public, and the Academy encourages the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic methods that will improve eye care. It is essential to recognize that true medical excellence is achieved only when the patients’ needs are the foremost consideration.

All Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are reviewed by their parent panel annually or earlier if developments warrant and updated accordingly. To ensure that all PPPs are current, each is validated for 5 years from the approved by date unless superseded by a revision. Preferred Practice Pattern guidelines are funded by the Academy without commercial support. Authors and reviewers of PPPs are volunteers and do not receive any financial compensation for their contributions to the documents. The PPPs are externally reviewed by experts and stakeholders, including consumer representatives, before publication. The PPPs are developed in compliance with the Council of Medical Specialty Societies’ Code for Interactions with Companies. The Academy has a relationship with Industry Procedures (available at www.aao.org/about-preferred-practice-patterns) to comply with the Code.

The intended users of Section I of the Pediatric Eye Evaluations PPP are physicians, nurses, and other providers who perform eye and vision screening. The intended users of Section II of the Pediatric Eye Evaluations PPP are ophthalmologists.
METHODS AND KEY TO RATINGS

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines should be clinically relevant and specific enough to provide useful information to practitioners. Where evidence exists to support a recommendation for care, the recommendation should be given an explicit rating that shows the strength of evidence. To accomplish these aims, methods from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network¹ (SIGN) and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation² (GRADE) group are used. GRADE is a systematic approach to grading the strength of the total body of evidence that is available to support recommendations on a specific clinical management issue. Organizations that have adopted GRADE include SIGN, the World Health Organization, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, and the American College of Physicians.³

- All studies used to form a recommendation for care are graded for strength of evidence individually, and that grade is listed with the study citation.
- To rate individual studies, a scale based on SIGN¹ is used. The definitions and levels of evidence to rate individual studies are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I++</td>
<td>High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I+</td>
<td>Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-</td>
<td>Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II++</td>
<td>High-quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II+</td>
<td>High-quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-</td>
<td>Case-control or cohort studies with a moderate probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Nonanalytic studies (e.g., case reports, case series)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Recommendations for care are formed based on the body of the evidence. The body of evidence quality ratings are defined by GRADE² as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good quality</td>
<td>Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate quality</td>
<td>Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient quality</td>
<td>Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any estimate of effect is very uncertain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Key recommendations for care are defined by GRADE² as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Used when the desirable effects of an intervention clearly outweigh the undesirable effects or clearly do not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary</td>
<td>Used when the trade-offs are less certain—either because of low-quality evidence or because evidence suggests that desirable and undesirable effects are closely balanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Highlighted Recommendations for Care section lists points determined by the PPP Panel to be of particular importance to vision and quality of life outcomes.
- All recommendations for care in this PPP were rated using the system described above. Ratings are embedded throughout the PPP main text in italics.
- Literature searches to update the PPP were undertaken in March 2016 in the PubMed and Cochrane databases. Complete details of the literature searches are available in Appendix 4.
HIGHLIGHTED RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CARE

Amblyopia meets the World Health Organization guidelines for a disease that benefits from screening because it is an important health problem for which there is an accepted treatment, it has a recognizable latent or early symptomatic stage, and a suitable test or examination is available to diagnose the condition before permanent vision loss occurs.

Vision screening should be performed at an early age and at regular intervals throughout childhood. The elements of vision screening vary depending on the age and level of cooperation of the child.

TABLE 2  AGE-APPROPRIATE METHODS FOR PEDIATRIC VISION SCREENING AND CRITERIA FOR REFERRAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Indications for Referral</th>
<th>Recommended Age</th>
<th>Every 1–2 yrs after age 5 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red reflex test</td>
<td>Absent, white, dull, opacified, or asymmetric</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>External inspection</strong></td>
<td>Structural abnormality (e.g., ptosis)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pupillary examination</strong></td>
<td>Irregular shape, unequal size, poor or unequal reaction to light</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fix and follow</strong></td>
<td>Failure to fix and follow</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corneal light reflection</strong></td>
<td>Asymmetric or displaced</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Instrument-based screening</strong></td>
<td>Failure to meet screening criteria</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cover test</strong></td>
<td>Refixation movement</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance visual acuity† (monocular)</strong></td>
<td>Worse than 20/50 either eye or 2 lines of differences between the eyes</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worse than 20/40 either eye</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worse than 3 of 5 optotypes on 20/30 line, or 2 lines of difference between the eyes</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>•</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: These recommendations are based on panel consensus. If screening is inconclusive or unsatisfactory, the child should be retested within 6 months; if inconclusive on retesting or if retesting cannot be performed, referral for a comprehensive eye evaluation is indicated.4

* Subjective visual acuity testing is preferred to instrument-based screening in children who are able to participate reliably. Instrument-based screening is useful for some young children and those with developmental delays.

† LEA Symbols5 (Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL), HOTV, and Sloan Letters6 are preferred optotypes.
The choice and arrangement of optotypes (letters, numbers, symbols) on an eye chart can significantly affect the visual acuity score obtained. The preferred optotypes are LEA symbols, Sloan letters, and HOTV, because they are standardized and validated.

Vision testing with single optotypes is likely to overestimate visual acuity in a patient who has amblyopia. A more accurate assessment of monocular visual acuity is obtained by presenting a line of optotypes or a single optotype with crowding bars that surround (or crowd) the optotype being identified.

Refractive correction should be prescribed for children according to the following guidelines.

### TABLE 3 GUIDELINES FOR REFRACTIVE CORRECTION IN INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Age &lt;1 year</th>
<th>Age 1 to &lt;2 years</th>
<th>Age 2 to &lt;3 years</th>
<th>Age 3 to &lt;4 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoametropia (similar refractive error in both eyes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>5.00 or more</td>
<td>4.00 or more</td>
<td>3.00 or more</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia (no manifest deviation)</td>
<td>6.00 or more</td>
<td>5.00 or more</td>
<td>4.50 or more</td>
<td>3.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia with esotropia</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>3.00 or more</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisometropia (without strabismus)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>4.00 or more</td>
<td>3.00 or more</td>
<td>3.00 or more</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
<td>1.50 or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** These values were generated by consensus and are based solely on professional experience and clinical impressions because there are no scientifically rigorous published data for guidance. The exact values are unknown and may differ among age groups; they are presented as general guidelines that should be tailored to the individual child. Specific guidelines for older children are not provided because refractive correction is determined by the severity of the refractive error, visual acuity, and visual symptoms.

*The values represent the minimum difference in the magnitude of refractive error between eyes that would prompt refractive correction. Threshold for correction of anisometropia should be lower if the child has strabismus.

Instrument-based screening techniques, such as photoscreening and autorefraction, are useful for assessing amblyopia and reduced-vision risk factors for children ages 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, as this is a critical time for visual development. Instrument-based screening can occur for children at age 6 years and older when children cannot participate in optotype-based screening.
SECTION I. VISION SCREENING IN THE PRIMARY CARE AND COMMUNITY SETTING

INTRODUCTION

Vision screening for children is an evaluation to detect reduced visual acuity or risk factors that threaten the healthy growth and development of the eye and visual system.

Vision screening in the primary care setting is usually performed by a nurse or other trained health professional during routine pediatric examinations. Vision screening in the community setting may be performed in preschools, in daycares, at schools, or at health fairs. Community screenings can be performed by health professionals or trained lay personnel.

PATIENT POPULATION

Infants and children through age 18 years.

OBJECTIVES FOR VISION SCREENING

- Educate screening personnel
- Assess vision, ocular alignment, and the presence of ocular structural abnormalities
- Communicate the screening results and follow-up plan to the family/caregiver in a manner that follows their language and cultural needs
- Refer all children who either fail screening or who are unable to cooperate for testing for a comprehensive eye examination
- Verify that the recommended comprehensive eye examination has occurred

BACKGROUND

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF VISION-THREATENING CHILDHOOD OCULAR CONDITIONS

The frequency of ocular conditions that cause severe vision impairment or blindness in children varies considerably around the world (see Table 1). In low-income countries, cataracts and corneal conditions resulting from infectious disease are frequent causes of severe vision impairment and blindness. In middle-income countries, retinopathy of prematurity (ROP) is an important cause. In high-income countries, including the United States, conditions of the optic nerve and higher visual pathways, frequently associated with prematurity, are major causes of severe vision impairment and blindness. Additionally, cataract, hereditary diseases (particularly retinal dystrophies), and congenital abnormalities are important causes worldwide. In high-income countries, severe vision-threatening eye problems observed within the first year of life include congenital cataract, ROP, congenital glaucoma, retinoblastoma (a vision- and life-threatening malignancy), and cerebral visual impairment. Accurate incidence and prevalence data on many of these conditions are lacking because they are uncommon, and obtaining good estimates of incidence and prevalence would require very large studies, ideally population-based. Other more common childhood ocular problems causing vision impairment include strabismus, amblyopia, refractive problems, and uveitis. Table 1 lists prevalence and incidence data for these childhood ocular conditions.
Strabismus is a binocular misalignment. The common types of strabismus are esotropia (inwardly deviating eyes) and exotropia (outwardly deviating eyes). Prevalence increases with age during childhood for both types. In the United States, the prevalence rates are similar for esotropia and exotropia. However, in Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, and China, and in children of East Asian descent in the United States, esotropia is more frequent than exotropia.

Amblyopia is an abnormality of visual development characterized by decreased best-corrected visual acuity in a normal eye or in an eye with a structural abnormality in which visual acuity is not fully attributable to the structural anomaly of the eye. (See Amblyopia PPP.) Amblyopia may be unilateral or bilateral and is best treated in early childhood for optimal outcomes. However, recent data show that amblyopia may be treated and possibly improved even in the teenage years.

The prevalence of amblyopia varies by race/ethnicity. For example, amblyopia was detected in 2.6% of Hispanic/Latino children and 1.5% of African American children 30 to 72 months old in one study of Los Angeles County children. Approximately half of amblyopia is secondary to strabismus (mainly esotropia) and the other half is from other causes such as high refractive errors or anisometropia (asymmetric refractive errors), or is in conjunction with structural ocular problems.

The odds of having amblyopia are 6.5 to 26 times greater when anisometropia is present and 2.7 to 18 times greater when strabismus is present. Amblyopia is unusual in children with intermittent exotropia. The prevalence of amblyopia in children with developmental delay is sixfold greater than in children who were healthy, full-term infants. Recent studies found that the prevalence of...
strabismic amblyopia appears to be similar in left and right eyes; however, most studies confirm a greater percentage (53% to 64%) of anisometropic amblyopia in left eyes. In the United States, amblyopia affects over 6 million people, and it is responsible for permanently reduced vision in more people under the age of 45 than all other causes of visual disability combined.

Refractive error is the most common cause of reduced vision in children. Visually important refractive errors include high hyperopia, moderate to high astigmatism, moderate to high myopia, and asymmetric refractive errors (anisometropia). An estimated 5% to 7% of preschool children in the United States have visually significant refractive errors. Twenty-five percent of children between the ages of 6 and 18 years would benefit from corrective lenses for refractive error or other reasons. Incidence rates vary with age and race/ethnicity.

Premature birth is a risk factor for severe visual impairment and blindness in childhood. The most common ocular problem in preterm infants is ROP. The frequency and severity of ROP is inversely related to gestational age and birth weight. Preterm infants also have higher rates of amblyopia, strabismus, refractive error, optic atrophy, and cerebral visual impairment. Children with severe ROP have a lifelong risk of developing glaucoma and retinal detachment. The visual impairment is often accompanied by cerebral palsy, epilepsy, and other motor and intellectual disabilities. Experts recognize that among children with visual disability, cerebral impairment is an important contributor to vision loss. Some studies suggest that at least 25% of children with visual impairment in Europe have a cerebral and/or optic nerve component. However, there is a lack of robust population-based studies for accurate incidence or prevalence data.

Uveitis, although less common, is recognized as an important and treatable cause of ocular morbidity in children. Uveitis can be due to many infectious or inflammatory causes; specific causes are juvenile idiopathic arthritis and toxoplasma retinochoroiditis. Children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis should have an ophthalmic examination within 1 month of diagnosis of the arthritis to rule out uveitis. Prompt diagnosis and treatment of the uveitis are critical to preserving visual function, and it is essential to identify any associated systemic infectious or inflammatory disease. The onset of keratoconus is commonly in puberty. The corneal ectasia progresses most rapidly in young people and, if recognized at this stage, treatment with cornea cross linking may help to stabilize the cornea contour.

RATIONAL FOR PERIODIC VISION SCREENING

The purpose of periodic vision screening and ocular assessment is to identify children who may have eye disorders, particularly disorders that contribute to the development of amblyopia, at a sufficiently early age to allow effective treatment. Parents or caregivers may be unaware of the consequences of delayed care. Because amblyopia does not always present with signs or symptoms that are apparent to parents or caregivers, children with amblyopia may seem to have normal visual function until formally tested. Amblyopia, therefore, meets the World Health Organization guidelines for a disease that benefits from screening because it is an important health problem for which there is an accepted treatment, it has a recognizable latent or early symptomatic stage, and a suitable test or examination is available to diagnose the condition.

Vision screening should be performed periodically throughout childhood. The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Recommendations for Pediatric Preventive Health Care, or Bright Futures Periodicity, highlight the importance of scheduled vision screening. The combined sensitivity of a series of screening encounters is much higher than that of a single screening test, particularly if different methods are used based on the child’s age and abilities. In addition, eye problems can present at different stages throughout childhood.

Several governmental and service organizations have developed policies on vision screening and most clinical authorities, including the American Academy of Ophthalmology, American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, AAP, American Association of Certified Orthoptists and United States Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), recommend some form of periodic vision screening for asymptomatic children. The USPSTF found indirect evidence demonstrating that a number of screening tests can identify many preschool-aged children who have vision problems. It found further indirect evidence suggesting that treatment for amblyopia or unilateral refractive error (with or without amblyopia) is associated with improvement in visual acuity compared with no treatment. However, no randomized controlled trials or cohort studies were identified that explicitly addressed the optimal timing or process of pediatric vision screening from birth to 18 years of age.
The 2011 USPSTF Evidence Synthesis report\textsuperscript{85, 96} and a Cochrane Review\textsuperscript{97} found insufficient evidence for or against vision screening for asymptomatic children younger than 3 years of age, but they did find evidence supporting vision screening for children ages 3 to 5 years. The 2017 USPSTF report recommends vision screening for children ages 3 to 5 years of age to detect amblyopia or its risk factors.\textsuperscript{98} (I++, good evidence, strong recommendation) Studies are needed to ascertain whether there are any risks for potential unintended harm from screening.\textsuperscript{81}

Although there is limited direct evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of preschool vision screening in reducing the prevalence of amblyopia or in improving other health outcomes, a convincing chain of indirect evidence supports the practice of preschool vision screening. Several methods of vision screening in preschoolers have been shown to be effective in detecting children at risk for amblyopia, and amblyopia treatment results in an improvement in visual acuity relative to no treatment.\textsuperscript{91, 94, 101, 102} In addition, mounting evidence indicates that successful treatment of visual disability sustains or improves quality of life.\textsuperscript{103, 104} The earlier amblyopia is detected and properly treated, the higher the likelihood of visual acuity recovery.\textsuperscript{47, 80-83, 105-110} With rare exceptions, amblyopia results in lifelong permanent visual loss and potential detrimental consequences in educational achievement, sports participation, psychosocial well-being, and occupational selection if it is untreated or insufficiently treated in early childhood.\textsuperscript{100, 112} Recognizing such indirect evidence, and despite limitations of direct evidence, expert opinion supports vision screening throughout childhood in primary care and community settings.\textsuperscript{92, 94}

**VISION SCREENING PROCESS**

The optimal timing and method of pediatric vision screening have not been definitively established. Guidelines for pediatric vision screening continue to evolve as new tests are introduced and new studies are completed.

**HISTORY**

A history that addresses risk factors for eye problems is important. This history is readily obtained in settings where a primary caregiver is likely to be present, but it is more challenging to assess at screenings performed in daycare settings and schools.

Parental/caregiver observations on the overall quality of the child’s vision, eye alignment, and structural features of the eyes and ocular adnexa are invaluable. Poor eye contact by the infant with the caretaker after 8 weeks of age may warrant further assessment. A detailed family history of vision problems, including strabismus, amblyopia, congenital cataract, congenital glaucoma, retinoblastoma, and ocular or systemic genetic disease, should be elicited whenever possible. Special attention should be paid to children with a history of known medical risk factors for the development of vision problems, including prematurity, Down syndrome, and cerebral palsy. The presence of neuropsychological conditions or learning issues in school should be sought. At each well-child check or subsequent screening, the screener should ask about the overall quality of the child’s vision. Children who have medical conditions that place them at higher risk for eye problems should receive a comprehensive ophthalmic examination soon after diagnosis. The parent or caregiver should maintain regular contact with the eye care provider and follow the schedule for future examinations set by that provider.

**VISION SCREENING AND REFERRAL PLAN**

The elements of vision screening vary depending on the age and level of cooperation of the child (see Table 2). The content of the vision screening may also depend on state and federal mandates, the availability of objective vision-screening devices, and the skills of the examiner. Vision screening is also performed in the community setting, often by community-based lay screeners. Lay screeners should receive adequate training to accurately assess vision, but they should not be expected to perform more sophisticated elements of the examination.

Primary care providers should perform vision screening of newborns and infants under 6 months of age. Screening should include red reflex testing to detect abnormalities of the ocular media, external
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Primary care providers also provide vision screening of older infants and toddlers. After 6 months of age, children should have normal binocular alignment.113, 114 Instrument-based screening with

photoscreening or autorefraction devices can be valuable in detecting amblyopia risk factors in at age 1 year because the tests are rapid and noninvasive, and minimal cooperation is required on the part of the child.91 These particular vision screening devices measure risk factors for amblyopia, not the actual visual acuity, and estimates of refractive error should not be converted to visual acuity values.

TABLE 2  AGE-APPROPRIATE METHODS FOR PEDIATRIC VISION SCREENING AND CRITERIA FOR REFERRAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Indications for Referral</th>
<th>Recommended Age</th>
<th>Every 1–2 yrs after age 5 yrs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red reflex test</td>
<td>Absent, white, dull, opacified, or asymmetric</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External inspection</td>
<td>Structural abnormality (e.g., ptosis)</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupillary examination</td>
<td>Irregular shape, unequal size, poor or unequal reaction to light</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fix and follow</td>
<td>Failure to fix and follow</td>
<td>Cooperative infant ≥3 mos</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal light reflection</td>
<td>Asymmetric or displaced</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument-based screening*</td>
<td>Failure to meet screening criteria</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cover test</td>
<td>Refixation movement</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance visual acuity† (monocular)</td>
<td>Worse than 20/50 either eye or 2 lines of differences between the eyes</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td>⋄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worse than 20/40 either eye</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worse than 3 of 5 optotypes on 20/30 line, or 2 lines of difference between the eyes</td>
<td>⋄</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


NOTE: These recommendations are based on panel consensus. If screening is inconclusive or unsatisfactory, the child should be retested within 6 months; if inconclusive on retesting or if retesting cannot be performed, referral for a comprehensive eye evaluation is indicated. *

* Subjective visual acuity testing is preferred to instrument-based screening in children who are able to participate reliably. Instrument-based screening is useful for some young children and those with developmental delays.

† LEA Symbols (Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL), HOTV, and Sloan Letters are preferred optotypes.
Many 3-year-old children are able to participate in subjective visual acuity screening; however, accurate monocular visual acuity testing is more successful in 4-year-olds. After age 4, visual acuity screening becomes the focus of vision screening. Several tests with appropriate optotypes for young children are available, but the LEA SYMBOLS® or HOTV letters are the preferred optotypes. Several other symbol charts, including Allen figures, the Lighthouse chart, and the Kindergarten (Sailboat) Eye Chart, have serious limitations for young children because the optotypes are not standardized and/or the optotypes are presented in a nonstandardized design. The desirable optotypes for older children are Sloan letters. Snellen letters are less desirable because the individual letters are not of equal legibility and the spacing of the letters does not always meet World Health Organization standards. See Section II. Comprehensive Ophthalmic Evaluation and Appendix 3 of this PPP for detailed information on visual acuity testing.

Children who fail to complete subjective visual acuity assessment are considered untestable. Untestable preschoolers are at least twice as likely to have vision disorders than testable children who passed a screening. Children who are untestable should be rescreened within 6 months (preferably sooner, if possible) or referred for a comprehensive eye examination. Children who are testable using the subjective visual acuity assessment and fail should be referred for a comprehensive eye examination after the first screening failure. Additional findings that would warrant referral of a 3- to 5-year-old child for a comprehensive ophthalmic examination are included in Table 2. Children should continue to have periodic vision screenings throughout childhood and adolescence because problems may arise at later stages throughout development.

Appendix 2 provides additional information on red reflex examination, external inspection, pupillary examination, fixation testing, corneal reflex assessment, cover testing, and instrument-based screening in the primary care setting.

Instrument-Based Vision Screening

Photoscreening uses off-axis photography and photorefraction of the eye’s red reflex to evaluate refractive error and identify risk factors in both eyes simultaneously. A 2005 multicenter study revealed that, within the pediatric office setting, photoscreening was superior to optotype-based screening for children between the ages of 3 and 6 years, and a 2008 study showed that children who underwent their first photoscreening prior to 2 years of age had superior eventual outcomes.

Instrument-based vision-screening techniques are useful alternatives to visual acuity screening using eye charts for very young children and children with developmental delays; they compare well with standard vision-testing techniques and cycloplegic refraction. They are not superior to quantitative visual acuity testing for children who are able to participate in those tests. Most instrument-based vision-screening methods detect the presence of risk factors for amblyopia, including strabismus, high or asymmetric refractive errors, media opacities (e.g., cataract), retinal abnormalities (e.g., retinoblastoma), and ptosis. In the community setting, photoscreening devices have been shown to have reasonably high sensitivity and specificity for amblyopia risk factors, and they have the capability to adjust referral criteria based on desired specificity and sensitivity levels. These devices are most successful when used on patients at age 1 year, and they are more successful on children over 18 months of age. Instrument-based screening may be repeated annually until a child can participate in optotype-based screening.

See Appendix 2 for more information on instrument-based screening.

PROVIDERS

Physicians, nurses, other health care providers, and lay persons who perform vision screening should be trained to elicit specified risk factors for vision problems, detect structural eye problems, and/or assess visual abilities or acuities at every age. Screeners should be trained in the techniques that are used to test younger children and children with neuropsychological conditions or developmental delays. Training and nationally recognized certification on evidence-based vision screening tools and procedures are available through Prevent Blindness and its affiliates.
SOCIOECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

There is consensus that timely and appropriate eye care can significantly improve children’s quality of life and can reduce the burden of eye disease. Timely treatment relies on early diagnosis.47,128,129 Several important pediatric eye conditions can be asymptomatic, and children may be unaware and/or unable to express visual symptoms. Many authorities recommend early and regular vision screening to detect these conditions.

Evidence suggests that many children do not receive the recommended care. In fact, almost 40% of children in the United States have never undergone a vision screening.130,131 Children from low-income or uninsured families, or in racial and ethnic minority groups, may fare even worse.130-133 Studies indicate that, in general, African American children and children living below 400% of the federal poverty level receive fewer and less intensive services relative to their non-Latino white or more affluent counterparts.132,134 There is evidence that these race/ethnicity disparities are reflected in eye care services as well as in other health services.134 It is still unclear whether these disparities in eye care services are due to underdiagnosis and undertreatment of certain conditions in minority children, a lower prevalence of treatable eye conditions in certain populations, racial/ethnic differences in access to care or in preferences for treatment, or a combination of these factors.132

Barriers to eye care extend beyond inadequate screening and diagnosis. Screening programs vary in their ability to ensure access to eye examinations and treatment for children who fail screening. In 15 screening programs in the United States, the rate of referred children receiving a follow-up examination was over 70% in four programs but was below 50% in the other 11 programs.135 Barriers to care may include inadequate information, lack of access to care, limited financial means, and insurance coverage and reimbursement issues.136,137 Children with diagnosed eye conditions require greater use of medical services than children without such conditions, and their families incur higher out-of-pocket expenditures.134 In keeping with other measures of disparity in the provision of health services, non-Hispanic whites and families of higher socioeconomic status may be more likely to obtain follow-up eye care.136

At the state level, legislatures have attempted to close the gap by mandating some form of vision screening for children.138 Legislative efforts have focused primarily on early detection of vision problems in young children. Leaders in these efforts have stressed the importance of funding mechanisms to support such programs, specifically advocating separate and additional coverage for vision screening in primary care offices as a pathway to success.138

The optimal provision of eye and vision care for children involves an organized program of vision screening in the primary care and community settings. It also includes access for comprehensive eye examinations when indicated and provision of refractive correction as needed. A vision screening program may reduce the risk of persistent amblyopia.139 There is a pressing need for studies to assess the prevalence of eye disease among children as well as the impact of these interventions over time and across diverse populations.140
SECTION II. COMPREHENSIVE OPHTHALMIC EXAMINATION

INTRODUCTION

The majority of healthy children should have several vision screenings during childhood. Comprehensive eye examinations are not necessary for healthy asymptomatic children who have passed an acceptable vision screening test, have no subjective visual symptoms, and have no personal or familial risk factors for eye disease.\textsuperscript{141} It is recommended that children be referred for a comprehensive eye examination if they fail a vision screening, are unable to be tested, have a vision complaint or exhibit observed abnormal visual behavior, or are at risk for the development of eye problems. Children with certain medical conditions (e.g., Down syndrome, prematurity, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, neurofibromatosis) or a family history of amblyopia, strabismus, retinoblastoma, congenital cataracts, or congenital glaucoma are at higher risk for developing eye problems. Health supervision guidelines exist for many of these conditions.\textsuperscript{142-149} In addition, children with learning disabilities benefit from a comprehensive eye evaluation to rule out the presence of ocular comorbidities.\textsuperscript{150, 151} Finally, some children who have developmental delays, intellectual disabilities, neuropsychological conditions, and/or behavioral issues that render them untestable by other caregivers benefit greatly from a comprehensive eye examination by an ophthalmologist who is skilled at working with children.

PATIENT POPULATION

Infants and children through age 18 years.

OBJECTIVES FOR COMPREHENSIVE OPHTHALMIC EXAMINATION

- Identify risk factors for ocular disease
- Identify systemic disease based on associated ocular findings
- Identify factors that may predispose to visual loss early in a child's life
- Determine the health status of the eye and related structures and of the visual system, and assess refractive errors
- Discuss the nature of the findings of the examination and their implications with the parent/caregiver, primary care provider and, when appropriate, the patient
- Initiate an appropriate management plan (e.g., treatment, counseling, further diagnostic tests, referral, follow-up, early intervention services\textsuperscript{*} for newborns to children up to age 3 years or individual education plan in the public school system for children older than 3 years\textsuperscript{152})

CARE PROCESS

Comprehensive eye examinations differ in technique, instrumentation, and diagnostic capacity from child to child, depending on the child's age, developmental status, level of cooperation, and ability to interact with the examiner. A comprehensive assessment includes a history, eye examination, and other additional tests or evaluations that may be indicated.

HISTORY

Although a thorough history generally includes the following items, the details depend on the patient's particular problems and needs:

---

\textsuperscript{*} Under U.S. federal law, early intervention services for children of any age with visual impairments are available from public school districts and regional centers.
- Demographic data, including gender, date of birth, and identity of parent/caregiver
- The identity of the historian, relationship to child, and any language barriers that may exist
- The identity of other pertinent health care providers
- The chief complaint and reason for the eye evaluation
- Current eye problems
- Ocular history, including prior eye problems, diseases, diagnoses, and treatments
- Systemic history, birth weight, gestational age, prenatal and perinatal history that may be pertinent (e.g., history of infections or substance or drug exposure during pregnancy), past hospitalizations and operations, and general health and development
- Current medications and allergies
- Family history of eye conditions and relevant systemic diseases
- Social history, including racial or ethnic heritage
- Review of systems

EXAMINATION

The pediatric eye examination consists of an assessment of the physiologic function and the anatomic status of the eye and visual system. Documentation of the child’s level of cooperation in the examination can be useful in interpreting the results. The order of the examination may vary depending on the child’s level of cooperation. Testing of sensory function should be performed before using any dissociating examination techniques (e.g., covering an eye to check monocular visual acuity, cover testing to assess alignment). Binocular alignment testing should be done prior to cycloplegia. The examination should include the following elements:

- Binocular red reflex (Brückner) test
- Binocular/stereoacuity testing
- Assessment of visual acuity and/or fixation pattern
- Binocular alignment and ocular motility
- Visual field testing
- Pupillary examination
- External examination
- Anterior segment examination
- Cycloplegic retinoscopy/refraction
- Funduscopic examination

Binocular Red Reflex (Brückner) Test

In a darkened room, the examiner sets the ophthalmoscope lens power at “0” and directs the ophthalmoscope light toward both eyes of the child simultaneously from approximately 18 to 30 inches (45 to 75 centimeters). It is not necessary to dilate the pupils to perform the Brückner test, because subtle differences are difficult to detect when the pupils are dilated. To be considered normal, a symmetric red reflex should be observed from both eyes. Opacities within the red reflex, a markedly diminished reflex, the presence of a white or yellow reflex, or asymmetry of the red reflexes are all considered abnormal. The appearance of the red reflex varies based on retinal pigmentation and, thus, varies by race/ethnicity. Significant hyperopia will present as an inferiorly placed brighter crescent in the red reflex. Significant myopia presents as a superiorly placed brighter crescent.

Binocularity/Stereoacuity Testing

Binocularity, or binocular vision, has several different components, including sensory fusion, stereopsis (third degree sensory fusion), fusional vergence (motor fusion), and other coordinated binocular eye movements. Sensorimotor fusion is sensitive to disruption by amblyopia, strabismus, refractive error, and deprivation. Binocular vision may be affected to different degrees depending on the underlying diagnosis, and tests to evaluate each of these components vary accordingly. The Worth 4-Dot Test is used to evaluate first- and second-degree sensory fusion, the Randot Stereo Test is used to evaluate third-degree sensory fusion, and a prism bar or rotary prism is used to evaluate fusional vergence. Assessment of stereoacuity is an important component of binocular alignment testing because high-grade
stereoacuity is associated with normal alignment. Testing of sensory function should be performed before using any dissociating examination techniques (e.g., covering an eye to check monocular visual acuity, cover testing to assess alignment). Binocular alignment testing should be done before cycloplegia, because alignment may change after cycloplegia.

Assessment of Fixation Pattern and Visual Acuity

Fixation
Visual acuity measurement of the infant and toddler involves a qualitative assessment of fixation and tracking (following) eye movements. Fixation and following are assessed by drawing the child’s attention to the examiner or caregiver’s face or to a hand-held light, toy, or other fixation target and then slowing moving the target. Fixation behavior can be recorded for each eye as “fixes and follows” or “central, steady, and maintained,” along with any qualifying findings, such as eccentric, not central, not steady, or not-maintained.

Fixation preference can be assessed by observing the vigor with which the child objects to occlusion of one eye relative to the other. Children resist covering an eye when the fellow eye has limited vision. Grading schemes can be used to describe fixation preference. For strabismic patients, fixation pattern is assessed binocularly by determining the length of time that the nonpreferred eye holds fixation. Fixation pattern can be graded by whether the nonpreferred eye will not hold fixation, holds momentarily, or holds for a few seconds (or to or through a blink), or by observation of spontaneous alternation of fixation. The clinical value of fixation preference testing is limited, especially for nonstrabismic patients with a known difference in refraction or for strabismic patients who show a strong fixation preference in the absence of amblyopia. For children with small-angle strabismus or no strabismus, the induced tropia test is typically done by holding a base-down prism of 10 to 20 prism diopters or base-in prism over one eye and then over the other eye and noting fixation behavior.

Qualitative assessment of visual acuity should be replaced with a recognition visual acuity test based on optotypes (letters, numbers, or symbols) as soon as the child can perform this task reliably.

Visual Acuity
Recognition visual acuity testing, which involves identifying optotypes and the names for letters, numbers, or symbols, is preferred for assessment of visual acuity to detect amblyopia. The optotypes may be presented on a wall chart, computer screen, or hand-held card. Visual acuity is routinely tested at distance (10 to 20 feet or 3 to 6 meters) and at near (14 to 16 inches or 35 to 40 centimeters). Visual acuity testing conditions should be standardized so that results obtained over a series of visits can be readily compared. High-contrast charts with black optotypes on a white background should be used for standard visual acuity testing.

A child’s performance on a visual acuity test will be dependent on the choice of chart and the examiner’s skills, rapport with the child, and the child’s level of cooperation. To reduce errors, the environment should be quiet and free of distraction. Younger children may benefit from a pretest on optotypes presented at near, either at the start of testing or in a separate session. Before monocular testing, the examiner should ensure that the child is able to perform the test reliably. Allowing children to match optotypes on the chart to those found on a hand-held card will enhance performance, especially in young, shy, or cognitively impaired children. Visual acuity testing of children with special needs can provide quantitative information about visual impairment and reduce concerns of parents/caregivers about the child’s vision. A shorter testing distance or flip chart can also facilitate testing in younger children.

Visual acuity testing should be performed monocularly and with best refractive correction in place. Ideally, the fellow eye should be covered with an adhesive patch or tape. If such occlusion is not available or not tolerated by the child, care must be taken to prevent the child from peeking and using the “covered” eye. Sometimes the child will not allow any monocular occlusion, in which case binocular visual acuity should be measured.
Monocular visual acuity testing for patients with nystagmus or latent nystagmus requires special techniques such as blurring the fellow eye with plus lenses or using a translucent occluder rather than an opaque one. Binocular visual acuity testing can also be performed on these patients to gain additional information about typical visual performance.

The choice and arrangement of optotypes on an eye chart can significantly affect the visual acuity score obtained. Optotypes should be clear, standardized, and of similar characteristics, and they should not reflect a cultural bias. LEA SYMBOLS® (Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL), a set of four symbol optotypes developed for use with young children, are useful because each optotype blurs similarly as the child is presented with smaller symbols, increasing the reliability that individual symbols will be identified. Another method for testing the young child involves using a chart containing only the letters H, O, T, and V. Because the LEA SYMBOLS Chart and the HOTV Chart include only four possible responses, these charts facilitate testing of younger children. Children who cannot name the symbols on the LEA SYMBOLS chart or the letters on the HOTV Chart may be able to match them using a hand-held card or by stepping on the four individual cards. For older children, Sloan letters are preferred. Snellen letter charts are less desirable because the individual letters are not of equal legibility and the spacing of the letters does not always meet World Health Organization standards.

Several other symbol charts have serious limitations in testing visual acuity of young children. These include Allen pictures, the Lighthouse Chart, and the Kindergarten (Sailboat) Eye Chart. The optotypes in these charts are not standardized because each optotype is presented in a culturally biased or a nonstandardized format. The Tumbling E Chart is conceptually difficult for young children and leads to high untestability rates.

The desirable optotypes for older children are Sloan letters. Snellen letters are less desirable because the chart design is not standardized, the individual letters are not of equal legibility and the spacing of the letters does not always meet World Health Organization standards.

The arrangement of optotypes on an eye chart is important. Optotypes should be presented in a full line of five whenever possible. If a child needs assistance knowing which optotype to identify, the examiner may point to the optotype and immediately remove the pointer. The majority of optotypes must be correctly identified to “pass” a line. A similar number of optotypes on each line with equal spacing is preferred. In the setting of amblyopia, visual acuity testing with single optotypes is likely to overestimate acuity because of the crowding phenomenon; that is, it is easier to discriminate an isolated optotype than one presented in a line of optotypes. Therefore, a more accurate assessment of monocular visual acuity is obtained in amblyopia with the presentation of a line of optotypes. In order to preserve the crowding effect of adjacent optotypes, optotypes should not be covered or masked as the examiner points to each successive symbol. If a single optotype must be used to facilitate visual acuity testing for some children, the optotype should be surrounded (crowded) by bars placed above, below, and on either side of the optotype to account for the crowding phenomenon and to avoid overestimating visual acuity. An age-appropriate and consistent testing strategy on every examination is needed.

Forced preferential looking using the Teller Acuity Cards (Stereo Optical Co., Inc., Chicago, IL) can provide a general assessment of resolution visual acuity in infants, and the patient’s acuity can be compared with normative data, but this method of testing overestimates recognition visual acuity in children with amblyopia.

Appendix 3 lists the design details of visual acuity testing charts.

Binocular Alignment and Ocular Motility Assessment

The corneal light reflection, binocular red reflex (Brückner) test, and cover tests are commonly used to assess binocular alignment. Cover/uncover tests for tropias and alternate cover tests for the total deviation (latent component included) in primary gaze at distance and near should use accommodative targets. Cover tests require sufficient visual acuity and cooperation to fix on the desired target. Ocular versions and ductions, including into the oblique fields of gaze, should be
tested in all infants and children. Eye movements may be tested using oculocephalic rotation (doll’s head maneuver) or assessed by observing spontaneous eye movements in the inattentive or uncooperative child.

Visual Field Testing

Confrontation visual field testing may be performed in children using a toy or counting fingers in each quadrant. The peripheral visual field of very young children can be assessed by observation for refixation to the field of gaze in which an object of interest has been presented. A young child may mimic the number of fingers held in different quadrants of the visual field while looking at the examiner’s face. Older children may count the examiner’s fingers when presented in all quadrants of the visual field for each eye. Quantitative visual field testing should be attempted when indicated; reliability may be a concern, although performance may improve with practice.

Pupillary Examination

The pupils should be assessed for size, symmetry, and shape; for their direct and consensual responses to light; and for presence of a relative afferent defect. Pupillary evaluation in infants and children may be difficult due to hirpus, poorly maintained fixation, and rapid changes in accommodative status. Anisocoria greater than 1 millimeter may indicate a pathological process, such as Horner syndrome, Adie tonic pupil, or a pupil-involving third-cranial-nerve palsy. Irregular pupils may indicate the presence of traumatic sphincter damage, iritis, or a congenital abnormality (e.g., coloboma). A relative afferent pupillary defect (RAPD) of 0.3 or more log units (i.e., easily visible) is not typically seen in amblyopia. A subtle RAPD may be seen with dense amblyopia. The presence of a large RAPD should warrant a search for compressive optic neuropathy or other etiologies of visual impairment (e.g., retinal abnormality).

External Examination

The external examination involves assessment of the eyelids, eyelashes, lacrimal system, and orbit. The examination should include an assessment of ptosis, the amount of levator function, presence of eyelid retraction, and relative position of the globe within the orbit (e.g., proptosis or globe retraction, hypoglobus, or hyperglobus). Older children may tolerate measurement of globe position using an exophthalmometer. For uncooperative or younger children, proptosis of the globe may be estimated by comparing the position of the globes when viewing from above the head. The anatomy of the face (including the lids, interocular distance, and presence or absence of epicanthal folds), orbital rim, and presence of oculofacial anomalies should be noted. The position of the head and face (including head tilt, turn, or chin-up or chin-down head posture) should be recorded. Children who have prominent epicanthal folds and/or a wide, flat nasal bridge and normal binocular alignment often appear to have an esotropia (pseudoesotropia). Dysmorphic features need further evaluation.

Anterior Segment Examination

The cornea, conjunctiva, anterior chamber, iris, and lens should be evaluated using slit-lamp biomicroscopy, if possible. For infants and young children, anterior segment examination using a direct ophthalmoscope, a magnifying lens such as that used for indirect ophthalmoscopy, or a hand-held slit-lamp biomicroscope may be helpful.

Cycloplegic Retinoscopy/Refraction

Determination of refractive errors is important in the diagnosis and treatment of amblyopia or strabismus. Patients should undergo cycloplegic refraction to determine refraction with retinoscopy, followed by subjective refinement when possible. Dynamic retinoscopy, done prior to cycloglegia, provides a rapid assessment of accommodation and may be helpful in evaluating a child with asthenopia who has high hyperopia or a child with accommodative insufficiency. With this technique, the examiner evaluates the change in the retinoscopic reflex from a “with” motion toward neutrality when the patient shifts fixation from distance to a small target on the retinoscope.
Adequate cycloplegia is necessary for accurate retinoscopy in children because of their increased accommodative tone compared with adults. At present, there is no ideal cycloplegic that is safe, has rapid onset and recovery, provides sufficient cycloplegia, and has no local or systemic side effects.\textsuperscript{181} Cyclopentolate hydrochloride 1% is useful because it produces rapid cycloplegia that approximates the effect of topical ophthalmic atropine 1% solution but with a shorter duration of action.\textsuperscript{182} Cyclopentolate 1% solution is typically used in term infants over 6 months old. The dose of cyclopentolate should be determined based on the child's weight, iris color, and dilation history. In eyes with heavily pigmented irides, repeating the cycloplegic eyedrops or using adjutivie agents, such as phenylephrine hydrochloride 2.5% (which has no cycloplegic effect) or tropicamide 1.0%, may be helpful to achieve adequate dilation to facilitate retinoscopy and ophthalmoscopy.\textsuperscript{181} Tropicamide (0.5%) and phenylephrine hydrochloride (0.5%) may also be used in combination to produce adequate dilation and cycloplegia. For children younger than 6 months, an eyedrop combination of cyclopentolate 0.2% and phenylephrine 1% is often used.\textsuperscript{183} In some children, higher concentrations may be necessary.

In rare cases, topical ophthalmic atropine sulphate 1% solution may be necessary to achieve maximal cycloplegia.\textsuperscript{182} The use of topical anesthetic prior to the cycloplegic reduces the stinging of subsequent eyedrops and promotes penetration of subsequent eyedrops.\textsuperscript{184} Uncommon short-term side effects of cycloplegic and dilating agents may include hypersensitivity reactions, fever, dry mouth, rapid pulse, nausea, vomiting, flushing, somnolence, and, rarely, behavioral changes (i.e., delirium). Punctal occlusion may be useful to reduce these side effects. If the reaction is severe, physostigmine may be given.

**Funduscopic Examination**

The optic disc, macula, retina, vessels, and the choroid should be examined, preferably using an indirect ophthalmoscope and condensing lens after adequate dilation is achieved. It may be impossible to examine the peripheral retina of the awake young child. Examination of the peripheral retina with an eyelid speculum and scleral depression may require swaddling, sedation, or general anesthesia.

**OTHER TESTS**

Based on the patient's history and findings, additional tests or evaluations that are not part of the routine comprehensive ophthalmic evaluation may be indicated. Components that may be included if the child cooperates are sensorimotor evaluation, color-vision testing, measurement of intraocular pressure (IOP), visual field testing, and imaging. Photography of facial or ocular structural abnormalities may be helpful for documentation and follow-up.

**Sensorimotor Evaluation**

A sensorimotor examination consists of measuring binocular alignment in more than one field of gaze and sensory testing of binocular function when appropriate, which includes testing of binocular sensory status (stereoacuity or Worth 4-Dot); assessing diplopia-free visual field; measuring ocular torsion (double Maddox rods); and assessing whether horizontal, vertical, and torsional components require correction in order to restore binocular alignment (using a prism or a synoptophore).

**Color-Vision Deficiency Testing**

Color-vision deficiency testing is usually performed with pseudoisochromatic plates, and simple objects instead of numbers are available for children who cannot yet identify numbers. The Hardy-Rand-Rittler test (Good-Lite, Elgin, IL) is an alternative pseudoisochromatic color test that has a high sensitivity.\textsuperscript{185} Eight percent of males and less than 1% of females are color deficient.\textsuperscript{186} Demonstration of color-vision deficits in asymptomatic children is of limited clinical value but may be important to parents or teachers for making schoolroom accommodations.
Intraocular Pressure Measurement

Intraocular pressure measurement is not necessary for every child because glaucoma is rare in this age group and, when present, usually has additional manifestations (e.g., buphthalmos, epiphora, photosensitivity, and corneal clouding in infants; enlarged optic cups in older children; and myopic progression in very young children). Intraocular pressure should be measured whenever a child has or is at risk for glaucoma. Because IOP measurement can be difficult in some children, a separate examination with the patient sedated or anesthetized may be required. The introduction of more compact instruments such as the Tono-Pen (Reichert, Inc., Depew, NY), Perkins tonometer (Haag-Streit UK Ltd., Harlow, United Kingdom), and Icare rebound tonometer (Icare Finland Oy, Helsinki, Finland) have facilitated testing of IOP in children.187, 188 Central corneal thickness measurement may be helpful in interpreting IOP because thicker corneas may cause artificial high IOP readings.189-192

Imaging

Photography or imaging in conjunction with the comprehensive pediatric eye examination may be appropriate to document and follow changes of facial or ocular structural abnormalities. Examples of indications to image include external photography for orbital or adnexal masses, strabismus, ptosis, or facial structure abnormalities; photography and optical coherence tomography to document cataract and other anterior segment anomalies; corneal topography to detect early changes related to keratoconus; and ultrasound or autofluorescence for optic nerve head assessment; or retinal pathology. Optical coherence tomography of the retinal nerve fiber layer/ganglion cell layer and of the retina may be considered in young children for conditions such as unexplained visual loss, medicine toxicity, glaucoma suspect, optic neuropathy, retinal dystrophies, and ROP.

DIAGNOSIS AND MANAGEMENT

When the eye examination is normal or involves only the correction of a refractive error, and the child does not have risk factors for the development of eye disease, the ophthalmologist should establish an appropriate interval for re-examination. If re-examination has been determined to be unnecessary, patients should return for a comprehensive eye evaluation if new ocular symptoms, signs, or risk factors for ocular disease develop. Periodic vision screening should be continued (see Table 2).

When the history reveals risk factors for developing ocular disease or the examination shows potential signs of an abnormal condition, the ophthalmologist should determine an appropriate treatment and management plan for each child based on the findings and the age of the child. Periodic vision screening may be discontinued if the child is routinely followed with comprehensive eye evaluations (see Table 2).

When ocular disease is present, a treatment and management plan should be established, which may involve observation, eyeglasses, topical or systemic medications, occlusion therapy, eye exercises, and/or surgical procedures. The ophthalmologist should communicate the examination findings and the need for further evaluation, testing, treatment, or follow-up to parents/caregivers and to the patient and the patient’s primary care physician or other specialists, as appropriate. Further evaluation or referral to other medical specialists may be advised.

Management of amblyopia, esotropia, and exotropia are discussed in the Amblyopia PPP147 and the Esotropia and Exotropia PPP,128 respectively.

Refractive correction is prescribed for children to improve visual acuity, alignment, and binocularity and to reduce asthenopia. Refractive correction plays an important role in the treatment of amblyopia (see Amblyopia PPP147). Table 3 provides guidelines for refractive correction in infants and young children. Smaller amounts of refractive error may also warrant correction depending on the clinical situation.

Factors that help children to wear eyeglasses successfully include a correct prescription, frames that fit well, and positive reinforcement. Children require updates in eyeglasses much more frequently than adults owing to ocular changes and associated changes in refraction.

Infants and children with cerebral visual impairment or Down syndrome, and children on prescribed seizure medication may have poor accommodation and, therefore, require correction for small amounts of hyperopia than otherwise typically developing infants and toddlers might have.
TABLE 3  GUIDELINES FOR REFRACTIVE CORRECTION IN INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Refractive Errors (diopters)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age &lt;1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoametropia (similar refractive error in both eyes)</td>
<td>5.00 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>6.00 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia (no manifest deviation)</td>
<td>2.00 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia with esotropia</td>
<td>3.00 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>4.00 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myopia</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperopia</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astigmatism</td>
<td>2.50 or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: These values were generated by consensus and are based solely on professional experience and clinical impressions because there are no scientifically rigorous published data for guidance. These guidelines do not consider other aspects of the clinical examination, symptoms, and patient history. The exact values are unknown and may differ among age groups; they are presented as general guidelines that should be tailored to the individual child. Specific guidelines for older children are not provided because refractive correction is determined by the severity of the refractive error, visual acuity, and visual symptoms.

* The values represent the minimum difference in the magnitude of refractive error between eyes that would prompt refractive correction. Threshold for correction of anisometropia should be lower if the child has strabismus.

PROVIDER AND SETTING

Certain eye care services and procedures, including elements of the eye examination, may be delegated to appropriately trained and supervised auxiliary health care personnel under the ophthalmologist's supervision. For cases in which the diagnosis or management is difficult, consultation with or referral to an ophthalmologist who specializes in the diagnosis and treatment of pediatric patients may be desirable.

COUNSELING AND REFERRAL

The ophthalmologist should discuss the findings and any need for further evaluation, testing, or treatment with the child and/or family/caregiver. When a hereditary eye disease is identified, the parent/caregiver may be advised to have other family members evaluated and counseled for risk to subsequent pregnancies, which may include referral to a geneticist. Families with financial hardship or who have a child who has a new diagnosis of a sight- or life-threatening condition may benefit from referral to social services. Patients with bilateral visual impairment should be offered contact information for early intervention and/or vision rehabilitation services. Many ocular/neurological diagnoses qualify newborns and children up to 3 years old for free early intervention services under Part C of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (http://idea.ed.gov).
Quality ophthalmic care has the following optimal attributes, among others.

The ophthalmologist respects the dignity and integrity of his or her patients, and does not exploit their vulnerability.

The ophthalmologist recognizes that disease places patients in a disadvantaged, dependent state. The ophthalmologist evaluates those skills and medical knowledge in relation to the needs of the patient and responds accordingly. The ophthalmologist also ensures that needy patients receive necessary care directly or through referral to appropriate persons and facilities that will provide such care, and he or she supports activities that promote health and prevent disease and disability.

The ophthalmologist recognizes that disease places patients in a disadvantaged, dependent state. The ophthalmologist respects the dignity and integrity of his or her patients, and does not exploit their vulnerability.

Quality ophthalmic care is provided in a manner and with the skill that is consistent with the best interests of the patient. The discussion that follows characterizes the core elements of such care.

The ophthalmologist is first and foremost a physician. As such, the ophthalmologist demonstrates compassion and concern for the individual, and utilizes the science and art of medicine to help alleviate patient fear and suffering. The ophthalmologist strives to develop and maintain clinical skills at the highest feasible level, consistent with the needs of patients, through training and continuing education. The ophthalmologist evaluates those skills and medical knowledge in relation to the needs of the patient and responds accordingly. The ophthalmologist also ensures that needy patients receive necessary care directly or through referral to appropriate persons and facilities that will provide such care, and he or she supports activities that promote health and prevent disease and disability.

The ophthalmologist recognizes that disease places patients in a disadvantaged, dependent state. The ophthalmologist respects the dignity and integrity of his or her patients, and does not exploit their vulnerability.

Quality ophthalmic care has the following optimal attributes, among others.

- The essence of quality care is a meaningful partnership relationship between patient and physician. The ophthalmologist strives to communicate effectively with his or her patients, listening carefully to their needs and concerns. In turn, the ophthalmologist educates his or her patients about the nature and prognosis of their condition and about proper and appropriate therapeutic modalities. This is to ensure their meaningful participation (appropriate to their unique physical, intellectual, and emotional state) in decisions affecting their management and care, to improve their motivation and compliance with the agreed plan of treatment, and to help alleviate their fears and concerns.

- The ophthalmologist uses his or her best judgment in choosing and timing appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic modalities as well as the frequency of evaluation and follow-up, with due regard to the urgency and nature of the patient's condition and unique needs and desires.

- The ophthalmologist carries out only those procedures for which he or she is adequately trained, experienced, and competent, or, when necessary, is assisted by someone who is, depending on the urgency of the problem and availability and accessibility of alternative providers.

- Patients are assured access to, and continuity of, needed and appropriate ophthalmic care, which can be described as follows.
  - The ophthalmologist treats patients with due regard to timeliness, appropriateness, and his or her own ability to provide such care.
  - The operating ophthalmologist makes adequate provision for appropriate pre- and postoperative patient care.
  - When the ophthalmologist is unavailable for his or her patient, he or she provides appropriate alternative ophthalmic care, with adequate mechanisms for informing patients of the existence of such care and procedures for obtaining it.
  - The ophthalmologist refers patients to other ophthalmologists and eye care providers based on the timeliness and appropriateness of such referral, the patient's needs, the competence and qualifications of the person to whom the referral is made, and access and availability.
  - The ophthalmologist seeks appropriate consultation with due regard to the nature of the ocular or other medical or surgical problem. Consultants are suggested for their skill, competence, and accessibility. They receive as complete and accurate an accounting of the problem as necessary to provide efficient and effective advice or intervention, and in turn they respond in an adequate and timely manner.
  - The ophthalmologist maintains complete and accurate medical records.
  - On appropriate request, the ophthalmologist provides a full and accurate rendering of the patient's records in his or her possession.
The ophthalmologist reviews the results of consultations and laboratory tests in a timely and effective manner and takes appropriate actions.

The ophthalmologist and those who assist in providing care identify themselves and their profession.

For patients whose conditions fail to respond to treatment and for whom further treatment is unavailable, the ophthalmologist provides proper professional support, counseling, rehabilitative and social services, and referral as appropriate and accessible.

Prior to therapeutic or invasive diagnostic procedures, the ophthalmologist becomes appropriately conversant with the patient's condition by collecting pertinent historical information and performing relevant preoperative examinations. Additionally, he or she enables the patient to reach a fully informed decision by providing an accurate and truthful explanation of the diagnosis; the nature, purpose, risks, benefits, and probability of success of the proposed treatment and of alternative treatment; and the risks and benefits of no treatment.

The ophthalmologist adopts new technology (e.g., drugs, devices, surgical techniques) in judicious fashion, appropriate to the cost and potential benefit relative to existing alternatives and to its demonstrated safety and efficacy.

The ophthalmologist enhances the quality of care he or she provides by periodically reviewing and assessing his or her personal performance in relation to established standards, and by revising or altering his or her practices and techniques appropriately.

The ophthalmologist improves ophthalmic care by communicating to colleagues, through appropriate professional channels, knowledge gained through clinical research and practice. This includes alerting colleagues of instances of unusual or unexpected rates of complications and problems related to new drugs, devices, or procedures.

The ophthalmologist provides care in suitably staffed and equipped facilities adequate to deal with potential ocular and systemic complications requiring immediate attention.

The ophthalmologist also provides ophthalmic care in a manner that is cost-effective without unacceptably compromising accepted standards of quality.
APPENDIX 2. TECHNIQUES OF VISION SCREENING IN THE PRIMARY CARE AND COMMUNITY SETTING

RED REFLEX TEST

To perform the red reflex test properly, the examiner holds a direct ophthalmoscope close to his or her eye with the ophthalmoscope lens power set at “0.” In a darkened room, the ophthalmoscope light should then be directed toward both eyes of the child simultaneously from a distance of approximately 18 to 30 inches (45 to 75 centimeters). The lens power should be adjusted to ensure that the pupillary reflexes are sharply focused. A symmetric red reflex should be observed from both eyes for them to be considered normal. The red reflex varies based on retinal pigmentation and, thus, varies by race/ethnicity. Opacities in the red reflex, a markedly diminished reflex, the presence of a white or yellow reflex, or asymmetry of the reflexes (Brückner reflex) are all indications for referral to an ophthalmologist experienced in examining children. The exception to this rule is a transient opacity from mucus in the tear film that is mobile and completely disappears with blinking.

Figure A2 provides a simple visual aid that illustrates common eye conditions that may be seen by red reflex testing.

A. NORMAL—Child looks at light. Both red reflex are equal.
B. UNEQUAL REFRACTION—One red reflex is brighter than the other.
C. NO REFLEX (CATARACT)—The presence of lens or other media opacities blocks the red reflection or diminishes it.
D. FOREIGN BODY/ABRASION (LEFT CORNEA)—The red reflection from the pupil will back-light corneal defects or foreign bodies. Movement of the examiner’s head in one direction will appear to move the corneal defects in the opposite direction. (Pseudox) E. STRABISMUS—The red reflection is more intense from the deviated eye.

FIGURE A2. Red reflex examination. A. NORMAL: Child looks at light. Both red reflections are equal. B. UNEQUAL REFRACTION: One red reflection is brighter than the other. C. NO REFLEX (CATARACT): The presence of lens or other media opacities blocks the red reflection or diminishes it. D. FOREIGN BODY/ABRASION (LEFT CORNEA): The red reflection from the pupil will back-light corneal defects or foreign bodies. Movement of the examiner’s head in one direction will appear to move the corneal defects in the opposite direction. E. STRABISMUS: The corneal light reflex is temporally displaced in the misaligned right eye, indicating esotropia.

(Adapted with permission of Alfred G. Smith, MD, © 1991)
EXTERNAL INSPECTION

The external inspection involves assessment of the eyelids, eyelashes, lacrimal system, and orbit. The anatomy of the face (including the lids, interocular distance, and presence or absence of epicanthal folds), orbital rims, and presence of oculofacial anomalies should be noted. The position of the head and face (including head tilt, turn, or chin-up or chin-down head posture) should be noted. Children who have prominent epicanthal folds and/or a wide, flat nasal bridge and normal binocular alignment often have the illusion of an esotropia (pseudoesotropia). Distinctive features unusual for the family may suggest the presence of a congenital anomaly and merit an assessment of other physical abnormalities (e.g., ears, hands).

PUPILLARY EXAMINATION

Pupils should be assessed for size, shape, symmetry, and response to light. To assess for a difference in pupil size, pupils should be observed in dim light. A difference of more than 1 millimeter may be clinically significant. Pupillary reactivity is observed by shining the light directly into each eye. The swinging-light test is used to assess for the presence of an afferent pupillary defect. In a darkened room, a penlight is shined in the right eye for less than 5 seconds, with the child fixing on a distant target. The pupil should constrict. Next, the light is brought quickly over the bridge of the nose to the left eye and the pupillary response is observed as for the right eye. The penlight is swung back and forth several times. A normal response is pupillary constriction or no change in pupil size. An abnormal response is pupillary dilation when the light is shined on the eye; that eye has an afferent pupil defect. An afferent pupil defect is usually a sign of a unilateral problem with the optic nerve or other areas in the anterior visual pathway.

Pupillary evaluation in infants and children may be challenging due to frequent shifts in the patient’s fixation and focusing.

FIXATION TESTING

The child’s attention should be engaged using a developmentally appropriate target such as a toy, the examiner or caregiver’s face, or a hand-held light. The ability of the child to gaze steadily at the target should be observed. The target should be moved horizontally and vertically, and the child’s ability to follow the target should be observed.

CORNEAL REFLEX ASSESSMENT

This test compares the position of the corneal light reflection in the two eyes. The examiner should encourage the child to fixate on a penlight from a distance of 12 inches (30 centimeters). Observe the positions of the two corneal reflexes. With normal corneal light reflection, symmetric reflexes are centered or slightly displaced nasally. If the eyes are misaligned, the reflexes will not be symmetric. If esotropia is present, one reflex will be temporally displaced. If exotropia is present, one reflex will be nasally displaced. If a vertical misalignment is present, one reflex will be displaced upward or downward.

COVER TESTING

The cover test is a more accurate test for eye misalignment than the corneal light reflection test. It requires more cooperation on the part of the patient and more skill on the part of the examiner. While the patient is fixing on a distant or near target, the examiner swiftly covers the right eye with an occluder as he or she observes the left eye for a refixation movement. The procedure is repeated with the cover over the left eye. No eye movement of either eye indicates normal eye alignment. A refixation movement of either eye is indicative of strabismus. Maintaining adequate fixation throughout the test is key to successful testing; a hand-held or mounted attention-getting device is useful.

INSTRUMENT-BASED VISION SCREENING

Instrument-based vision-screening techniques, such as photoscreening and autorefraction, are useful alternatives to visual acuity screening using eye charts for very young children and children with developmental delays, and they compare well with standard vision-testing techniques and cycloplegic refraction. They are not superior to quantitative visual acuity testing for children who
are able to participate in those tests. Most instrument-based vision-screening methods detect the presence of risk factors for amblyopia, including strabismus, high or asymmetric refractive errors, media opacities (e.g., cataract), retinal abnormalities (e.g., retinoblastoma), and ptosis. A 2005 multicenter study revealed that, within the pediatric office setting, photoscreening was superior to optotype testing for children between the ages of 3 and 6 years, and a 2008 study showed that children who underwent their first photoscreening prior to 2 years of age had superior eventual outcomes.67, 97, 123, 124

Photoscreening uses off-axis photography and photorefraction to evaluate refractive error by pupillary reflex crescents and alignment via the corneal light reflection (Hirschberg reflex), the binocular red reflex (Brückner) test, and crescentic dimensions. The images are interpreted by a central reading center or by computer. Autorefractive devices utilize optically automated skiascopy methods or wavefront technology to evaluate the refractive error of each eye. These data are analyzed on the basis of preset refractive error criteria to determine whether a child passes or fails a screening. Newer technology utilizing binocular retinal birefringence scanning detects amblyopia by determining the presence of an associated microstrabismus.195, 196

Referral criteria for instrument-based screening that detects amblyopia risk factors are specified by the manufacturer and vary by age. The child must be able to cooperate for the test, and the evaluator must know how to apply the technology properly and be familiar with the limitations of the test. The sensitivity and specificity of the instrument screening devices depend on the referral criteria utilized. Guidelines for a uniform set of amblyopia risk factors that should be detected by instrument-based screening are shown in Table A2.197 Criteria that emphasize a high rate of detection of at-risk children (i.e., high sensitivity) can result in excessive over-referrals (low specificity), whereas minimization of over-referrals can result in missing at-risk children (low sensitivity).

| TABLE A2: AMBLYOPIA RISK FACTORS TO BE DETECTED BY INSTRUMENT-BASED SCREENING |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|
| Age 12–30 months | Age 31–48 months | Age ≥49 months |
| Hyperopia | >4.50 D | >4.00 D | >3.50 D |
| Astigmatism | >2.00 D | >2.00 D | >1.50 D |
| Anisometropia | >2.50 D | >2.00 D | >1.50 D |
| Media opacities* | ● | ● | ● |
| Manifest strabismus* | ● | ● | ● |


D = diopter

* Risk factors should be detected in all age groups indicated.
APPENDIX 3. VISUAL ACUITY TESTING CHARTS

The World Health Organization (WHO) and the National Academy of Sciences Committee on Vision have made similar recommendations about optotype choice and arrangement on visual acuity testing charts. Optotypes should be clear, standardized, and of similar characteristics, and they should not reflect a cultural bias. Each line should contain five optotypes. Spacing between the optotypes should be proportional: the horizontal spacing between individual optotypes should be equal to the size of the optotype and the vertical spacing between lines should be the height of the optotypes in the lower line. Optotype sizes should generally be presented in 0.1 logMAR decrements. This arrangement leads to an inverted pyramid design for wall charts.

Visual acuity testing charts used with children that meet these recommendations include LEA Symbols (Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL), Sloan letters, Sloan numerals, Tumbling E, and HOTV. The Snellen chart is less desirable because the individual letters are not of equal legibility and the spacing of the letters does not meet WHO/Committee on Vision standards.

Several symbol charts have serious limitations for young children. These include Allen figures, the Lighthouse chart, and the Kindergarten (Sailboat) Eye Chart. In these charts, the optotypes are not standardized and are presented in a culturally biased fashion. Although the Tumbling E chart meets WHO/Committee on Vision recommendations, it is less desirable because it requires spatial orientation skills not mastered by all children. Other visual acuity charts are being developed to overcome these limitations, including the Handy Eye Chart and the Compact Reduced logMAR chart.

Table A3 provides details about the visual acuity testing charts that are commonly used.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Meets WHO/NAS Recommendations</th>
<th>Attributes/Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEA Symbols</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Attributes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Optotypes of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Inverted pyramid design with five optotypes per line (at visual acuities better than 20/100), proportional spacing between optotypes, and 0.1 logMAR decrements in optotype size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproduced with permission from Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloan Letters</td>
<td>Yes†</td>
<td>Attributes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Optotypes of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Inverted pyramid design with five optotypes per line, proportional spacing between optotypes, and 0.1 logMAR decrements in optotype size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproduced with permission from Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chart</td>
<td>Meets WHO*/NAS† Recommendations</td>
<td>Attributes/Challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOTV</td>
<td>Yes‡</td>
<td>Attributes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Optotypes of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Inverted pyramid design with five optotypes per line, proportional spacing between optotypes, and 0.1 logMAR decrements in optotype size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alternative:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• May also be presented with surrounding bars to account for crowding. For example:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reproduced with permission from Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snellen Letters</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Challenges:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Optotypes are not of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Variable number of optotypes per line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Nonproportional spacing between optotypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Nonstandard optotype size decrements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced with permission from Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL.

Image is in the public domain.
### TABLE A3  
**VISUAL ACUITY TESTING CHARTS (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Meets WHO*/NAS† Recommendations</th>
<th>Attributes/Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumbling E Chart</strong></td>
<td>No‡</td>
<td>Challenges:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>◆ Requires spatial orientation skills not mastered by all children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproduced with permission from Good-Lite Co., Elgin, IL.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Allen Figures** | No                              | Challenges:                                                                         |
|                  |                                 | ◆ Optotypes are not of similar legibility                                           |
|                  |                                 | ◆ Variable number of optotypes per line                                              |
|                  |                                 | ◆ Nonproportional spacing between optotypes                                          |
|                  |                                 | ◆ Nonstandard optotype size decrements                                               |
|                  |                                 | ◆ Optotypes not easily recognized by all children                                   |
| (e.g., telephone) |                                 |                                                                                     |

Allen HF. A new picture series for preschool vision testing. Am J Ophthalmol 1975;44:40. Copyright 1957. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier. All rights reserved.

---

1. NAS = National Academy of Sciences; WHO = World Health Organization
3. † Committee on Vision. Recommended standard procedures for the clinical measurement and specification of visual acuity.
4. ‡ Sloan, HOTV, and Tumbling E charts have chart designs that do not meet proportional spacing recommendations between individual optotypes and optotype lines.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart</th>
<th>Meets WHO*/NAS† Recommendations</th>
<th>Attributes/Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lighthouse Chart</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Challenges:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Optotypes are not of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Variable number of optotypes per line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nonproportional spacing between optotypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nonstandard optotype size decrements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten Eye Chart</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Challenges:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Optotypes are not of similar legibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Variable number of optotypes per line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nonproportional spacing between optotypes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Nonstandard optotype size decrements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduced with permission.

---

NAS = National Academy of Sciences; WHO = World Health Organization


‡ Sloan, HOTV, and Tumbling E charts have chart designs that do not meet proportional spacing recommendations between individual optotypes and optotype lines.
APPENDIX 4. LITERATURE SEARCHES FOR THIS PPP

Literature searches of the PubMed and Cochrane databases were conducted on March 5, 2016; the search strategies are provided at www.aao.org/ppp. Specific limited update searches were conducted after March 2016.
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Preferred Practice Pattern® Guidelines - Free download available at [www.aao.org/ffp](http://www.aao.org/ffp)

- Amblyopia (2017)
- Esotropia and Exotropia (2017)

To order any of these products, except for the free materials, please contact the Academy's Customer Service at 866.561.8558 (U.S. only) or 415.561.8540 or [www.aao.org/store](http://www.aao.org/store).
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