

PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Screening for Retinopathy in the Pediatric Patient With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

Gregg T. Lueder and Janet Silverstein

Pediatrics 2005;116:270

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0875

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/116/1/270.full.html>

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2005 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR PEDIATRIC OPHTHALMOLOGY
AND STRABISMUS

CLINICAL REPORT

Guidance for the Clinician in Rendering Pediatric Care

Screening for Retinopathy in the Pediatric Patient With Type 1
Diabetes Mellitus

ABSTRACT. Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is the leading cause of blindness in young adults in the United States. Early identification and treatment of DR can decrease the risk of vision loss in affected patients. This clinical report reviews the risk factors for the development of DR and screening guidance for pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics* 2005;116:270–273; type 1 diabetes mellitus, diabetic retinopathy, ophthalmic screening.

ABBREVIATIONS. DR, diabetic retinopathy; DCCT, Diabetes Control and Complications Trial.

BACKGROUND

Type 1 diabetes mellitus is one of the most common metabolic disorders in children, with a prevalence of approximately 2 per 1000 school-aged children in the United States. The prevalence of type 1 diabetes mellitus increases with age, and the overall incidence of the disease may be increasing. Although the incidence of type 2 diabetes in children is increasing, there are no data or guidelines regarding ophthalmic screening in children with this disorder. Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the most important complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus, representing the leading cause of blindness in young adults. There are 3 main components of a strategy to minimize the risk of visual loss attributable to DR: (1) provide the most effective treatment of the underlying metabolic disorder and its comorbidities; (2) develop optimal treatment modalities for patients with ocular disease; and (3) identify risk factors for the development of ocular disease and implement effective screening programs to identify at-risk patients. The first 2 have been evaluated in well-conducted, large, prospective trials.

Optimizing Metabolic Control

The efficacy of providing intensive treatment of the underlying metabolic disorder was evaluated by

the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT),¹ which clearly demonstrated the benefits of improving glycemic control and decreasing hemoglobin A1c concentrations in decreasing the complication rate. In this study, patients who received intensive treatment with either an insulin pump or 3 or more daily insulin injections, frequent phone calls and clinic visits, and self-management education substantially decreased their risk of both onset and progression of retinopathy, compared with patients treated with conventional therapy. The risk of retinopathy was decreased by 53% in children 13 to 17 years of age and with no retinopathy at study entry, and the risk of retinopathy progression was decreased by 70% in those who had retinopathy at the beginning of the study.²

One of the concerns regarding the institution of intensive metabolic control had been the potential for the acceleration of DR on the basis of a report by Daneman et al³ of 4 patients with poorly controlled diabetes mellitus and short stature who developed macular edema and severe proliferative DR shortly after initiation of appropriate insulin therapy. This complication was evaluated in patients enrolled in the DCCT, and early worsening over the first 6 to 12 months was found to be more prevalent in patients with intensive treatment (13.1%) compared with patients with conventional treatment (7.6%).⁴ However, the long-term outcomes in the patients with early worsening were the same or better than those treated with conventional therapy. The Kroc Collaborative Study Group⁵ also found that early worsening of DR was not sustained and was not associated with a worse long-term outcome. Additionally, the benefits of intensive therapy continued to be evident 7 years after the end of the DCCT, as demonstrated in the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications study.^{6–8} Thus, in most cases the potential for early worsening should not restrict institution of intensive glycemic control.

Optimizing Treatment of Retinopathy

The development of optimal treatment modalities for ocular disease has also been evaluated in several

studies, one of the most important of which is the Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study.⁹ This large study evaluated the benefit of early treatment for 2 ocular complications of type 1 diabetes mellitus, diabetic macular edema, and proliferative DR. Studies clearly demonstrated that patients with high-risk characteristics of these disorders experienced a marked improvement in outcome after laser therapy.^{9,10} The risk of moderate vision loss (eg, a doubling of the visual angle or 20/20 vision reduced to 20/40) from diabetic macular edema was decreased by approximately 50% with appropriate focal laser photocoagulation for clinically significant macular edema (from approximately 25% without treatment to approximately 12% with treatment). The risk of severe vision loss (best corrected vision of 5/200 or worse) from proliferative DR was decreased to <2% with appropriate scatter (panretinal) laser photocoagulation.

Identification of Risk Factors for Ocular Disease

DR typically follows a predictable progression.¹¹ Early nonproliferative DR is characterized by changes in retinal blood flow and other microvascular changes, which may lead to ischemia, small retinal hemorrhages, and leakage of exudative fluid within the retina. More severe nonproliferative DR is characterized by intraretinal microvascular abnormalities, more extensive hemorrhages and microaneurysms, and changes in venous caliber and tortuosity, reflecting progressive capillary closure and retinal ischemia. Proliferative DR is marked by fibrovascular proliferations on either the optic disk (new vessels on the disk) or new vessels elsewhere on the retina. Proliferative DR may cause vision loss by vitreous hemorrhage or contraction of fibrovascular tissue with subsequent retinal detachment. Laser surgery is promptly indicated when an eye approaches or reaches high-risk proliferative DR. High-risk proliferative DR is clearly defined and characterized by one or more of the following lesions: (1) new vessels on the optic disk approximately one fourth to one third disk area or more in size; (2) new vessels on the optic disk less than one fourth the disk area in size when fresh vitreous hemorrhage or preretinal hemorrhage is present; or (3) new vessels elsewhere on the retina greater than or equal to one half the disk area in size when fresh vitreous hemorrhage or preretinal hemorrhage is present.

The goal of a regular eye examination is to identify and treat patients before the development of vision-threatening complications. Diabetic macular edema can be present with any level of nonproliferative or proliferative DR. The role of ophthalmologic screening programs for DR will be the focus of this report.

FACTORS THAT AFFECT ONSET OF DR

Several epidemiologic studies have evaluated risk factors for development of DR. Some of these factors are amenable to treatment, resulting in a decreased risk of DR, such as optimizing metabolic control as reported in the DCCT, discontinuing smoking, avoiding obesity, and monitoring blood pressure. Other factors such as patient age, duration of disease,

and the effects of puberty and pregnancy are not modifiable. The impact of the individual risk factors may be difficult to isolate, because they are not independent of one another (ie, the longer the duration of the disease, the older the patient will be).

Duration of Disease

The duration of diabetes is unequivocally one of the most important risk factors for the development of DR. Essentially all studies demonstrate that the risk of DR increases with time in individuals with diabetes. In a study of 996 patients who had been diagnosed with type 1 diabetes mellitus when they were younger than 30 years, Klein et al¹² found that the prevalence of DR increased from 17% for patients with diabetes for less than 5 years to 98% for patients with diabetes for 15 or more years. The prevalence of proliferative DR increased from 1% in patients with diabetes for less than 10 years to 67% in patients with diabetes for 35 or more years. A few studies have reported mild DR in children with duration of disease as short as 1 to 2 years,^{13,14} but in most studies the duration is 3 or more years, with typical durations of 8 to 10 years before development of DR.^{15,16}

Age

The effect of age on the development of DR is linked to the duration of the disease (patients with longer durations are typically older). What is clear is that young children (younger than 10 years) with type 1 diabetes mellitus are at minimal risk of the development of significant ocular complications. The presence of any DR before 10 years of age has been reported rarely,^{13,14} and these cases have been mild. In a series of 996 patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus who had been diagnosed before 30 years old, Klein et al¹² found that mild DR was identified in only 1 patient in the first decade of life, and moderate DR was identified in 1 patient between 10 and 14 years of age. Neither of these patients required treatment. In a follow-up study of 634 patients by Klein et al,¹⁷ no patient who was younger than 10 years at the time of diagnosis of type 1 diabetes mellitus developed proliferative DR within 10 years of diagnosis. In a comprehensive review of the literature, no report of proliferative DR could be found in a patient in the first decade of life.

Puberty

The effect of puberty on the development of DR has been difficult to clearly elucidate. Although the duration of diabetes before puberty affects the onset of DR,^{14,18} there is good evidence that the hormonal changes associated with puberty exert an effect that is independent of age and duration of disease. Rogers et al,¹⁹ in a study of 76 patients, found a significantly higher prevalence of DR in late pubertal subjects compared with prepubertal subjects despite similar duration of disease and similar glycosylated hemoglobin concentrations. In a similar study, Murphy et al²⁰ found that the relative risk of having DR in a pubescent group of children compared with a prepubescent group was 4.8.

Pregnancy

Pregnancy represents another well-established risk factor for DR. Several studies have demonstrated progression of DR during pregnancy.^{21–24} Factors that exacerbate the acceleration of DR during pregnancy include poor metabolic control, hypertension, and a baseline degree of retinopathy. The large studies of pregnancy and DR do not include pediatric patients, and we are unaware of any study that specifically addresses the effects of pregnancy in adolescent patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.

GUIDELINES FOR OPHTHALMIC SCREENING FOR DR

Screening guidelines for DR have been published previously by the American Academy of Pediatrics,²⁵ the American Academy of Ophthalmology,²⁶ and the American Diabetes Association.²⁷ The recommendations regarding pediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus are similar. The American Academy of Ophthalmology recommends annual screening beginning 5 years after the onset of diabetes.²⁶ The guidelines from the American Diabetes Association include annual screening beginning 3 to 5 years after diagnosis of diabetes once the patient is 10 years or older.²⁷ The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends an initial examination 3 to 5 years after diagnosis if older than 9 years, with annual follow-ups thereafter.²⁵

The recommendations reflect the fact that the incidence of DR in young children is negligibly small, and therefore children younger than 9 years do not require screening for DR. The incidence of retinopathy in young adolescents is also very low, particularly for proliferative DR. Although the risk of DR typically does not increase significantly until 8 to 10 years after diagnosis, the recommendation for annual screening beginning 3 to 5 years after diagnosis (in children who are older than 9 years) is reasonable, given that DR has been reported occasionally within this time.

Because children with type 1 diabetes mellitus are at a greatly increased risk of visual loss over the course of their lives, special attention should be given to identifying other causes of visual loss in these patients. Screening for potentially treatable visual disorders such as amblyopia is recommended for all children²⁸ and should be performed with particular care in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Patient and parent education regarding the benefits of optimal metabolic control is also beneficial early in the course of the disease.

IMPLEMENTATION

The development of appropriate screening strategies for detecting DR in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus is important, but guidelines are of little use if they are not implemented. Unfortunately, studies that evaluate this aspect of care have been discouraging. In a study by Witkin and Klein²⁹ that included 902 young patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus, 26% had never had an ophthalmologic examination, including 11% of patients at high risk of visual loss.

In an Australian study that was performed before and one year after distribution of ophthalmic screening guidelines, McCarty et al³⁰ found that the guidelines had been distributed successfully, but there was no significant change in management practice. The usefulness of digital photography in detecting retinopathy has been demonstrated.³¹ This technology holds great promise but is unlikely to become widely used until it can be performed rapidly, simply, and at a reasonable cost. Studies that evaluate methods to improve implementation of guidelines could potentially provide great benefit to patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus.

SECTION ON OPHTHALMOLOGY, 2003–2004

Steven J. Lichtenstein, MD, Chairperson
Edward G. Buckley, MD
George S. Ellis, Jr, MD
Jane D. Kivlin, MD
*Gregg T. Lueder, MD
James B. Ruben, MD

PAST SECTION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Gary T. Denslow, MD, MPH, Immediate Past Chairperson
Inger Hansen, MD

LIAISONS

Kyle A. Arnoldi, CO
American Association of Certified Orthoptists
Thomas K. Koch, MD
National Conference and Exhibition Planning Group
Michael R. Redmond, MD
American Academy of Ophthalmology
Michael X. Repka, MD
American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus

STAFF

S. Niccole Alexander, MPP

SECTION ON ENDOCRINOLOGY, 2003–2004

*Janet Silverstein, MD, Chairperson
Surendra Kumar Varma, MD, Chairperson-elect
Stuart J. Brink, MD
Kenneth C. Copeland, MD
Francine R. Kaufman, MD
Paul B. Kaplowitz, MD
Robert P. Schwartz, MD, Immediate Past Chairperson

STAFF

Laura Laskosz, MPH

*Lead authors

REFERENCES

1. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;329:977–986
2. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Effect of intensive diabetes treatment on the development and progression of long-term complications in adolescents with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Pediatr.* 1994;125:177–188
3. Daneman D, Drash AL, Lobes LA, Becker DJ, Baker LM, Travis LB. Progressive retinopathy with improved control in diabetic dwarfism (Mauriac's syndrome). *Diabetes Care.* 1981;4:360–365

4. Early worsening of diabetic retinopathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial [published correction appears in *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1998;116:1469]. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1998;116:874–886
5. The Kroc Collaborative Study Group. Diabetic retinopathy after two years of intensified insulin treatment. Follow-up of the Kroc Collaborative Study. *JAMA*. 1988;260:37–41
6. White NH, Cleary PA, Dahms W, Goldstein D, Malone J, Tamborlane WV. Beneficial effects of intensive therapy of diabetes during adolescence: outcomes after the conclusion of the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT). *J Pediatr*. 2001;139:804–812
7. Writing Team for the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Sustained effect of intensive treatment of type 1 diabetes mellitus on development and progression of diabetic nephropathy: the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (EDIC) study. *JAMA*. 2003;290:2159–2167
8. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications Research Group. Retinopathy and nephropathy in patients with type 1 diabetes four years after a trial of intensive therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342:381–389
9. Early Treatment of Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group. Photocoagulation therapy for diabetic eye disease. *JAMA*. 1985;254:3086
10. Photocoagulation treatment of proliferative diabetic retinopathy: the second report of diabetic retinopathy study findings. *Ophthalmology*. 1978;85:82–106
11. Wilkinson CP, Ferris FL III, Klein RE, et al. Proposed international clinical diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema disease severity scales. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110:1677–1682
12. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. II. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is less than 30 years. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1984;102:520–526
13. Donaghue KC, Fairchild JM, Chan A, et al. Diabetes microvascular complications in prepubertal children. *J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab*. 1997;10:579–585
14. Holl RW, Lang GE, Grabert M, Heinze E, Lang GK, Debatin KM. Diabetic retinopathy in pediatric patients with type-1 diabetes: effect of diabetes duration, prepubertal and pubertal onset of diabetes, and metabolic control. *J Pediatr*. 1998;132:790–794
15. Verougstraete C, Toussaint D, De Schepper J, Haentjens M, Dorchy H. First microangiographic abnormalities in childhood diabetes—types of lesions. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 1991;29:24–32
16. Malone JI, Grizzard S, Espinoza LR, Achenbach KE, Van Cader TC. Risk factors for diabetic retinopathy in youth. *Pediatrics*. 1984;73:756–761
17. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Cruickshanks KJ. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy: XVII. The 14-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy and associated risk factors in type 1 diabetes. *Ophthalmology*. 1998;105:1801–1815
18. Donaghue KC, Fung AT, Hing S, et al. The effect of prepubertal diabetes duration on diabetes. Microvascular complications in early and late adolescence. *Diabetes Care*. 1997;20:77–80
19. Rogers DG, White NH, Shalwitz RA, Palmberg P, Smith ME, Santiago JV. The effect of puberty on the development of early diabetic microvascular disease in insulin-dependent diabetes. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*. 1987;3:39–44
20. Murphy RP, Nanda M, Plotnick L, Enger C, Vitale S, Patz A. The relationship of puberty to diabetic retinopathy. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 1990;108:215–218
21. Moloney JB, Drury MI. The effect of pregnancy on the natural course of diabetic retinopathy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1982;93:745–756
22. Klein BE, Moss SE, Klein R. Effect of pregnancy on progression of diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 1990;13:34–40
23. Effect of pregnancy on microvascular complications in the diabetes control and complications trial. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. *Diabetes Care*. 2000;23:1084–1091
24. Chew EY, Mills JL, Metzger BE, et al. Metabolic control and progression of retinopathy. The Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Diabetes in Early Pregnancy Study. *Diabetes Care*. 1995;18:631–637
25. American Academy of Pediatrics, Section on Endocrinology and Section on Ophthalmology. Screening for retinopathy in the pediatric patient with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Pediatrics*. 1998;101:313–314
26. American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina Panel. *Preferred Practice Pattern: Diabetic Retinopathy*. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2003. Available at: www.aao.org/ppp. Accessed March 30, 2005
27. American Diabetes Association. Diabetic retinopathy. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(suppl 1):S90–S93
28. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Practice and Ambulatory Medicine, Section on Ophthalmology, American Association of Certified Orthoptists, American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, American Academy of Ophthalmology. Eye examination and vision screening in infants, children, and young adults. *Pediatrics*. 2003;111:902–907
29. Witkin SR, Klein R. Ophthalmologic care for persons with diabetes. *JAMA*. 1984;251:2534–2537
30. McCarty CA, Taylor KI, McKay R, Keeffe JE; Working Group on Evaluation of NHMRC Diabetic Retinopathy Guidelines. Diabetic retinopathy: effects of national guidelines on the referral, examination and treatment practices of ophthalmologists and optometrists. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol*. 2001;29:52–58
31. Lin DY, Blumenkranz MS, Brothers RJ, Grosvenor DM. The sensitivity and specificity of single-field nonmydriatic monochromatic digital fundus photography with remote image interpretation for diabetic retinopathy screening: a comparison with ophthalmoscopy and standardized mydriatic color photography. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2002;134:204–213

All clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

Screening for Retinopathy in the Pediatric Patient With Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus

Gregg T. Lueder and Janet Silverstein

Pediatrics 2005;116:270

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0875

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/116/1/270.full.html
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/suppl/2005/10/27/116.1.270.DC1.html
References	This article cites 30 articles, 8 of which can be accessed free at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/116/1/270.full.html#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/116/1/270.full.html#related-urls
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Section on Endocrinology http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/section_on_endocrinology Section on Ophthalmology http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/section_on_ophthalmology Ophthalmology http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/cgi/collection/ophthalmology_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/site/misc/reprints.xhtml

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2005 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

