

PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN®



**Posterior Vitreous
Detachment,
Retinal Breaks, and
Lattice Degeneration**

Secretary for Quality of Care
Anne L. Coleman, MD, PhD

Academy Staff
Nicholas P. Emptage, MAE
Doris Mizuri
Shannon Kealey, MLS
Flora C. Lum, MD

Medical Editor: Susan Garratt
Design: Socorro Soberano

Approved by: Board of Trustees
September 20, 2014

Copyright © 2014 American Academy of Ophthalmology®
All rights reserved

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF OPHTHALMOLOGY and PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN are registered trademarks of the American Academy of Ophthalmology. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

This document should be cited as follows:
American Academy of Ophthalmology Retina/Vitreous Panel. Preferred Practice Pattern® Guidelines. Posterior Vitreous Detachment, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2014. Available at: www.aao.org/ppp.

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are developed by the Academy's H. Dunbar Hoskins Jr., M.D. Center for Quality Eye Care without any external financial support. Authors and reviewers of the guidelines are volunteers and do not receive any financial compensation for their contributions to the documents. The guidelines are externally reviewed by experts and stakeholders before publication.



RETINA/VITREOUS PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN[®] DEVELOPMENT PROCESS AND PARTICIPANTS

The **Retina/Vitreous Preferred Practice Pattern[®] Panel** members wrote the Posterior Vitreous Detachment, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration Preferred Practice Pattern[®] (PPP) guidelines. The PPP Panel members discussed and reviewed successive drafts of the document, meeting in person twice and conducting other review by e-mail discussion, to develop a consensus over the final version of the document.

Retina/Vitreous Preferred Practice Pattern Panel 2013–2014

Timothy W. Olsen, MD, Chair

Ron A. Adelman, MD, MPH, MBA, FACS, Retina Society Representative

Christina J. Flaxel, MD

James C. Folk, MD, American Society of Retina Specialists Representative

Jose S. Pulido, MD, MS, Macula Society Representative

Carl D. Regillo, MD, FACS

Leslie Hyman, PhD, Methodologist

The **Preferred Practice Patterns Committee** members reviewed and discussed the document during a meeting in March 2014. The document was edited in response to the discussion and comments.

Preferred Practice Patterns Committee 2014

Stephen D. McLeod, MD, Chair

Robert S. Feder, MD

Timothy W. Olsen, MD

Bruce E. Prum, Jr., MD

C. Gail Summers, MD

Ruth D. Williams, MD

David C. Musch, PhD, MPH, Methodologist

The Posterior Vitreous Detachment, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP was then sent for review to additional internal and external groups and individuals in June 2014. All those returning comments were required to provide disclosure of relevant relationships with industry to have their comments considered. Members of the Retina/Vitreous Preferred Practice Pattern Panel reviewed and discussed these comments and determined revisions to the document.

Academy Reviewers

Board of Trustees and Committee of Secretaries
Council

General Counsel

Ophthalmic Technology Assessment Committee

Retina/Vitreous Panel

Basic and Clinical Science Course Subcommittee

Practicing Ophthalmologists Advisory Committee for
Education

Invited Reviewers

American Society of Retina Specialists

Canadian Ophthalmological Society

Central American Retina and Vitreous Society

European Society of Retina Specialists

The Macula Society

National Eye Institute

National Medical Association

Pan-American Retina and Vitreous Society

The Retina Society

Thai Retina Society

H. Culver Boldt, MD



FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

In compliance with the Council of Medical Specialty Societies' Code for Interactions with Companies (available at www.cmss.org/codeforinteractions.aspx), relevant relationships with industry are listed. The Academy has Relationship with Industry Procedures to comply with the Code (available at <http://one.aao.org/CE/PracticeGuidelines/PPP.aspx>). A majority (86%) of the members of the Retina/Vitreous Preferred Practice Pattern Panel 2013–2014 had no financial relationship to disclose.

Retina/Vitreous Preferred Practice Pattern Panel 2013–2014

Ron A. Adelman, MD, MPH, MBA, FACS: No financial relationships to disclose

Christina J. Flaxel, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

James C. Folk, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Leslie Hyman, PhD: No financial relationships to disclose

Timothy W. Olsen, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Jose S. Pulido, MD, MS: No financial relationships to disclose

Carl D. Regillo, MD, FACS: Alcon Laboratories, Inc., Allergan, Inc., Genentech, Inc., Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., ThromboGenics, Inc. – Consultant/Advisor

Preferred Practice Patterns Committee 2014

Robert S. Feder, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Stephen D. McLeod, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

David C. Musch, PhD, MPH: Neurotech USA, Inc. – Consultant/Advisor

Timothy W. Olsen, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Bruce E. Prum, Jr., MD: No financial relationships to disclose

C. Gail Summers, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Ruth D. Williams, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Secretary for Quality of Care

Anne L. Coleman, MD, PhD: No financial relationships to disclose

Academy Staff

Nicholas P. Emptage, MAE: No financial relationships to disclose

Susan Garratt: No financial relationships to disclose

Shannon Kealey, MLS: No financial relationships to disclose

Flora C. Lum, MD: No financial relationships to disclose

Doris Mizuiri: No financial relationships to disclose

The disclosures of relevant relationships to industry of other reviewers of the document from January to August 2014 are available online at www.aao.org/ppp.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

OBJECTIVES OF PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN GUIDELINES	2
METHODS AND KEY TO RATINGS	3
HIGHLIGHTED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CARE	4
INTRODUCTION	5
Disease Definition	5
Patient Population	5
Clinical Objectives	5
BACKGROUND	5
Posterior Vitreous Detachment	5
Evolution of Retinal Breaks and Lattice Degeneration	6
Asymptomatic Retinal Breaks	6
Symptomatic Retinal Breaks	6
Lattice Degeneration	7
Incidence of Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment	7
Risk Factors for Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment	7
Myopia	7
Lattice Degeneration	7
Cataract Surgery	7
Trauma	8
Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment in the Fellow Eye	8
Other Risk Factors	8
CARE PROCESS	8
Patient Outcome Criteria	8
Diagnosis	8
History	9
Ophthalmic Examination	9
Diagnostic Tests	9
Management	9
Prevention	9
Surgical Management	10
Complications of Treatment	11
Follow-up Evaluation	11
Counseling and Referral	12
Socioeconomic Considerations	12
APPENDIX 1. QUALITY OF OPHTHALMIC CARE CORE CRITERIA	13
APPENDIX 2. INTERNATIONAL STATISTICAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES AND RELATED HEALTH PROBLEMS (ICD) CODES	15
APPENDIX 3. PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN RECOMMENDATION GRADING	16
GLOSSARY	21
SUMMARY BENCHMARKS	23
RELATED ACADEMY MATERIALS	24
REFERENCES	24



OBJECTIVES OF PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN® GUIDELINES

As a service to its members and the public, the American Academy of Ophthalmology has developed a series of Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines that **identify characteristics and components of quality eye care**. Appendix 1 describes the core criteria of quality eye care.

The Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are based on the best available scientific data as interpreted by panels of knowledgeable health professionals. In some instances, such as when results of carefully conducted clinical trials are available, the data are particularly persuasive and provide clear guidance. In other instances, the panels have to rely on their collective judgment and evaluation of available evidence.

These documents provide guidance for the pattern of practice, not for the care of a particular individual. While they should generally meet the needs of most patients, they cannot possibly best meet the needs of all patients. Adherence to these PPPs will not ensure a successful outcome in every situation. These practice patterns should not be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care reasonably directed at obtaining the best results. It may be necessary to approach different patients' needs in different ways. The physician must make the ultimate judgment about the propriety of the care of a particular patient in light of all of the circumstances presented by that patient. The American Academy of Ophthalmology is available to assist members in resolving ethical dilemmas that arise in the course of ophthalmic practice.

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are not medical standards to be adhered to in all individual situations. The Academy specifically disclaims any and all liability for injury or other damages of any kind, from negligence or otherwise, for any and all claims that may arise out of the use of any recommendations or other information contained herein.

References to certain drugs, instruments, and other products are made for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to constitute an endorsement of such. Such material may include information on applications that are not considered community standard, that reflect indications not included in approved U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling, or that are approved for use only in restricted research settings. The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA status of each drug or device he or she wishes to use, and to use them with appropriate patient consent in compliance with applicable law.

Innovation in medicine is essential to ensure the future health of the American public, and the Academy encourages the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic methods that will improve eye care. It is essential to recognize that true medical excellence is achieved only when the patients' needs are the foremost consideration.

All Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are reviewed by their parent panel annually or earlier if developments warrant and updated accordingly. To ensure that all PPPs are current, each is valid for 5 years from the "approved by" date unless superseded by a revision. Preferred Practice Pattern guidelines are funded by the Academy without commercial support. Authors and reviewers of PPPs are volunteers and do not receive any financial compensation for their contributions to the documents. The PPPs are externally reviewed by experts and stakeholders, including consumer representatives, before publication. The PPPs are developed in compliance with the Council of Medical Specialty Societies' Code for Interactions with Companies. The Academy has Relationship with Industry Procedures (available at <http://one.aao.org/CE/PracticeGuidelines/PPP.aspx>) to comply with the Code.

Appendix 2 contains the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) codes for the disease entities that this PPP covers. The intended users of the Posterior Vitreous Detachment, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP are ophthalmologists.



METHODS AND KEY TO RATINGS

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines should be clinically relevant and specific enough to provide useful information to practitioners. Where evidence exists to support a recommendation for care, the recommendation should be given an explicit rating that shows the strength of evidence. To accomplish these aims, methods from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network¹ (SIGN) and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation² (GRADE) group are used. GRADE is a systematic approach to grading the strength of the total body of evidence that is available to support recommendations on a specific clinical management issue. Organizations that have adopted GRADE include SIGN, the World Health Organization, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Policy, and the American College of Physicians.³

- ◆ All studies used to form a recommendation for care are graded for strength of evidence individually, and that grade is listed with the study citation.
- ◆ To rate individual studies, a scale based on SIGN¹ is used. The definitions and levels of evidence to rate individual studies are as follows:

I++	High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias
I+	Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias
I-	Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias
II++	High-quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies High-quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal
II+	Well-conducted case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal
II-	Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal
III	Nonanalytic studies (e.g., case reports, case series)

- ◆ Recommendations for care are formed based on the body of the evidence. The body of evidence quality ratings are defined by GRADE² as follows:

Good quality	Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect
Moderate quality	Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate
Insufficient quality	Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate Any estimate of effect is very uncertain

- ◆ Key recommendations for care are defined by GRADE² as follows:

Strong recommendation	Used when the desirable effects of an intervention clearly outweigh the undesirable effects or clearly do not
Discretionary recommendation	Used when the trade-offs are less certain—either because of low-quality evidence or because evidence suggests that desirable and undesirable effects are closely balanced

- ◆ The Highlighted Findings and Recommendations for Care section lists points determined by the PPP Panel to be of particular importance to vision and quality of life outcomes.
- ◆ All recommendations for care in this PPP were rated using the system described above. To locate ratings for specific recommendations, see Appendix 3 for additional information.
- ◆ A literature search to update the PPP was undertaken in June 2013 in PubMed and the Cochrane Library. Complete details of the literature search are available at www.ao.org/ppp.



HIGHLIGHTED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CARE

Acute horseshoe retinal tears and traumatic breaks usually require treatment.

Asymptomatic atrophic or operculated retinal breaks rarely need treatment. More generally, an eye that has atrophic round holes within lattice lesions, has minimal subretinal fluid without progression, or lacks evidence of posterior vitreous detachment (PVD), does not require treatment.

The goal of treating retinal breaks is to create a firm chorioretinal adhesion to surround the retinal tear in the attached adjacent retina.

An early diagnosis of a retinal detachment is important because the rate of successful reattachment is higher and the visual results are better when repaired early, especially before the rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) involves the macula.

Lattice degeneration is present in 6% to 8% of the population and increases the risk of retinal detachment.

Patients presenting with an acute PVD and no retinal breaks have a small chance (~2%) of developing retinal breaks in the weeks that follow. Selected patients, particularly those with any degree of vitreous pigment, vitreous or retinal hemorrhage, or visible vitreoretinal traction, should be asked to return for a second examination promptly with new symptoms or within six weeks following the onset of PVD symptoms.

Long-term follow-up is important, even when a patient has had adequate treatment. Between 5% and 14% of patients found to have an initial retinal break will develop additional breaks during long-term follow-up. New breaks may occur in eyes that have had cataract surgery.

Treatment of peripheral horseshoe tears should be extended to the ora serrata. The most common cause of failure in treating horseshoe tears is failure to adequately completely treat the tear, particularly along the anterior border (where they are more difficult to visualize).



INTRODUCTION

DISEASE DEFINITION

Posterior vitreous detachment (PVD) is a separation of the posterior vitreous cortex from the internal limiting membrane of the retina.⁴ (See Glossary.) Vitreous traction at sites of significant vitreoretinal adhesion is responsible for most retinal breaks that lead to retinal detachment. Retinal breaks are defined as full-thickness defects in the retina. Lattice degeneration is a peripheral vitreoretinal condition characterized by retinal thinning, overlying vitreous liquefaction, and firm vitreoretinal adhesions at the margins of thinning. Most lesions are ovoid, with the long axes of lattice running parallel to the ora serrata. Round holes occur frequently within areas of lattice degeneration. Lattice degeneration is a vitreoretinal degenerative process that predisposes to retinal tears and detachment. Vitreomacular traction may develop when the vitreous partially separates from the macula, potentially leading to mechanical distortion of the macula that may correspond to visual symptoms.⁴ (See Glossary.)

PATIENT POPULATION

Individuals may present with symptoms or signs suggestive of PVD, retinal breaks, vitreous hemorrhage, retinal detachment, or vitreomacular traction. Other individuals may not be symptomatic and, based on clinical examination findings, may have an increased risk of retinal detachment as the vitreous separates.

CLINICAL OBJECTIVES

- ◆ Identify patients at risk of developing a rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD)
- ◆ Examine symptomatic patients with an acute PVD to detect and treat associated retinal breaks or tears
- ◆ Recognize the evolution of retinal breaks and lattice degeneration
- ◆ Manage patients at high risk of developing retinal detachment
- ◆ Educate high-risk patients about symptoms of PVD, retinal breaks, and retinal detachments as well as the need for periodic follow-up



BACKGROUND

POSTERIOR VITREOUS DETACHMENT

Population-based studies that evaluate incidence and prevalence of PVD are difficult to conduct due to the lack of definite clinical signs and unreliable clinical tests. A PVD typically occurs between the ages of 45 and 65 in the general population; however, the posterior vitreous may detach earlier in myopic patients.⁵ Posterior vitreous detachment leads to vitreous traction at the vitreous base and in areas of lattice degeneration, and thereby, secondarily, is thought to cause most symptomatic retinal breaks that may lead to a RRD. The symptoms of a PVD include light flashes and floaters, and patients with such symptoms are at a higher risk for retinal detachment.⁶⁻¹⁰ The stages of a PVD are described in Table 1.⁴ Patients typically report the light flashes characteristic of a PVD as being most noticeable in the dark. Such photopsias are likely the result of vitreous traction on the peripheral retina as the vitreous separates from the posterior retina toward the vitreous base. The floaters may be due to blood from a torn or avulsed retinal vessel, condensations of vitreous collagen, or the epipapillary glial tissue (Weiss ring) that is torn from the optic nerve head and area adjacent to the optic nerve head. Between 8% and 26% of patients with acute PVD symptoms have a retinal tear at the time of the initial examination.^{8,11-14} There is a direct correlation between the amount of vitreous hemorrhage and the likelihood of a retinal tear.¹⁵ Patients with an acute PVD who have no reported retinal breaks on presentation have a 2% to 5% chance of experiencing a detected (missed or new) break in the weeks that follow.^{9,12,16}

PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP

TABLE 1 STAGES* OF POSTERIOR VITREOUS DETACHMENT

Stage 1	Perifoveal separation with adhesion of vitreous to the fovea
Stage 2	Complete separation of vitreous from the macula
Stage 3	Extensive vitreous separation with adhesion of vitreous to the disc
Stage 4	Complete posterior vitreous detachment

These stages can be studied with optical coherence tomography.^{4,17}

* The proposed staging levels may not imply a linear, staged progression of a posterior vitreous detachment.

Approximately 80% of patients who presented without detected breaks, and then had breaks occur subsequently, had either pigmented cells or hemorrhage in the vitreous or retina at the initial evaluation, or new symptoms that prompted a return visit to the ophthalmologist.¹²

A spontaneous vitreous hemorrhage can be the presenting sign of PVD or may occur during the evolution of the PVD. Two-thirds of patients who present with associated vitreous hemorrhage were found to have at least one break. In this subgroup, one-third had more than one break and approximately 88% of the breaks occurred in the superior quadrants.¹⁸

EVOLUTION OF RETINAL BREAKS AND LATTICE DEGENERATION

Precursors to RRDs are PVD, asymptomatic retinal breaks, symptomatic retinal breaks, lattice degeneration, and cystic and zonular traction retinal tufts. (See Glossary.) Because spontaneous retinal reattachment is rare, nearly all patients with a symptomatic clinical RRD will progressively lose vision unless the detachment is repaired. Currently, more than 95% of uncomplicated RRDs can be successfully repaired, although more than one procedure may be required.¹⁹ The prophylactic treatment of high-risk breaks usually prevents progression to RRD. An early diagnosis of a RRD is also important because the rate of successful reattachment is higher and the visual results are better when repaired early and especially before the RRD involves the macula.^{11,13} The goal of RRD treatment is to allow patients to maintain their abilities to read, work, drive, care for themselves, and enjoy a better quality of life.¹⁴

Asymptomatic Retinal Breaks

Asymptomatic operculated holes and atrophic round holes rarely lead to retinal detachment. Byer followed 46 asymptomatic eyes with operculated retinal breaks over an average of 11 years.²⁰ Davis followed 28 eyes for up to 5 years in subjects where 80% of the fellow eyes had a retinal detachment.^{21,22} All combined, none of the 74 eyes from these studies progressed to retinal detachment during the follow-up period.

Eyes with signs and symptoms of acute PVD may have atrophic retinal breaks with clinical features suggesting that they are unrelated to the acute vitreoretinal traction from the PVD. Such breaks are considered to be pre-existing rather than symptomatic. Treatment may be considered for these breaks in certain situations, although the literature provides little guidance.²² Randomized clinical trials are not available for guidance; therefore, there is limited evidence to support prophylactic therapy.²²

Approximately 5% of eyes with asymptomatic horseshoe tears progress to retinal detachment.^{20,23,24} Horseshoe tears discovered in asymptomatic fellow eyes are less likely than symptomatic horseshoe tears to lead to clinical retinal detachment. (See Glossary.)

Symptomatic Retinal Breaks

A symptomatic retinal break is defined as a break caused by vitreoretinal traction in a patient with a new PVD or a break associated with new-onset flashes and/or floaters. At least half of untreated symptomatic retinal breaks with persistent vitreoretinal traction (horseshoe or flap tears) will lead to a clinical retinal detachment unless treatment is applied.^{21,25,26} (See Glossary.) Treatment by prompt creation of a chorioretinal adhesion around these symptomatic tears reduces the risk of retinal detachment to less than 5%.²⁵⁻³⁰ Traumatic dialyses and tears along the vitreous base are managed similarly to symptomatic tears. Symptomatic operculated breaks usually do not progress to a clinical retinal detachment unless the vitreous remains adherent to the retina surrounding the break.^{21,26}

Lattice Degeneration

Generally, atrophic round holes within lattice lesions that are accompanied by minimal subretinal fluid and no PVD do not require treatment. However, lattice degeneration is a risk factor for developing a RRD either from round holes without PVD or tractional-related holes associated with PVD. Myopic patients with lattice degeneration and round holes need careful follow-up visits and must clearly understand the symptoms of progression, because small, localized retinal detachments may develop and enlarge to become clinical retinal detachments. Prophylactic treatment should be considered when the detachments are documented to increase in size and show signs of progression.^{23,31}

One analysis studied 423 eyes with lattice degeneration in 276 patients over a period averaging nearly 11 years.³¹ Of these, 150 eyes (35%) had atrophic holes in lattice, and 10 of these 150 eyes had subretinal fluid extending more than one disc diameter from the break (subclinical retinal detachment. (See Glossary.) Six other eyes developed new subclinical retinal detachments during follow-up. Clinical retinal detachments developed in three of the 423 eyes. Two were due to round retinal holes in lattice lesions of patients in their mid-20s and one was due to a symptomatic tractional tear. These data indicate that patients with lattice degeneration with or without round holes are at a *very low risk* for progression to clinical retinal detachment without a previous RRD in the fellow eye.

More commonly, RRD occurs in eyes with lattice degeneration when a PVD induces a horseshoe tear. Such tears should be treated using either laser demarcation or cryotherapy.^{23,31}

INCIDENCE OF RHEGMATOGENOUS RETINAL DETACHMENT

The annual incidence of RRD is approximately 10 to 18 per 100,000 persons.³²⁻³⁴ Of these, 20% to 40% have had cataract surgery and 10% have had ocular trauma.^{19,35,36} In a recent study from the Netherlands, the annual RRD incidence was 18 per 100,000 people (95% CI, 11–19), with a peak incidence of 53 per 100,000 people (95% CI, 29–57) between 55 and 59 years of age. The rate of bilateral RRD was 1.7%. Prior cataract surgery was reported in 34% of RRD eyes.³⁴

RISK FACTORS FOR RHEGMATOGENOUS RETINAL DETACHMENT

Aside from retinal breaks, risk factors for RRD include myopia, lattice degeneration, cataract or other intraocular surgery, yttrium-aluminum-garnet (Nd:YAG) laser, trauma, a history of RRD in the other eye, or a strong family history of retinal detachments. Combinations of these factors may increase the risk.

Myopia

More than half of nontraumatic RRD occurs in myopic eyes.³⁷ Increasing axial length increases the risk of RRD proportionately. One study found that individuals with low myopia (1–3 diopters) have a fourfold risk of RRD,³⁷ and higher levels of myopia have higher risks compared with nonmyopic individuals.^{37,38}

Lattice Degeneration

Lattice degeneration is present in 6% to 8% of the population and increases the risk of retinal detachment.^{31,39} Approximately 20% to 30% of patients with RRD have lattice degeneration.³¹

Cataract Surgery

The overall risk of RRD after cataract surgery is approximately 1%.⁴⁰⁻⁴² The following conditions have been reported to increase the risk of RRD after cataract surgery: axial myopia, pre-existing vitreoretinal disease, male gender, younger age, vitreous prolapse into the anterior chamber, vitreous loss (ruptured posterior capsule/zonules), and spontaneous extension of the capsulotomy at the time of surgery.^{43,44} One study suggests that in the absence of a posterior capsular tear at the time of cataract surgery, subsequent Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy may not increase the risk of retinal detachment.⁴⁵ Other studies suggest that Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy is associated with a fourfold increase in the risk of RRD, especially in myopic patients.^{35,36,46-53}

Trauma

Patients with blunt or penetrating ocular injuries that have altered the structure of the vitreous or retina are at increased risk of RRD.⁵⁴ Vitreoretinal interface changes caused by trauma may be detected at the time of injury or even many years later.

Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment in the Fellow Eye

Patients with a history of nontraumatic detachment in one eye have a 10% increased risk of developing RRD in the fellow eye, since pathologic vitreoretinal changes are frequently bilateral.^{23,33,55-57} The fellow eye in a patient with pseudophakic retinal detachment is also at higher risk of developing a retinal detachment, whether the fellow eye is phakic or pseudophakic. Phakic fellow eyes in patients with pseudophakic retinal detachment have a 7% risk of RRD, suggesting that the risk of developing RRD should not be attributed to cataract surgery alone.⁵⁸

Other Risk Factors

Other risk factors that have been reported include prior retinopathy of prematurity⁵⁹ and Stickler syndrome.^{60,61}

Despite case reports of retinal detachment in patients who have had keratorefractive surgery, large studies have not shown an increased risk in patients when compared with eyes of a similar refractive error.^{62,63} Retinal detachment following refractive lens exchange in patients with high myopia has been reported in 2% to 8% of patients.^{64,65} Phakic intraocular lenses have not been associated with increased risk of retinal detachment compared with other intraocular interventions in highly myopic patients.^{63,66,67}



CARE PROCESS

PATIENT OUTCOME CRITERIA

For management and treatment for PVD and RRD, the following apply:

- ◆ Identification of the patients at risk
- ◆ Prevention of visual loss and functional impairment
- ◆ Maintenance of quality of life

DIAGNOSIS

The initial evaluation of a patient with risk factors or symptoms includes all features of the comprehensive adult medical eye evaluation,⁶⁸ with particular attention to those aspects relevant to PVD, retinal breaks, and lattice degeneration. Importantly, the ophthalmologist should also attempt to consider other causes of cells or debris in the vitreous (e.g., uveitis, infection, inflammation, neoplasia).

History

A patient history should include the following elements:

- ◆ Symptoms of PVD⁶⁻¹⁰
- ◆ Family history of retinal detachment, genetic disorders (e.g., Stickler syndrome)^{60,61}
- ◆ Prior eye trauma⁵⁴
- ◆ Myopia^{37,69}
- ◆ History of ocular surgery, including refractive lens exchange and cataract surgery^{35,36,56,70-72}

Ophthalmic Examination

The eye examination should include the following elements:

- ◆ Confrontation visual field examination and assessing for the presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect
- ◆ Examination of the vitreous for hemorrhage, detachment, and pigmented cells^{6-10,12,73}
- ◆ Careful examination of the peripheral fundus using scleral depression⁷⁴

There are no symptoms that can reliably distinguish a PVD with an associated retinal break from a PVD without an associated retinal break; therefore, a peripheral retinal examination is required.⁷⁴ The preferred method of evaluating patients for peripheral vitreoretinal pathology is by using an indirect ophthalmoscope combined with scleral depression.⁷⁵ Many patients with retinal tears have blood and pigmented cells in the anterior vitreous. Slit-lamp biomicroscopy with a mirrored contact lens or a condensing lens may complement a depressed indirect examination of the peripheral retina.

Diagnostic Tests

Optical coherence tomography may be helpful to evaluate and stage the PVD.^{4,17,76} If media opacity precludes an adequate examination of the peripheral retina, B-scan ultrasonography should be performed to search for retinal tears, RRD, mass lesions, or other causes of vitreous hemorrhage.⁷⁷ Bilateral patching and/or elevation of the head while sleeping may be used when attempting to clear the vitreous hemorrhage.⁷⁸ If no abnormalities are found, frequent follow-up examinations are recommended (i.e., every 1–2 weeks initially). Wide-field color photography can detect some peripheral retinal breaks but does not replace careful ophthalmoscopy.

Even if the vitreous hemorrhage is sufficiently dense to obscure the posterior pole, the peripheral retina frequently can be examined using indirect ophthalmoscopy and scleral depression. Patients who present with vitreous hemorrhage sufficient to obscure all retinal details and have a negative B-scan ultrasonographic evaluation should be followed cautiously. When a retinal tear is suspected, repeat ultrasonographic examination should be performed within 1 to 2 weeks of the initial evaluation.

MANAGEMENT

Prevention

There are no effective methods of preventing the vitreous syneresis and liquefaction that lead to a PVD and possibly a RRD. If factors associated with an increased risk of retinal detachment are discovered during a routine eye examination in an asymptomatic patient, a careful peripheral fundus examination is recommended. Patients at high risk should also be educated about the symptoms of PVD and retinal detachment as well as about the value of periodic follow-up examinations.¹⁰

Recently, pharmacotherapy for the management of vitreomacular traction has been developed. In a placebo-controlled trial of microplasmin (a precursor of ocriplasmin) to induce a PVD, intravitreal injection of 125 microgram of microplasmin led to a moderate increase in the likelihood of induction and progression of PVD (10% vs. 31%).⁷⁶ Complications of microplasmin include retinal tears, floaters, blue-yellow vision, dyschromatopsia, visual field abnormalities, electroretinography changes, and weakening of zonular fibers. The agent is approved by the FDA for treatment of patients with symptomatic vitreomacular adhesion. There are postmarket concerns regarding the safety of ocriplasmin, with case reports that describe acute visual loss, electroretinographic abnormalities, and dyschromatopsia.⁷⁹ At the time of this publication, there is a large, postmarket study that will better define the safety profile of this agent.

Surgical Management

It is essential that ancillary clinical personnel be familiar with the symptoms of PVD and retinal detachment so that symptomatic patients can gain prompt access to the health care system.¹⁰ Patients with symptoms of possible or suspected PVD or retinal detachment and related disorders should be examined as soon as is feasible by an ophthalmologist skilled in binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy and supplementary techniques. Patients with retinal breaks or detachments should be treated by an ophthalmologist with experience in the management of these conditions.

Posterior vitreous detachment symptoms (i.e., symptomatic floaters) usually diminish over time, sometimes requiring several months. Appropriate reassurance and precautions regarding the symptoms of retinal detachment should be given. However, some patients may be debilitated in the absence of tears or detachments in the retina. The impact of floaters or floater-related visual symptoms may have an adverse effect on a person’s vision-related quality of life. Pars plana vitrectomy is an option if symptomatic floaters are still bothersome after several months. Laser treatments and pharmacotherapies have been proposed to decrease these symptoms, however, such therapies currently lack sufficient evidence to support their use.

The goal of treatment for retinal breaks is to create a firm chorioretinal adhesion in the attached retina immediately adjacent to and surrounding the retinal tear using cryotherapy or laser photocoagulation to halt the progression of subretinal fluid from detaching the neurosensory retina.

Treatment of peripheral horseshoe tears should be extended to the ora serrata.^{27,80,81} The most common cause of failure in treating horseshoe tears is failure to adequately treat the tear, particularly the anterior border. Continued vitreous traction may extend the tear beyond the treated area and allow fluid to dissect through the subretinal space to cause a clinical retinal detachment.^{27,80,81} Treatment of dialyses must extend over the entire length of the dialysis, reaching the ora serrata beyond each horn or end of the dialysis.

Sufficient evidence exists for treating acute, symptomatic horseshoe tears.²¹ There is insufficient evidence for management of other vitreoretinal abnormalities. In making the decision to treat other vitreoretinal abnormalities, including lattice degeneration and asymptomatic retinal breaks, the risks that treatment will be unnecessary, ineffective, or harmful must be weighed against the possible benefit of reducing the rate of subsequent retinal detachment. Table 2 summarizes recommendations for management.

TABLE 2 MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

Type of Lesion	Treatment*
Acute symptomatic horseshoe tears	Treat promptly ²⁵⁻³⁰
Acute symptomatic operculated holes	Treatment may not be necessary
Acute symptomatic dialyses	Treat promptly
Traumatic retinal breaks	Usually treated
Asymptomatic horseshoe tears (without subclinical RD)	Often can be followed without treatment
Asymptomatic operculated tears	Treatment is rarely recommended
Asymptomatic atrophic round holes	Treatment is rarely recommended
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration without holes	Not treated unless PVD causes a horseshoe tear
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration with holes	Usually does not require treatment
Asymptomatic dialyses	No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management
Eyes with atrophic holes, lattice degeneration, or asymptomatic horseshoe tears where the fellow eye has had a RD	No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management

PVD = posterior vitreous detachment; RD = retinal detachment

* There is insufficient evidence to recommend prophylaxis of asymptomatic retinal breaks for patients undergoing cataract surgery.

The surgeon should inform the patient of the risks, benefits, and alternatives to surgery.^{82,83} The treating surgeon is responsible for formulating a postoperative care plan and should inform the patient of these arrangements.^{82,83}

Retinal detachments may occur in spite of appropriate therapy. Traction is an important component and may pull the tear from the treated area, especially when there are larger breaks or bridging retinal blood vessels. The laser- or cryotherapy-induced treatment adhesion (chorioretinal scar) may not be firm or complete for up to one month following treatment.^{27,29,80} Furthermore, 10% to 16% of patients will develop additional breaks during long-term follow-up.^{29,84,85} Pseudophakic patients are more likely to require retreatment or to develop new breaks.²⁹

Complications of Treatment

Profliferation of the epiretinal membrane (ERM), or macular pucker, has been observed following treatment for a retinal break; however, a direct cause and effect relationship of treatment of a retinal break to ERM remains unclear, since an ERM may also occur spontaneously following the PVD. (See Glossary.) In one long-term follow-up study, the percentage of eyes that developed macular pucker after treatment of retinal breaks was no greater than the percentage of eyes observed to have macular pucker before treatment.²⁷ Therefore, the method of creating a chorioretinal adhesion may be unrelated to the incidence of postoperative macular pucker.⁸⁶

Follow-up Evaluation

The guidelines in Table 3 are recommendations for the timing of re-evaluation in the absence of additional symptoms. Patients with new symptoms or a change in symptoms may require more frequent evaluation. Patients with no positive findings at the initial examination should be seen at the intervals recommended in the Comprehensive Adult Medical Eye Evaluation PPP.⁶⁸ All patients with risk factors should be advised to contact their ophthalmologist promptly if new symptoms such as flashes, floaters, peripheral visual field loss, or decreased visual acuity develop.^{35,36,70,87}

TABLE 3 RECOMMENDED GUIDELINES FOR FOLLOW-UP

Type of Lesion	Follow-up Interval
Symptomatic PVD with no retinal break	Depending on symptoms, risk factors, and clinical findings, patients may be followed in 1–8 weeks, then 6–12 months
Acute symptomatic horseshoe tears	1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually
Acute symptomatic operculated holes	2–4 weeks, then 1–3 months, then 6–12 months, then annually
Acute symptomatic dialyses	1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually
Traumatic retinal breaks	1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually
Asymptomatic horseshoe tears	1–4 weeks, then 2–4 months, then 6–12 months, then annually
Asymptomatic operculated holes	1–4 months, then 6–12 months, then annually
Asymptomatic atrophic round holes	1–2 years
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration without holes	Annually
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration with holes	Annually
Asymptomatic dialyses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If untreated, 1 month, then 3 months, then 6 months, then every 6 months • If treated, 1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually
Eyes with atrophic holes, lattice degeneration, or asymptomatic horseshoe tears in patients in whom the fellow eye has had a retinal detachment	Every 6–12 months

PVD = posterior vitreous detachment

PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP: Counseling and Referral

Younger myopic patients who have lattice degeneration with holes need regular follow-up visits, because they can develop small, localized retinal detachments (subclinical retinal detachments) that may slowly enlarge to become clinical retinal detachments. Treatment should be considered if the detachments progress in size.^{23,31}

Patients presenting with an acute PVD and no retinal breaks have a small chance (approximately 2%) of developing retinal breaks in the weeks that follow.⁹ Thus, selected patients, particularly those with any degree of vitreous pigment, vitreous or retinal hemorrhage, or visible vitreoretinal traction, should be asked to return for a second examination within 6 weeks following the onset of symptoms.^{9,85}

History

A patient history should identify changes in the following:

- ◆ Visual symptoms^{6-10,73}
- ◆ Interval history of eye trauma or intraocular surgery^{36,54}

Examination

The eye examination should emphasize the following elements:

- ◆ Measurement of visual acuity
- ◆ Evaluation of the vitreous status, with attention to the presence of pigment, hemorrhage, or syneresis^{6-10,12,73}
- ◆ Examination of the peripheral fundus using scleral depression⁷⁴
- ◆ Optical coherence tomography if vitreomacular traction is present^{4,17,76}
- ◆ B-scan ultrasonography when the media is opaque⁷⁷

For treated patients, if the treatment appears satisfactory at the first follow-up visit, indirect ophthalmoscopy and scleral depression at 2 to 4 weeks will determine the adequacy of the chorioretinal scar, especially around the anterior boundary of the tear. If the tear and the accompanying subretinal fluid are not completely surrounded by the chorioretinal scar, additional treatment should be administered. At any postoperative visit, additional treatment should be considered if subretinal fluid has accumulated beyond the edge of treatment.^{27,29}

Even when a patient has had adequate treatment, additional examinations are important. Between 5% and 14% of patients found to have an initial retinal break will develop additional breaks during long-term follow-up. These statistics appear to be similar regardless of how the initial breaks were treated.^{29,84} New breaks may be particularly likely in eyes that have had cataract surgery.²⁹

COUNSELING AND REFERRAL

All patients at increased risk of retinal detachment should be instructed to notify their ophthalmologist as soon as possible if they have a substantial change in symptoms, such as an increase in floaters, loss of visual field, or decrease in visual acuity.^{35,36,70,87} If patients are familiar with the symptoms of retinal tears or detachment, they may be more likely to report promptly, thus improving the opportunity for successful treatment and subsequent visual results.¹³ Patients who undergo refractive surgery to reduce myopia should be informed that they remain at risk of RRD despite reduction of their refractive error.

SOCIOECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

Limited data exist on the socioeconomic impacts of PVD, retinal breaks, or lattice degeneration. However, research on the impact of the symptoms of these conditions (e.g., vitreous floaters) has suggested that vitreous symptoms may have an unfavorable effect on a patient's vision-related quality of life.^{88,89}



APPENDIX 1. QUALITY OF OPHTHALMIC CARE CORE CRITERIA

*Providing quality care
is the physician's foremost ethical obligation, and is
the basis of public trust in physicians.
AMA Board of Trustees, 1986*

Quality ophthalmic care is provided in a manner and with the skill that is consistent with the best interests of the patient. The discussion that follows characterizes the core elements of such care.

The ophthalmologist is first and foremost a physician. As such, the ophthalmologist demonstrates compassion and concern for the individual, and utilizes the science and art of medicine to help alleviate patient fear and suffering. The ophthalmologist strives to develop and maintain clinical skills at the highest feasible level, consistent with the needs of patients, through training and continuing education. The ophthalmologist evaluates those skills and medical knowledge in relation to the needs of the patient and responds accordingly. The ophthalmologist also ensures that needy patients receive necessary care directly or through referral to appropriate persons and facilities that will provide such care, and he or she supports activities that promote health and prevent disease and disability.

The ophthalmologist recognizes that disease places patients in a disadvantaged, dependent state. The ophthalmologist respects the dignity and integrity of his or her patients and does not exploit their vulnerability.

Quality ophthalmic care has the following optimal attributes, among others.

- ◆ The essence of quality care is a meaningful partnership relationship between patient and physician. The ophthalmologist strives to communicate effectively with his or her patients, listening carefully to their needs and concerns. In turn, the ophthalmologist educates his or her patients about the nature and prognosis of their condition and about proper and appropriate therapeutic modalities. This is to ensure their meaningful participation (appropriate to their unique physical, intellectual, and emotional state) in decisions affecting their management and care, to improve their motivation and compliance with the agreed plan of treatment, and to help alleviate their fears and concerns.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist uses his or her best judgment in choosing and timing appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic modalities as well as the frequency of evaluation and follow-up, with due regard to the urgency and nature of the patient's condition and unique needs and desires.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist carries out only those procedures for which he or she is adequately trained, experienced, and competent, or, when necessary, is assisted by someone who is, depending on the urgency of the problem and availability and accessibility of alternative providers.
- ◆ Patients are assured access to, and continuity of, needed and appropriate ophthalmic care, which can be described as follows.
 - ◆ The ophthalmologist treats patients with due regard to timeliness, appropriateness, and his or her own ability to provide such care.
 - ◆ The operating ophthalmologist makes adequate provision for appropriate pre- and postoperative patient care.
 - ◆ When the ophthalmologist is unavailable for his or her patient, he or she provides appropriate alternate ophthalmic care, with adequate mechanisms for informing patients of the existence of such care and procedures for obtaining it.
 - ◆ The ophthalmologist refers patients to other ophthalmologists and eye care providers based on the timeliness and appropriateness of such referral, the patient's needs, the competence and qualifications of the person to whom the referral is made, and access and availability.

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Appendix 1. Quality of Ophthalmic Care Core Criteria**

- ◆ The ophthalmologist seeks appropriate consultation with due regard to the nature of the ocular or other medical or surgical problem. Consultants are suggested for their skill, competence, and accessibility. They receive as complete and accurate an accounting of the problem as necessary to provide efficient and effective advice or intervention, and in turn they respond in an adequate and timely manner. The ophthalmologist maintains complete and accurate medical records.
- ◆ On appropriate request, the ophthalmologist provides a full and accurate rendering of the patient's records in his or her possession.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist reviews the results of consultations and laboratory tests in a timely and effective manner and takes appropriate actions.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist and those who assist in providing care identify themselves and their profession.
- ◆ For patients whose conditions fail to respond to treatment and for whom further treatment is unavailable, the ophthalmologist provides proper professional support, counseling, rehabilitative and social services, and referral as appropriate and accessible.
- ◆ Prior to therapeutic or invasive diagnostic procedures, the ophthalmologist becomes appropriately conversant with the patient's condition by collecting pertinent historical information and performing relevant preoperative examinations. Additionally, he or she enables the patient to reach a fully informed decision by providing an accurate and truthful explanation of the diagnosis; the nature, purpose, risks, benefits, and probability of success of the proposed treatment and of alternative treatment; and the risks and benefits of no treatment.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist adopts new technology (e.g., drugs, devices, surgical techniques) in judicious fashion, appropriate to the cost and potential benefit relative to existing alternatives and to its demonstrated safety and efficacy.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist enhances the quality of care he or she provides by periodically reviewing and assessing his or her personal performance in relation to established standards, and by revising or altering his or her practices and techniques appropriately.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist improves ophthalmic care by communicating to colleagues, through appropriate professional channels, knowledge gained through clinical research and practice. This includes alerting colleagues of instances of unusual or unexpected rates of complications and problems related to new drugs, devices, or procedures.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist provides care in suitably staffed and equipped facilities adequate to deal with potential ocular and systemic complications requiring immediate attention.
- ◆ The ophthalmologist also provides ophthalmic care in a manner that is cost effective without unacceptably compromising accepted standards of quality.

Reviewed by: Council
Approved by: Board of Trustees
October 12, 1988

2nd Printing: January 1991

3rd Printing: August 2001

4th Printing: July 2005



APPENDIX 2. INTERNATIONAL STATISTICAL CLASSIFICATION OF DISEASES AND RELATED HEALTH PROBLEMS (ICD) CODES

Precursors to rhegmatogenous retinal detachment and related entities with the following ICD-9 and ICD-10 classifications (see Glossary):

	ICD-9 CM	ICD-10 CM
Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment:		
Break, unspecified	361.00	H33.00-
Break, giant	361.03	H33.03-
Break, multiple	361.02	H33.02-
Break, single	361.01	H33.01-
Vitreous detachment/degeneration	379.21	H43.81-
Retinal break without detachment:		
Retinal break, unspecified	361.30	H33.30-
Horseshoe tear	361.32	H33.31-
Multiple	361.33	H33.33-
Round hole	361.31	H33.32-
Multiple defects of retina without detachment	361.33	H33.33-
Horseshoe tear of retina without detachment	361.32	H33.31-
Operculated break without detachment	361.32	H33.31-
Round hole without detachment	361.31	H33.32-
Retinal dialysis	361.04	H33.04-
Lattice degeneration of the retina	362.63	H35.41-

ICD = International Classification of Diseases; CM = Clinical Modification used in the United States; (-) = 1, right eye; 2, left eye; 3, bilateral

Additional Information for ICD-10 Codes:

- Certain ICD-10 CM categories have applicable 7th characters. The applicable 7th character is required for all codes within the category, or as the notes in the Tabular List instruct. The 7th character must always be the 7th character in the data field. If a code that requires a 7th character is not 6 characters, a placeholder X must be used to fill in the empty characters.
- For bilateral sites, the final character of the codes in the ICD-10 CM indicates laterality. An unspecified side code is also provided should the side not be identified in the medical record. If no bilateral code is provided and the condition is bilateral, assign separate codes for both the left and right side.
- When the diagnosis code specifies laterality, regardless of which digit it is found in (i.e., 4th digit, 5th digit, or 6th digit):
 - Right is always 1
 - Left is always 2
 - Bilateral is always 3



APPENDIX 3. PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN RECOMMENDATION GRADING

The grades herein report the SIGN grade associated with the included studies supporting each recommendation (I++; I+; I-; II++; II+; II-; III), the GRADE evaluation of the body of evidence (Good, Moderate, Insufficient), and the GRADE assessment of the strength of the recommendation (Strong, Discretionary). Details of these grading systems are reported in the Methods and Key to Ratings section.

Highlighted Findings and Recommendations for Care

Page 4: Acute horseshoe retinal tears and traumatic breaks usually require treatment: II+; Good; Strong

Page 4: Asymptomatic atrophic or operculated retinal breaks rarely need treatment. More generally, atrophic round holes within lattice lesions and minimal subretinal fluid, and without PVD, do not require treatment: III; Good; Strong

Page 4: The goal of treatment of retinal breaks is to create a firm chorioretinal adhesion to surround the retinal tear in the attached, adjacent retina: III; Good; Strong

Page 4: An early diagnosis of a retinal detachment is important because the rate of successful reattachment is higher and the visual results are better when repaired early, especially before the RRD involves the macula: III; Good; Strong

Page 4: Selected patients, particularly those with any degree of vitreous pigment, vitreous or retinal hemorrhage, or visible vitreoretinal traction, should be asked to return for a second examination within six weeks following the onset of symptoms: III; Good; Strong

Background

Page 6: Treatment may be considered for atrophic retinal breaks in certain situations, although the literature provides little guidance: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 7: Generally, atrophic round holes within lattice lesions and minimal subretinal fluid, and without PVD, do not require treatment: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 7: Myopic patients with lattice degeneration and round holes need careful follow-up visits and must clearly understand the symptoms for progression, because they can develop small, localized retinal detachments that enlarge to become clinical retinal detachments: III; Good; Strong

Page 7: Prophylactic treatment should be considered when the detachments are documented to increase in size and show signs of progression: III; Moderate; Discretionary

Page 7: Horseshoe tears induced by PVD in eyes with lattice degeneration should be treated: III; Good; Discretionary

Care Process

Page 8: The initial evaluation of a patient with risk factors or symptoms includes all features of the comprehensive adult medical eye evaluation, with particular attention to those aspects relevant to PVD, retinal breaks, and lattice degeneration: II++; Good; Strong

Page 8: The ophthalmologist should also attempt to consider other causes of cells or debris in the vitreous: III; Good; Strong

Page 8: A patient history should include symptoms of PVD: II+; Good; Strong

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Appendix 3. PPP Recommendation Grading**

Page 8: A patient history should include family history of RD, genetic disorders: II-; Good; Strong

Page 8: A patient history should include prior eye trauma: III; Good; Strong

Page 8: A patient history should include myopia: II+; Good; Strong

Page 8: A patient history should include history of ocular surgery, including refractive lens exchange and cataract surgery: II++; Good; Strong

Page 9: The eye examination should include confrontation visual field examination and assessing for the presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect: III; Good; Strong

Page 9: The eye examination should include examination of the vitreous for hemorrhage, detachment, and pigmented cells: II+; Good; Strong

Page 9: The eye examination should include peripheral fundus, requiring careful, scleral depressed examination: III; Good; Strong

Page 9: There are no symptoms that can reliably distinguish a PVD with an associated retinal break from a PVD without an associated retinal break; therefore, a peripheral retinal examination is required: III; Good; Strong

Page 9: The preferred method of evaluating patients for peripheral vitreoretinal pathology is by using an indirect ophthalmoscope combined with scleral depression: II-; Good; Strong

Page 9: Slit-lamp biomicroscopy with a mirrored contact lens or a condensing lens may complement a depressed indirect examination of the peripheral retina: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 9: Optical coherence tomography may be helpful to evaluate and stage the PVD: II+; Moderate; Discretionary

Page 9: If media opacity precludes an adequate examination of the peripheral retina, B-scan ultrasonography should be performed to search for retinal tears, RRD, or other causes of vitreous hemorrhage: II-; Moderate; Strong

Page 9: Bilateral patching and/or elevation of the head when sleeping may be used when attempting to clear the vitreous hemorrhage: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 9: If no abnormalities are found, frequent follow-up examinations are recommended (i.e., weekly or bi-weekly initially): III; Good; Strong

Page 9: On examination, even if the presence of vitreous hemorrhage is sufficiently dense to obscure the posterior pole, the peripheral retina frequently can be examined using indirect ophthalmoscopy and scleral depression: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 9: Patients who present with vitreous hemorrhage sufficient to obscure retinal details and have a negative B-scan ultrasonographic evaluation should be followed cautiously: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 9: When a retinal tear is suspected, repeat ultrasonographic examination should be performed within 1 to 2 weeks of the initial evaluation: III; Good; Strong

Page 9: If factors associated with an increased risk of retinal detachment are discovered during a routine eye examination in an asymptomatic patient, a careful peripheral fundus examination is recommended: III; Good; Strong

Page 9: Patients at high risk should also be educated about the symptoms of PVD and retinal detachment as well as about the value of periodic follow-up examinations: II-; Good; Strong

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Appendix 3. PPP Recommendation Grading**

Page 10: It is essential that ancillary clinical personnel be familiar with the symptoms of PVD and retinal detachment so that symptomatic patients can gain prompt access to the health care system: II-; Good; Strong

Page 10: Patients with symptoms of possible or suspected PVD or retinal detachment and related disorders should be examined as soon as is feasible by an ophthalmologist skilled in binocular indirect ophthalmoscopy and supplementary techniques: III; Good; Strong

Page 10: Patients with retinal breaks or detachments should be treated by an ophthalmologist with experience in the management of these conditions: III; Good; Strong

Page 10: Appropriate reassurance and precautions regarding the symptoms of retinal detachment should be given: III; Good; Strong

Page 10: Pars plana vitrectomy is an option if symptomatic floaters are still bothersome after several months: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 10: Laser treatments and pharmacotherapies have been proposed to decrease symptomatic floaters, however, such therapies lack sufficient evidence to support their use: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 10: Treatment of peripheral horseshoe tears should be extended to the ora serrata: II-; Good; Strong

Page 10: Treatment of dialyses must extend over the entire length of the dialysis, reaching the ora serrata beyond each horn or end of the dialysis: III; Good; Strong

Page 10: Sufficient evidence exists for treating acute, symptomatic horseshoe tears: II+; Good; Strong

Page 10: In making the decision to treat other vitreoretinal abnormalities, including lattice degeneration and asymptomatic retinal breaks, the risks that treatment will be unnecessary, ineffective, or harmful must be weighed against the possible benefit of reducing the rate of subsequent retinal detachment: III; Good; Strong

Page 10: Table 2: Treatment recommendation for acute symptomatic horseshoe tears: Treat promptly: II+; Good; Strong

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for acute symptomatic operculated holes: Treatment may not be necessary: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for acute symptomatic dialyses: Treat promptly: III; Good; Strong

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for traumatic retinal breaks: Usually treated: III; Good; Strong

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic horseshoe tears (without subclinical RD): Often can be followed without treatment: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic operculated holes: Treatment is rarely recommended: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic atrophic round holes: Treatment is rarely recommended: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic lattice degeneration without holes: Not treated unless PVD causes a horseshoe tear: III; Good; Strong

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic lattice degeneration with holes: Usually does not require treatment: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for asymptomatic dialyses: No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Appendix 3. PPP Recommendation Grading**

Page 10; Table 2: Treatment recommendation for eyes with atrophic holes, lattice degeneration, or asymptomatic horseshoe tears where the fellow eye has had a retinal detachment: No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management: III; Insufficient; Discretionary

Page 11: The surgeon should inform the patient of the risks, benefits, and alternatives to surgery: III; Good; Strong

Page 11: The treating surgeon is responsible for formulating a postoperative care plan and should inform the patient of these arrangements: III; Good; Strong

Page 11: Patients with new symptoms or a change in symptoms may require more frequent evaluation: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Patients with no positive findings at the initial examination should be seen at the intervals recommended in the Comprehensive Adult Medical Eye Evaluation PPP: II++; Good; Strong

Page 11: All patients with risk factors should be advised to contact their ophthalmologist promptly if new symptoms such as flashes, floaters, peripheral visual field loss, or decreased visual acuity develop: II+; Good; Strong

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for symptomatic PVD with no retinal break: Depending on symptoms, risk factors, and clinical findings, patients may be followed in 1–8 weeks, then 6 months–1 year: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for acute symptomatic horseshoe tears: 1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for acute symptomatic operculated holes: 2–4 weeks, then 1–3 months, then 6–12 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for acute symptomatic dialyses: 1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for traumatic retinal breaks: 1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic horseshoe tears: 1–4 weeks, then 2–4 months, then 6–12 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic operculated holes: 1–4 months, then 6–12 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic atrophic round holes: 1–2 years: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic lattice degeneration without holes: Annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic lattice degeneration with holes: Annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for asymptomatic dialyses: If untreated, 1 month, then 3 months, then 6 months, then every 6 months. If treated, 1–2 weeks after treatment, then 4–6 weeks, then 3–6 months, then annually: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 11: Table 3: Follow-up recommendation for eyes with atrophic holes, lattice degeneration, or asymptomatic horseshoe tears in patients in whom the fellow eye has had a retinal detachment: Every 6–12 months: III; Good; Discretionary

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Appendix 3. PPP Recommendation Grading**

Page 12: Younger myopic patients who have lattice degeneration with holes need regular follow-up visits, because they can develop small, localized retinal detachments (subclinical retinal detachments) that may slowly enlarge to become clinical retinal detachments: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: Treatment should be considered if the detachments progress in size: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: Selected patients, particularly those with any degree of vitreous pigment, vitreous or retinal hemorrhage, or visible vitreoretinal traction, should be asked to return for a second examination within 6 weeks following the onset of symptoms: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: A patient history should identify changes in visual symptoms: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: A patient history should identify changes in interval history of eye trauma or intraocular surgery: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: The eye examination should emphasize measurement of visual acuity: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: The eye examination should emphasize evaluation of the vitreous status, with attention to the presence of pigment, hemorrhage, or syneresis: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: The eye examination should emphasize examination of the peripheral fundus using scleral depression: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: The eye examination should emphasize optical coherence tomogram if vitreomacular traction is present: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: The eye examination should emphasize B-scan ultrasonography when the media is opaque: III; Good; Strong

Page 12: For treated patients, if the treatment appears satisfactory at the first follow-up visit, indirect ophthalmoscopy and scleral depression at 2–4 weeks will determine the adequacy of the chorioretinal scar, especially around the anterior boundary of the tear: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 12: If the tear and the accompanying subretinal fluid are not completely surrounded by the chorioretinal scar, additional treatment should be administered: II-; Good; Strong

Page 12: At any postoperative visit, if subretinal fluid has accumulated beyond the edge of treatment, additional treatment should be considered: II+; Good; Discretionary

Page 12: Even when a patient has had adequate treatment, additional examinations are important: III; Good; Discretionary

Page 12: All patients at increased risk of retinal detachment should be instructed to notify their ophthalmologist as soon as possible if they have a substantial change in symptoms, such as an increase in floaters, loss of visual field, or decrease in visual acuity: II-; Good; Strong

Page 12: Patients who undergo refractive surgery to reduce myopia should be informed that they remain at risk of RRD despite reduction of their refractive error: III; Good; Strong



GLOSSARY

Atrophic retinal breaks or holes: Full-thickness retinal defects, unrelated to vitreoretinal traction. These can occur within lattice lesions or in areas of the retina that appear otherwise normal.

Clinical retinal detachment: A retinal detachment that either impairs a portion of the visual field or extends more than two disc diameters posterior to the equator.

Cystic retinal tufts: Small congenital lesions of the peripheral retina. They are slightly elevated and usually whitish in color with variable surrounding pigmentation. They are firmly attached to the overlying vitreous cortex and are sometimes a cause of retinal tears following PVD.

Epiretinal membrane (ERM): See Macular pucker.

Flap tear: A horseshoe tear.

Horseshoe tear: A retinal tear caused by vitreoretinal traction on the retina. The tear is horseshoe shaped due to a flap of torn tissue that remains attached to the detached vitreous gel.

ICD-9: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Ninth Edition.

ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Edition.

Lattice degeneration: A peripheral vitreoretinal lesion characterized by retinal thinning, overlying vitreous liquefaction, and firm vitreoretinal adhesions at its margins. Most lesions are ovoid with long axes parallel to the ora serrata. Round holes frequently occur within the lattice lesion unassociated with PVD. If horseshoe tears are present, they are seen at the development of PVD and usually are observed at the margins of lattice lesions.

Macular pucker: Distortion of the retina in the macular region due to proliferation and contraction of a fibrocellular membrane on the inner surface of the retina.

Operculated retinal tear or break: A defect in the retina caused by vitreoretinal traction at the site of the lesion. The traction pulls a circular or oval piece of retinal tissue (the operculum) free from the retinal surface. If this occurs during PVD, all traction in the vicinity of the retinal break is usually eliminated.

Posterior vitreous detachment (PVD): A separation of the posterior vitreous cortex from the internal surface of the retina. This usually occurs as an acute event after substantial age-related liquefaction in the vitreous gel; the separation usually extends rapidly to the posterior margin of the vitreous base in all quadrants. Adhesions between the vitreous cortex and retina or retinal blood vessels may cause retinal breaks and/or vessel rupture. Vitreous hemorrhage and/or localized intraretinal hemorrhage may accompany this event. Posterior vitreous detachment is diagnosed by slit-lamp biomicroscopy, which will usually show a prominent plane defining the posterior vitreous face. The presence of a glial annulus in the vitreous cavity (Weiss ring) is strong evidence of PVD.

PVD: See Posterior vitreous detachment.

Retinal breaks: Full-thickness defects in the retina. Those caused by vitreoretinal traction are usually called tears. Those that are round and unassociated with vitreoretinal traction are usually called holes.

Retinal dialysis: A specific type of crescentic peripheral retinal break at the ora serrata, usually associated with trauma.

Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD): A separation of the retina from the retinal pigment epithelium caused by fluid passing from the vitreous cavity into the subretinal space through a break in the retina (from Greek *rhegma*, “rent”).

Round retinal hole: A round, full-thickness defect or break in the retina, unassociated with vitreoretinal traction.

RRD: See Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
Glossary**

Stickler syndrome: The most common inherited vitreoretinal and systemic disorder associated with RRD. Ocular features include (1) high myopia; (2) retrolental, transvitreal, and epiretinal membranes and strands; (3) chorioretinal pigment alterations; (4) lattice degeneration, often with a perivascular component that extends posteriorly; and (5) various other abnormalities including glaucoma and cataract. Systemic features include a generalized skeletal dysplasia, often with a marfanoid habitus, flattened facies, high arched or cleft palate, hearing loss, and other extracranial skeletal anomalies, many of which can be very subtle. The inheritance pattern is autosomal dominant, and a gene defect has been related to COL2A1.

Subclinical retinal detachment: A retinal detachment that extends more than 1 disc diameter from the posterior edge of the retinal break, less than 2 disc diameters from the equator, and does not impair the field of vision.

Vitreoretinal adhesion: A firm attachment between the cortical vitreous and the inner surface of the retina. Condensed vitreous strands adhering to the retina may sometimes be visualized using biomicroscopy or indirect ophthalmoscopy and scleral depression. Traction of the vitreous on the retina during PVD may cause retinal breaks to occur at these sites.

Vitreomacular traction: Partial vitreous separation from the retina resulting in mechanical distortion of the macula.

Zonular traction retinal tufts: Small congenital lesions of the peripheral retina caused by thickened zonules that have been displaced posteriorly to the anterior retina.



SUMMARY BENCHMARKS

Posterior Vitreous Detachment, Retinal Breaks and Lattice Degeneration (Initial and Follow-up Evaluation)

Initial Exam History (Key elements)

- Symptoms of PVD
- Family history of RD, related genetic disorders
- Prior eye trauma
- Myopia
- History of ocular surgery including refractive lens exchange and cataract surgery

Initial Physical Exam (Key elements)

- Confrontation visual field examination, and assessing for the presence of a relative afferent pupillary defect
- Examination of the vitreous for hemorrhage, detachment, and pigmented cells
- Examination of the peripheral fundus with scleral depression. The preferred method of evaluating peripheral vitreoretinal pathology is with indirect ophthalmoscopy combined with scleral depression.

Ancillary Tests

- Optical coherence tomography may be helpful to evaluate and stage the PVD
- Perform B-scan ultrasonography if peripheral retina cannot be evaluated. If no abnormalities are found, frequent follow-up examinations are recommended.

Surgical and Postoperative Care if Patient Receives Treatment:

- Inform patient about the relative risks, benefits, and alternatives to surgery

- Formulate a postoperative care plan and inform patient of these arrangements
- Advise patient to contact ophthalmologist promptly if they have a substantial change in symptoms such as floaters, visual field loss, or decreased visual acuity

Follow-up History

- Visual symptoms
- Interval history of eye trauma or intraocular surgery

Follow-up Physical Exam

- Visual acuity
- Evaluation of the status of the vitreous, with attention to the presence of pigment, hemorrhage, or syneresis
- Examination of the peripheral fundus with scleral depression
- Optical coherence tomography if vitreomacular traction is present
- B-scan ultrasonography if the media are opaque

Patient Education

- Educate patients at high risk of developing retinal detachment about the symptoms of PVD and retinal detachment and the value of periodic follow-up exams
- Instruct all patients at increased risk of retinal detachment to notify their ophthalmologist promptly if they have a substantial change in symptoms such as increase in floaters, loss of visual field, or decrease in visual acuity

Care Management

Management Options

Type of Lesion	Treatment*
Acute symptomatic horseshoe tears	Treat promptly
Acute symptomatic operculated tears	Treatment may not be necessary
Acute symptomatic dialyses	Treat promptly
Traumatic retinal breaks	Usually treated
Asymptomatic horseshoe tears (without subclinical RD)	Often can be followed without treatment
Asymptomatic operculated tears	Treatment is rarely recommended
Asymptomatic atrophic round holes	Treatment is rarely recommended
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration without holes	Not treated unless PVD causes a horseshoe tear
Asymptomatic lattice degeneration with holes	Usually does not require treatment
Asymptomatic dialyses	No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management
Eyes with atrophic holes, lattice degeneration, or asymptomatic horseshoe tears where the fellow eye has had a RD	No consensus on treatment and insufficient evidence to guide management

PVD = posterior vitreous detachment; RD = retinal detachment

*There is insufficient evidence to recommend prophylaxis of asymptomatic retinal breaks for patients undergoing cataract surgery.



RELATED ACADEMY MATERIALS

Basic and Clinical Science Course

Retina and Vitreous (Section 12, 2014–2015)

Focal Points

Current Options for Retinal Detachment Repair (2010)

Ophthalmic Technology Assessment –

Published in *Ophthalmology*, which is distributed free to Academy members; links to full text available at www.aao.org/ota.

The Repair of Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachments (1996; reviewed for currency 2012)

Patient Education

Detached Retina Brochure (2014)

Face-Down Recovery After Retinal Surgery Brochure (2014)

Retina Informed Consent Video Collection (2013)

Preferred Practice Pattern® Guidelines – Free download available at www.aao.org/ppp.

Comprehensive Adult Medical Eye Evaluation (2010)

To order any of these products, except for the free materials, please contact the Academy's Customer Service at 866.561.8558 (U.S. only) or 415.561.8540 or www.aao.org/store.



REFERENCES

1. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Annex B: key to evidence statements and grades of recommendations. In: SIGN 50: A Guideline Developer's Handbook. Available at: www.sign.ac.uk/guidelines/fulltext/50/annexb.html. Accessed June 11, 2014
2. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008;336:924-6.
3. GRADE Working Group. Organizations that have endorsed or that are using GRADE. Available at: www.gradeworkinggroup.org/society/index.htm. Accessed June 11, 2014.
4. Johnson MW. Posterior vitreous detachment: evolution and role in macular disease. *Retina* 2012;32 Suppl 2:S174-8.
5. Snead MP, Snead DR, James S, Richards AJ. Clinicopathological changes at the vitreoretinal junction: posterior vitreous detachment. *Eye (Lond)* 2008;22:1257-62.
6. Boldrey EE. Risk of retinal tears in patients with vitreous floaters. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1983;96:783-7.
7. Brod RD, Lightman DA, Packer AJ, Saras HP. Correlation between vitreous pigment granules and retinal breaks in eyes with acute posterior vitreous detachment. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98:1366-9.
8. Tasman WS. Posterior vitreous detachment and peripheral retinal breaks. *Trans Am Acad Ophthalmol Otolaryngol* 1968;72:217-24.
9. Dayan MR, Jayamanne DG, Andrews RM, Griffiths PG. Flashes and floaters as predictors of vitreoretinal pathology: is follow-up necessary for posterior vitreous detachment? *Eye* 1996;10:456-8.
10. Byer NE. Natural history of posterior vitreous detachment with early management as the premier line of defense against retinal detachment. *Ophthalmology* 1994;101:1503-14.
11. Tani P, Robertson DM, Langworthy A. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment without macular involvement treated with scleral buckling. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1980;90:503-8.

12. Coffee RE, Westfall AC, Davis GH, et al. Symptomatic posterior vitreous detachment and the incidence of delayed retinal breaks: case series and meta-analysis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2007;144:409-13.
13. Benson WE, Grand MG, Okun E. Aphakic retinal detachment. Management of the fellow eye. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1975;93:245-9.
14. Scott IU, Smiddy WE, Merikansky A, Feuer W. Vitreoretinal surgery outcomes. Impact on bilateral visual function. *Ophthalmology* 1997;104:1041-8.
15. Lincoff H, Stopa M, Kreissig I. Ambulatory binocular occlusion. *Retina* 2004;24:246-53.
16. van Overdam KA, Bettink-Remeijer MW, Mulder PG, van Meurs JC. Symptoms predictive for the later development of retinal breaks. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2001;119:1483-6.
17. Uchino E, Uemura A, Ohba N. Initial stages of posterior vitreous detachment in healthy eyes of older persons evaluated by optical coherence tomography. *Arch Ophthalmol* 2001;119:1475-9.
18. Sarrafizadeh R, Hassan TS, Ruby AJ, et al. Incidence of retinal detachment and visual outcome in eyes presenting with posterior vitreous separation and dense fundus-obscuring vitreous hemorrhage. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108:2273-8.
19. Adelman RA, Parnes AJ, Ducournau D, European Vitreo-Retinal Society (EVRS) Retinal Detachment Study Group. Strategy for the management of uncomplicated retinal detachments: the European Vitreo-Retinal Society Retinal Detachment Study report 1. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:1804-8.
20. Byer NE. What happens to untreated asymptomatic retinal breaks, and are they affected by posterior vitreous detachment? *Ophthalmology* 1998;105:1045-50.
21. Davis MD. Natural history of retinal breaks without detachment. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1974;92:183-94.
22. Wilkinson CP. Interventions for asymptomatic retinal breaks and lattice degeneration for preventing retinal detachment. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2005, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003170. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003170.pub2.
23. Byer NE. Rethinking prophylactic therapy of retinal detachment. In: Stirpe M, ed. *Advances in Vitreoretinal Surgery*. New York, NY: Ophthalmic Communications Society; 1992:399-411.
24. Neumann E, Hyams S. Conservative management of retinal breaks. A follow-up study of subsequent retinal detachment. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1972;56:482-6.
25. Shea M, Davis MD, Kamel I. Retinal breaks without detachment, treated and untreated. *Mod Probl Ophthalmol* 1974;12:97-102.
26. Colyear BH, Jr, Pischel D. Preventive treatment of retinal detachment by means of light coagulation. *Trans Pac Coast Oto-Ophthalmol Soc* 1960;41:193-217.
27. Robertson DM, Norton EW. Long-term follow-up of treated retinal breaks. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1973;75:395-404.
28. Pollack A, Oliver M. Argon laser photocoagulation of symptomatic flap tears and retinal breaks of fellow eyes. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1981;65:469-72.
29. Smiddy WE, Flynn HW Jr, Nicholson DH, et al. Results and complications in treated retinal breaks. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991;112:623-31.
30. Verdaguer J, Vaisman M. Treatment of symptomatic retinal breaks. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1979;87:783-8.
31. Byer NE. Long-term natural history of lattice degeneration of the retina. *Ophthalmology* 1989;96:1396-401; discussion 401-2.
32. Haimann MH, Burton TC, Brown CK. Epidemiology of retinal detachment. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1982;100:289-92.
33. Wilkes SR, Beard CM, Kurland LT, et al. The incidence of retinal detachment in Rochester, Minnesota, 1970-1978. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1982;94:670-3.
34. Van de Put MA, Hooymans JM, Los LI. The incidence of rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in The Netherlands. *Ophthalmology* 2013;120:616-22.
35. Javitt JC, Tielsch JM, Canner JK, et al, Cataract Patient Outcomes Research Team. National outcomes of cataract extraction: increased risk of retinal complications associated with Nd:YAG laser capsulotomy. *Ophthalmology* 1992;99:1487-98.
36. Tielsch JM, Legro MW, Cassard SD, et al. Risk factors for retinal detachment after cataract surgery: a population-based case-control study. *Ophthalmology* 1996;103:1537-45.
37. Eye Disease Case-Control Study Group. Risk factors for idiopathic rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;137:749-57.

**PVD, Retinal Breaks, and Lattice Degeneration PPP:
References**

38. Bernheim D, Rouberol F, Palombi K, et al. Comparative prospective study of rhegmatogenous retinal detachments in phakic or pseudophakic patients with high myopia. *Retina* 2013;33:2039-48.
39. Benson WE, Morse PH. The prognosis of retinal detachment due to lattice degeneration. *Ann Ophthalmol* 1978;10:1197-200.
40. Erie JC, Raecker MA, Baratz KH, et al. Risk of retinal detachment after cataract extraction, 1980-2004: a population-based study. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:2026-32.
41. Russell M, Gaskin B, Russell D, Polkinghorne PJ. Pseudophakic retinal detachment after phacoemulsification cataract surgery: Ten-year retrospective review. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2006;32:442-5.
42. Jahn CE, Richter J, Jahn AH, et al. Pseudophakic retinal detachment after uneventful phacoemulsification and subsequent neodymium: YAG capsulotomy for capsule opacification. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2003;29:925-9.
43. Ranta P, Tommila P, Kivela T. Retinal breaks and detachment after neodymium: YAG laser posterior capsulotomy: five-year incidence in a prospective cohort. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004;30:58-66.
44. Koch DD, Liu JF, Gill EP, Parke DW II. Axial myopia increases the risk of retinal complications after neodymium-YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1989;107:986-90.
45. Tuft SJ, Minassian D, Sullivan P. Risk factors for retinal detachment after cataract surgery: a case-control study. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:650-6.
46. Ramos M, Kruger EF, Lashkari K. Biostatistical analysis of pseudophakic and aphakic retinal detachments. *Semin Ophthalmol* 2002;17:206-13.
47. Ranta P, Kivela T. Retinal detachment in pseudophakic eyes with and without Nd:YAG laser posterior capsulotomy. *Ophthalmology* 1998;105:2127-33.
48. Glacet-Bernard A, Brahim R, Mokhtari O, et al. Retinal detachment following posterior capsulotomy using Nd:YAG laser. Retrospective study of 144 capsulotomies [in French]. *J Fr Ophtalmol* 1993;16:87-94.
49. Ficker LA, Vickers S, Capon MR, et al. Retinal detachment following Nd:YAG posterior capsulotomy. *Eye* 1987;1 (Pt 1):86-9.
50. Arya AV, Emerson JW, Engelbert M, et al. Surgical management of pseudophakic retinal detachments: a meta-analysis. *Ophthalmology* 2006;113:1724-33.
51. Lois N, Wong D. Pseudophakic retinal detachment. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2003;48:467-87.
52. Ripandelli G, Coppe AM, Parisi V, et al. Posterior vitreous detachment and retinal detachment after cataract surgery. *Ophthalmology* 2007;114:692-7.
53. Mirshahi A, Hoehn F, Lorenz K, Hattenbach LO. Incidence of posterior vitreous detachment after cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2009;35:987-91.
54. Cooling RJ. Traumatic retinal detachment--mechanisms and management. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK* 1986;105:575-9.
55. Folk JC, Arrindell EL, Klugman MR. The fellow eye of patients with phakic lattice retinal detachment. *Ophthalmology* 1989;96:72-9.
56. Rowe JA, Erie JC, Baratz KH, et al. Retinal detachment in Olmsted County, Minnesota, 1976 through 1995. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:154-9.
57. Mastropasqua L, Carpineto P, Ciancaglini M, et al. Treatment of retinal tears and lattice degenerations in fellow eyes in high risk patients suffering retinal detachment: a prospective study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1999;83:1046-9.
58. Sharma MC, Chan P, Kim RU, Benson WE. Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment in the fellow phakic eyes of patients with pseudophakic rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. *Retina* 2003;23:37-40.
59. Kaiser RS, Trese MT, Williams GA, Cox MS Jr. Adult retinopathy of prematurity: outcomes of rhegmatogenous retinal detachments and retinal tears. *Ophthalmology* 2001;108:1647-53.
60. Snead MP, Payne SJ, Barton DE, et al. Stickler syndrome: correlation between vitreoretinal phenotypes and linkage to COL 2A1. *Eye* 1994;8 (Pt 6):609-14.
61. Brown DM, Graemiger RA, Hergersberg M, et al. Genetic linkage of Wagner disease and erosive vitreoretinopathy to chromosome 5q13-14. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1995;113:671-5.
62. Loewenstein A, Goldstein M, Lazar M. Retinal pathology occurring after excimer laser surgery or phakic intraocular lens implantation: evaluation of possible relationship. *Surv Ophthalmol* 2002;47:125-35.

63. Ruiz-Moreno JM, Alio JL. Incidence of retinal disease following refractive surgery in 9,239 eyes. *J Refract Surg* 2003;19:534-47.
64. Arne JL. Phakic intraocular lens implantation versus clear lens extraction in highly myopic eyes of 30- to 50-year-old patients. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2004;30:2092-6.
65. Colin J, Robinet A, Cochener B. Retinal detachment after clear lens extraction for high myopia: seven-year follow-up. *Ophthalmology* 1999;106:2281-4; discussion 5.
66. Chang JS, Meau AY. Visian Collamer phakic intraocular lens in high myopic Asian eyes. *J Refract Surg* 2007;23:17-25.
67. Ruiz-Moreno JM, Montero JA, de la Vega C, et al. Retinal detachment in myopic eyes after phakic intraocular lens implantation. *J Refract Surg* 2006;22:247-52.
68. American Academy of Ophthalmology Preferred Practice Patterns Committee. Preferred Practice Pattern[®] Guidelines. Comprehensive Adult Medical Eye Evaluation. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2010. Available at: www.aao.org/ppp.
69. Austin KL, Palmer JR, Seddon JM, et al. Case-control study of idiopathic retinal detachment. *Int J Epidemiol* 1990;19:1045-50.
70. Norregaard JC, Thoning H, Andersen TF, et al. Risk of retinal detachment following cataract extraction: results from the International Cataract Surgery Outcomes Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1996;80:689-93.
71. Javitt JC, Vitale S, Canner JK, et al. National outcomes of cataract extraction. I. Retinal detachment after inpatient surgery. *Ophthalmology* 1991;98:895-902.
72. Kraff MC, Sanders DR. Incidence of retinal detachment following posterior chamber intraocular lens surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1990;16:477-80.
73. Boldrey EE. Vitreous cells as an indicator of retinal tears in asymptomatic or not recently symptomatic eyes. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1997;123:263-4.
74. Brockhurst RJ. Modern indirect ophthalmoscopy. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1956;41:265-72.
75. Natkunarajah M, Goldsmith C, Goble R. Diagnostic effectiveness of noncontact slitlamp examination in the identification of retinal tears. *Eye* 2003;17:607-9.
76. Benz MS, Packo KH, Gonzalez V, et al. A placebo-controlled trial of microplasmin intravitreal injection to facilitate posterior vitreous detachment before vitrectomy. *Ophthalmology* 2010;117:791-7.
77. DiBernardo C, Blodi B, Byrne SF. Echographic evaluation of retinal tears in patients with spontaneous vitreous hemorrhage. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1992;110:511-4.
78. Wilkinson CP. What ever happened to bilateral patching? *Retina* 2005;25:393-4.
79. Stalmans P, Benz MS, Gandorfer A, et al. Enzymatic vitreolysis with ocriplasmin for vitreomacular traction and macular holes. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:606-15.
80. Benson WE, Morse PH, Nantawan P. Late complications following cryotherapy of lattice degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1977;84:514-6.
81. Delaney WV Jr. Retinal tear extension through the cryosurgical scar. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1971;55:205-9.
82. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Policy Statement. Preoperative Assessment: Responsibilities of the Ophthalmologist. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2012. Available at: <http://one.aao.org/guidelines-browse?filter=clinicalstatement>. Accessed June 11, 2014.
83. American Academy of Ophthalmology. Policy Statement. An Ophthalmologist's Duties Concerning Postoperative Care. San Francisco, CA: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 2012. Available at: <http://one.aao.org/guidelines-browse?filter=clinicalstatement>. Accessed June 11, 2014.
84. Goldberg RE, Boyer DS. Sequential retinal breaks following a spontaneous initial retinal break. *Ophthalmology* 1981;88:10-2.
85. Sharma MC, Regillo CD, Shuler MF, et al. Determination of the incidence and clinical characteristics of subsequent retinal tears following treatment of the acute posterior vitreous detachment-related initial retinal tears. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2004;138:280-4.
86. Saran BR, Brucker AJ. Macular epiretinal membrane formation and treated retinal breaks. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1995;120:480-5.
87. Singh AJ, Seemungal-Dass RR. The influence of counselling on patient return following uncomplicated posterior vitreous detachment. *Eye* 2001;15:152-4.
88. Wagle AM, Lim WY, Yap TP, et al. Utility values associated with vitreous floaters. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2011;152:60-5.
89. Sebag J. Floaters and the quality of life. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2011;152:3-4.



P.O. Box 7424
San Francisco,
California 94120-7424
415.561.8500

Posterior Vitreous Detachment,
Retinal Breaks, and
Lattice Degeneration
2014