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Primary Angle Closure Disease Preferred Practice Pattern®

Background:
Primary angle closure disease (PACD) is classified into several groups. Primary angle closure suspect is defined as ≥ 180 degrees of iridotrabecular contact (ITC) without intraocular pressure (IOP) elevation, peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS), or optic nerve damage. An eye with ≥ 180 degrees of ITC and elevated IOP or PAS is categorized as primary angle closure, and the additional presence of glaucomatous optic neuropathy indicates primary angle closure glaucoma. Acute angle-closure crisis (AACC) represents a sudden, marked IOP elevation with complete ITC. Plateau iris configuration is defined as a narrow angle due to an anteriorly positioned ciliary body with a deep central anterior chamber, and plateau iris syndrome is persistent ITC after laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI). Although 90% of cases of AACC present unilaterally, PACD is generally bilateral. Risk factors for PACD include Asian descent, hyperopia, older age, female gender, short axial length, and thick and anteriorly positioned crystalline lens.

Rationale for Treatment:
Pupillary block is involved in the pathogenesis of PACD in most cases, and is resolved with LPI. Patients experiencing AACC should receive medical treatment to lower the IOP, and LPI should subsequently be performed in both eyes. Lens extraction has also been shown to be an effective treatment in some patients with primary angle closure and primary angle closure glaucoma.

Care Process:
The goals of managing patients with PACD are to reverse or prevent angle closure and to control IOP to prevent glaucomatous optic nerve damage. Dark-room dynamic gonioscopy should be performed to diagnose PACD and verify improvement in angle configuration following treatment. Ultrasound biomicroscopy and anterior segment optical coherence tomography can also aid in the diagnosis of PACD.
OBJECTIVES OF PREFERRED PRACTICE PATTERN® GUIDELINES

As a service to its members and the public, the American Academy of Ophthalmology has developed a series of Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines that identify characteristics and components of quality eye care. Appendix 1 describes the core criteria of quality eye care.

The Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are based on the best available scientific data as interpreted by panels of knowledgeable health professionals. In some instances, such as when results of carefully conducted clinical trials are available, the data are particularly persuasive and provide clear guidance. In other instances, the panels have to rely on their collective judgment and evaluation of available evidence.

These documents provide guidance for the pattern of practice, not for the care of a particular individual. While they should generally meet the needs of most patients, they cannot possibly best meet the needs of all patients. Adherence to these PPPs will not ensure a successful outcome in every situation. These practice patterns should not be deemed inclusive of all proper methods of care or exclusive of other methods of care reasonably directed at obtaining the best results. It may be necessary to approach different patients’ needs in different ways. The physician must make the ultimate judgment about the propriety of the care of a particular patient in light of all of the circumstances presented by that patient. The American Academy of Ophthalmology is available to assist members in resolving ethical dilemmas that arise in the course of ophthalmic practice.

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are not medical standards to be adhered to in all individual situations. The Academy specifically disclaims any and all liability for injury or other damages of any kind, from negligence or otherwise, for any and all claims that may arise out of the use of any recommendations or other information contained herein.

References to certain drugs, instruments, and other products are made for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to constitute an endorsement of such. Such material may include information on applications that are not considered community standard, that reflect indications not included in approved U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling, or that are approved for use only in restricted research settings. The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA status of each drug or device he or she wishes to use, and to use them with appropriate patient consent in compliance with applicable law.

Innovation in medicine is essential to ensure the future health of the American public, and the Academy encourages the development of new diagnostic and therapeutic methods that will improve eye care. It is essential to recognize that true medical excellence is achieved only when the patients’ needs are the foremost consideration.

All Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines are reviewed by their parent panel annually or earlier if developments warrant and updated accordingly. To ensure that all PPPs are current, each is valid for 5 years from the “approved by” date unless superseded by a revision. Preferred Practice Pattern guidelines are funded by the Academy without commercial support. Authors and reviewers of PPPs are volunteers and do not receive any financial compensation for their contributions to the documents. The PPPs are externally reviewed by experts and stakeholders, including consumer representatives, before publication. The PPPs are developed in compliance with the Council of Medical Specialty Societies’ Code for Interactions with Companies. The Academy has Relationship with Industry Procedures (available at www.aoa.org/about-preferred-practice-patterns) to comply with the Code.

Appendix 2 contains the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD) codes for the disease entities that this PPP covers. Appendix 3 has an algorithm for the management of patients with acute angle-closure crisis (AACC). The intended users of the Primary Angle Closure PPP are ophthalmologists.
METHODS AND KEY TO RATINGS

Preferred Practice Pattern® guidelines should be clinically relevant and specific enough to provide useful information to practitioners. Where evidence exists to support a recommendation for care, the recommendation should be given an explicit rating that shows the strength of evidence. To accomplish these aims, methods from the Scottish Intercollegiate Guideline Network1 (SIGN) and the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation2 (GRADE) group are used. GRADE is a systematic approach to grading the strength of the total body of evidence that is available to support recommendations on a specific clinical management issue. Organizations that have adopted GRADE include SIGN, the World Health Organization, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Policy, and the American College of Physicians.3

All studies used to form a recommendation for care are graded for strength of evidence individually, and that grade is listed with the study citation.

To rate individual studies, a scale based on SIGN1 is used. The definitions and levels of evidence to rate individual studies are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I++</td>
<td>High-quality meta-analyses, systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials (RCTs), or RCTs with a very low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I+</td>
<td>Well-conducted meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a low risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-</td>
<td>Meta-analyses, systematic reviews of RCTs, or RCTs with a high risk of bias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II++</td>
<td>High-quality systematic reviews of case-control or cohort studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II+</td>
<td>High-quality case-control or cohort studies with a very low risk of confounding or bias and a high probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-</td>
<td>Well-conducted case-control or cohort studies with a low risk of confounding or bias and a moderate probability that the relationship is causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Case-control or cohort studies with a high risk of confounding or bias and a significant risk that the relationship is not causal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonanalytic studies (e.g., case reports, case series)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations for care are formed based on the body of the evidence. The body of evidence quality ratings are defined by GRADE2 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good quality</td>
<td>Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate quality</td>
<td>Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient quality</td>
<td>Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any estimate of effect is very uncertain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key recommendations for care are defined by GRADE2 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strong recommendation</td>
<td>Used when the desirable effects of an intervention clearly outweigh the undesirable effects or clearly do not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discretionary recommendation</td>
<td>Used when the trade-offs are less certain—either because of low-quality evidence or because evidence suggests that desirable and undesirable effects are closely balanced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Highlighted Findings and Recommendations for Care section lists points determined by the PPP Panel to be of particular importance to vision and quality of life outcomes.

All recommendations for care in this PPP were rated using the system described above. Ratings are embedded throughout the PPP main text in italics.

Literature searches to update the PPP were undertaken in March 2019 and June 2020 in the PubMed and Cochrane databases. Complete details of the literature searches are available in Appendix 4.
HIGHLIGHTED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CARE

Understanding the current disease definition is important in the management of the primary angle-closure disease (PACD) spectrum. Modern classification includes:

- Primary angle-closure suspect (PACS): $\geq 180$ degrees iridotrabecular contact (ITC), normal intraocular pressure (IOP), and no optic nerve damage
- Primary angle closure (PAC): $\geq 180$ degrees ITC with peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS) or elevated IOP but no optic neuropathy
- Primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG): $\geq 180$ degrees ITC with PAS, elevated IOP, and optic neuropathy
- Acute angle-closure crisis (AACC): occluded angle with symptomatic high IOP
- Plateau iris configuration: narrow angle due to an anteriorly positioned ciliary body, with deep central anterior chamber
- Plateau iris syndrome: narrow angle due to an anteriorly positioned ciliary body, with deep central anterior chamber, and any ITC persisting after patent peripheral iridotomy

Common risk factors for PACD include Asian descent, hyperopia, older age, female gender, short axial length, and thick and anteriorly positioned crystalline lens.

Dark-room dynamic gonioscopy should be performed to diagnose PACD and to verify improvement in angle configuration following treatment. Ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) and anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) can also aid in the diagnosis of angle closure, but only UBM and dynamic gonioscopy can identify the etiology of plateau iris.

The clinical signs and symptoms of AACC include pressure-induced corneal edema (experienced as blurred vision and occasionally as halos around lights), a mid-dilated pupil, vascular congestion (i.e., conjunctival and episcleral), eye pain, headache, and nausea/vomiting.

Patients experiencing AACC should receive medical treatment, including aqueous suppressants, parasympathomimetics, and osmotic agents, if necessary, to lower the IOP acutely and relieve symptoms. This should be followed by laser iridotomy or iridectomy. After addressing the episode of AACC, it is important to perform laser iridotomy in the fellow eye when indicated.

Lens extraction is an effective treatment for some patients with PAC and PACG.
INTRODUCTION

DISEASE DEFINITION

Primary angle-closure disease (PACD) is appositional or synechial closure of the anterior chamber angle. Experts now recognize multiple mechanisms for PACD. Pupillary block is a key element in the pathogenesis of most instances of PACD. The pressure in the posterior chamber is higher than in the anterior chamber due to impairment of aqueous humor flow from the posterior chamber at the pupil, causing an anterior bowing of the iris that crowds the angle in predisposed eyes. Additional mechanisms that contribute to PACD include the relative position and thickness of the ciliary body, the location of the iris insertion into the ciliary body, and the volume of the iris. Certain anatomical features can increase this pressure disparity between the two chambers (e.g., pupil dilation and the crystalline lens size, shape, position, and thickening with age), which then results in iris apposition to the anterior chamber angle structures. In a minority of cases, this can happen acutely, resulting in acute angle-closure crisis (AACC).

Prolonged or repeated contact of the peripheral iris with the trabecular meshwork may lead to functional damage of the trabecular meshwork and the development of peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS). Primary angle-closure disease may not initially be associated with elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) or glaucomatous optic neuropathy, and it may occur in either an acute or chronic form. Secondary forms of angle closure can also occur (e.g., iridocorneal endothelial syndrome, inflammation, or neovascularization). This Preferred Practice Pattern (PPP) focuses on PACD, and the management of other secondary forms of angle closure glaucoma (e.g., neovascular glaucoma) is not discussed.

DISEASE CLASSIFICATION AND CLINICAL FINDINGS CHARACTERISTIC OF ANGLE CLOSURE

Primary angle-closure disease is generally bilateral, although 90% of AACC are unilateral. Patients with PACD and those at risk may be categorized as follows (see Table 1):

| TABLE 1 CLINICAL FINDINGS THAT DEFINE PATIENTS SEEN WITH PRIMARY ANGLE-CLOSURE DISEASE |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                                   | Primary Angle-Closure Suspect | Primary Angle Closure | Primary Angle-Closure Glaucoma |
| ≥180 degrees ITC                  | Present          | Present          | Present          |
| Elevated IOP or PAS               | Absent           | Present          | Present          |
| Optic nerve damage                | Absent           | Absent           | Present          |

IOP = intraocular pressure; ITC = iridotrabecular contact; PAS = peripheral anterior synechiae

Primary Angle-Closure Suspect

Iridotrabecular contact (ITC), as observed on gonioscopy without compression, is defined as the iris appearing to touch the anterior chamber angle at the posterior pigmented trabecular meshwork or more anterior structures. The extent of iridotrabecular contact required to diagnose an eye as having PACD has been the subject of debate. Consensus suggests that a person with 180 degrees or more of ITC on dark-room gonioscopy is at risk of primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG) or an AACC. An eye with this amount or more of ITC, no PAS, and normal IOP is considered a primary angle-closure suspect (PACS). A study conducted in southern India found that about one in four untreated PACS subjects in this population developed elevation in IOP or PAS over 5 years. However, a large prospective population-
based study in Zhongshan, China found only 4% of PACS eyes reached the same endpoint over 6 years. Further longitudinal studies in diverse ethnorracial populations are needed.

**Primary Angle Closure and Primary Angle-Closure Glaucoma**

Any eye that has at least 180 degrees of ITC and an elevated IOP or PAS with no secondary cause for the PAS is classified as having PAC. The presence of high IOP and/or PAS suggests that ITC noted during gonioscopy may cause permanent histopathologic changes to the eye. When glaucomatous optic neuropathy is present (as defined in the Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma PPP), the eye has progressed from PAC to PACG. Eyes with intermittent PACG may have normal IOP between episodes of elevated IOP that cause optic neuropathy.

**Acute Angle-Closure Crisis**

If the anterior chamber angle is obstructed suddenly, the IOP can rise rapidly to high levels. The characteristic clinical signs and symptoms include pressure-induced corneal edema (experienced as blurred vision and occasionally as halos around lights), a mid-dilated pupil, lens opacities (glaucomflecken), vascular congestion (i.e., conjunctival and episcleral), eye pain, headache, and nausea/vomiting. This condition is considered an AACC and may be self-limited or may recur. Untreated, this entity may cause permanent vision loss or blindness. The fellow eye is also at high risk of AACC.

**Plateau Iris Configuration and Syndrome**

Plateau iris configuration refers to eyes that have a gonioscopic appearance of the peripheral iris closely apposed to the angle despite a deep central anterior chamber. The etiology, anterior rotation of the ciliary body, can be discerned using dynamic gonioscopy or ultrasound biomicroscopy (UBM) but not anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT). Nearly one-third of PAC eyes treated with iridotomy have an angle that retains substantial ITC. On pupil dilation, eyes with plateau iris configuration do not have a substantial increase in IOP. Although no longitudinal study has shown that these eyes have a higher long-term risk to develop PACG than eyes whose angles widen more after iridotomy, the prevalence of plateau iris in one population-based study (8% of occludable angles) compared with that among eyes with PACG (32%–37%) implies that plateau iris does increase the risk of developing PACG. Eyes with plateau iris syndrome may have recurrent high IOP after iridotomy or even after cataract extraction.

**PATIENT POPULATION**

The patient population includes individuals of all ages, most of whom are adults older than 50 years with risk factors that include abnormally high transpupillary resistance to aqueous humor movement, most often in association with pupillary block.

**CLINICAL OBJECTIVES**

- Identify patients who currently have or are at risk of developing PACG or AACC by using gonioscopy
- Successfully manage AACC
- Prevent or reverse angle closure by using laser iridotomy, and iridoplasty when indicated. Incisional iridectomy may be required to alleviate pupillary block, in rare cases.
- Confirm by repeat gonioscopy that the angle is open after intervention. If not open, consider lens extraction or incisional glaucoma surgery when laser and medical therapy does not alleviate IOP elevation.
- Identify and manage patients with chronic IOP elevation that persists after iridotomy or iridoplasty
- Evaluate the fellow eye for evidence of angle closure or an anatomically narrow angle in AACC and perform a prophylactic iridotomy when indicated.
- Educate the patient and care giver(s) about the characteristics of the disease and involve them in the patient’s management. Also, encourage the patient to inform genetically related family members that they may be at risk of angle closure and should be evaluated.
BACKGROUND

PREVALENCE

Considerable differences exist in the prevalence of angle closure among ethnорacial groups, with highest rates in Inuit,30-32 Chinese,24,33-36 and other Asian37-45 populations; and lower rates in populations of African and African-derived origin46-48 and European and European-derived origin49-55 (see Table 2). In some Asian populations, PACG may account for nearly as many cases of glaucoma as open-angle glaucoma.37,58,59 Worldwide, 0.7% of people over 40 are estimated to have angle-closure glaucoma50; in 2013, this represented 20.2 million people, with most (15.5 million) in Asia.60 The prevalence is expected to increase by 50% in 2040, and over 5 million of the 32 million people projected to have PACG could become blind from the disease. Overall, PACG is a large cause of blindness worldwide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2 PREVALENCE OF ANGLE CLOSURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Inuit30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaskan Inuit31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Greenlandic Inuit32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burma37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa*38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongolia34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern India41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern India42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona, U.S.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African and African-derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltimore, U.S.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temba, South Africa48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European and European-derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Mountains, Australia50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedford, U.K.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales, U.K.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melbourne, Australia54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaver Dam, U.S.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: The studies reporting prevalence used different definitions of disease; therefore, these rates are not directly comparable. * Population in study is of mixed-race ancestry, mainly from Southeast Asia, but there was some African and white admixture.
RISK FACTORS

The following demographic and ocular factors have been implicated as risk factors for the presence of PACD.

Demographic Characteristics
- Family history of angle closure
- Older age
- Female gender
- Chinese, Vietnamese, Pakistani, or Inuit descent

Ocular Features
- Hyperopia
- Shallow peripheral anterior chamber depth
- Shallow central anterior chamber depth
- Steep corneal curvature
- Thick crystalline lens
- Short axial length
- Anterior position of the ciliary body, as in plateau iris
- Anterior lens position

NATURAL HISTORY

Glucomatous optic neuropathy can develop rapidly if patients with high IOP from unilateral AACC do not receive treatment. Also, untreated fellow phakic eyes are at increased risk for developing acute angle closure. Following AACC, the retinal nerve fiber layer thickness increases in the first few days, returns to an average thickness at approximately 1 month, and develops decreased thickness at 3 months. This occurs from axonal swelling, resolution of axonal swelling, and eventual axonal atrophy. In one study examining patients for 4 to 10 years following an AACC event, 18% of eyes were blind, of which 50% were blind from glaucoma. Fifty-eight percent of eyes had visual acuity worse than 20/40. Thus, AACC (and subsequent PACG) creates significant visual morbidity with progressive vision loss that may result in unilateral and bilateral blindness.

CARE PROCESS

PATIENT OUTCOME CRITERIA
- Preservation of visual function
- Maintenance of quality of life
- Reduction of the risk of AACC

DIAGNOSIS

Patients may or may not have symptoms of PACD. Primary angle-closure suspect is a diagnosis based on the presence of ITC on gonioscopy only, without elevated IOP or PAS and no optic nerve damage. Primary angle closure is based on a combination of the presence of ITC on gonioscopy with either elevated IOP or PAS, but no glaucomatous optic neuropathy.

Patients may be asymptomatic when presenting for routine eye care, or they may present with sudden onset of symptoms and signs typical of AACC (such as pain, nausea, redness, congestion, decreased vision, corneal edema, very high IOP). The initial history and ophthalmic examination includes the components of the comprehensive adult medical eye evaluation, with special attention to those elements that specifically bear on primary and secondary causes of angle closure (see below).
History

The patient with PACD should be asked about previous symptoms of intermittent angle closure (e.g., blurred vision, halos around lights, eye pain, headache, eye redness). These symptoms may occur spontaneously or with pharmacologic stress, such as ingestion or transdermal absorption of anticholinergics or installation of mydriatic eye drops. Review of the patient’s family history may identify a relative with AACC. The ophthalmologist should also inquire about the use of systemic medications that may cause ciliary body edema and angle narrowing (e.g., sulfonamides, including topiramate) and drugs with adrenergic or anticholinergic effects (e.g., ipratropium bromide and salbutamol-containing inhalers, phenothiazines).

Physical Examination

Components of the physical examination that are particularly relevant for the diagnosis and management of PACD are as follows.

Re refractive status

Hyperopic eyes and eyes with short axial length regardless of refractive status, especially in older phakic patients, have narrower anterior chamber angles and are at increased risk of PACD. Assessment of actual refractive status by retinoscopy or manifest refraction in the AACC eye may be postponed until a subsequent visit. It is appropriate to determine the presence of hyperopia by measuring the eyeglass power or refracting the fellow eye. Care should be taken to elicit previous medical history, including past refractive surgery (i.e., LASIK for hyperopia).

Pupil examination

- Size and shape (may be mid-dilated, asymmetric, or oval in the involved eye during or following an AACC)
- Reactivity (may be poor during an AACC or nonreactive following an AACC)
- Relative afferent pupillary defect (may be present with asymmetric optic nerve damage or be due to elevated IOP)

Slit-lamp biomicroscopy

- Conjunctival hyperemia (in acute cases)
- Central and peripheral anterior chamber depth narrowing
- Anterior chamber inflammation suggestive of a recent or current attack
- Corneal swelling. (Microcystic edema and stromal edema are common in acute cases.)
- Small corneal diameter (indicative of a smaller eye at greater risk for PACD)
- Iris abnormalities, including diffuse or focal atrophy, posterior synechiae, abnormal pupillary function, irregular pupil shape, and a mid-dilated pupil (suggestive of a recent or current attack)
- Lens changes, including cataract, anterior lens position, and glaukomflecken (patchy, localized, anterior subcapsular lens opacities) (see Figure 1)
- Corneal endothelial cell loss
Intraocular pressure measurement

Intraocular pressure is measured in each eye by Goldmann appplanation tonometry. Measuring central corneal thickness should be postponed until resolution of an AACC.107

Gonioscopy

Gonioscopy of both eyes should be performed on all patients in whom PACD is suspected to evaluate the angle anatomy, including the presence of ITC and/or PAS, and plateau iris configuration.108 Compression (indentation) gonioscopy with a four-mirror or similar lens is particularly helpful to determine if visible appositional closure is actually permanent synechial closure and, if so, the extent of such PAS. Gonioscopy should be performed in a dark room with a bright, short (approximately 1 mm in length) beam that does not pass through the pupil to avoid inducing pupillary constriction, which can widen the angle.109 Waiting for 10 seconds after placement of the gonioscopy lens allows the pupil to resume its resting state and may demonstrate pupillary block configuration when it reaches a mid-dilated position. A useful technique to examine a narrow anterior chamber angle is to have the patient look slightly towards the mirror of the gonioprism into which the examiner is looking. The gonioscopy lens may also be used to examine the optic disc through the central portion of the lens.

Gonioscopic visualization of the angle may be impaired secondary to corneal edema in the setting of AACC. Topical glycerin may be used to clear the cornea to obtain a better view. (See www.gonioscopy.org for discussion of the techniques of gonioscopy.)

Other components of the initial evaluation

Although a dilated examination may not be advisable for patients with ITC, an attempt should be made to optimally evaluate the fundus and optic nerve head clinically by using the direct ophthalmoscope or the slit-lamp biomicroscope with an indirect lens (e.g., 78-diopter or 90-diopter lens), the central portion of the gonioscopy lens, or by imaging the retina and optic nerve with photography using a nonmydriatic camera through an undilated pupil. In many cases, it may also be possible to obtain OCT imaging of the optic nerves through an undilated pupil to ascertain and document the status of the optic nerve. For patients with suspected PACD, pupil dilation should be done with caution. As appropriate, these patients should be warned about signs and symptoms until an iridotomy has been performed, since dilation can precipitate AACC.64 However, if dilation is deemed essential (e.g., for suspected retinal pathology), cautious dilation can be performed. If AACC is
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precipitated by dilation in the clinic, it can be successfully managed during the encounter (see section on Management). Once an iridotomy has been performed, a dilated examination of the retina and optic nerve can be done for more complete documentation of the optic nerve head and retinal nerve fiber layer. (See Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma PPP for a detailed description of the evaluation.)

Diagnostic Testing

Diagnostic testing that may be used to further evaluate patients with PACD includes the following:

- Anterior segment imaging
- Ocular biometry
- Provocative testing

Anterior segment imaging

Anterior segment imaging may be a useful adjunct to gonioscopy and is particularly helpful when the ability to perform gonioscopy is precluded by corneal disease or poor patient cooperation. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography can be used to characterize the angle qualitatively and quantitatively. Quantitative characteristics, available from software included with AS-OCT machines, include angle opening depth, trabecular-iris space area and angle recess area, iridotrabecular contact index, lens vault, and iris volume.

Although AS-OCT can be very useful, it has limitations in evaluating the angle. Neither the posterior aspect of the iris nor the ciliary body are well imaged with AS-OCT, reducing the utility of AS-OCT in evaluating plateau iris configuration or ciliary body abnormalities. Isolated PAS or small tufts of neovascularization may be missed if not in the plane imaged by AS-OCT. Patchy pigment throughout the angle (indicative of intermittent ITC) would also not be recorded in AS-OCT. Swept source OCT offers a clear advantage over time domain OCT in this regard. However, even swept source OCT demonstrates only moderate agreement with gonioscopy assessment of angle closure. The quantitative measurements made possible by AS-OCT and the ability to repeat these measurements over time may lead to a better understanding of the natural history and prognosis of angle closure. For example, increased iris volume as measured by AS-OCT is associated with increased risk of persistent angle closure (by gonioscopic view) following laser iridotomy.

Ultrasound biomicroscopy provides better characterization of the posterior iris and ciliary body compared with AS-OCT. Although UBM is more operator dependent and time consuming than AS-OCT, UBM is a better imaging modality than AS-OCT for identifying plateau iris configuration or characterizing the position of the ciliary body.
FIGURE 2 Normal-angle anatomy by ultrasound biomicroscopy.

AC = anterior chamber; C = cornea; CB = ciliary body with ciliary processes at tip; I = iris; L = lens; LC = lens capsule; PC = posterior chamber; S = sclera; black arrow = scleral spur; thick white arrow = Schwalbe’s line; thin white arrow = angle recess or approach


FIGURE 3. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography image of a narrow angle. Courtesy of Sanjay Asrani, MD.
FIGURE 4. Comparative ultrasound biomicroscopy images of a narrow, occludable angle seen to be barely open in the light (left) and appositionally closed in the dark (right), denoted by arrow.


FIGURE 5a. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography image showing a narrow-angle prelaser peripheral iridotomy. Courtesy of Michele C. Lim, MD.

FIGURE 5b. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography image showing a narrow-angle postlaser peripheral iridotomy. Arrow indicates iridotomy. Courtesy of Michele C. Lim, MD.
Primary Angle-Closure Disease PPP

![Image of ultrasound biomicroscopy image with labels A, B, C, D labeled on the cornea and iris.]

**FIGURE 6.** Ultrasound biomicroscopy image of a quadrant showing plateau iris after laser peripheral iridotomy. Features shown: (A) irido-angle touch, (B) anteriorly rotated ciliary process, (C) absent ciliary sulcus, and (D) iris angulation.


**Ocular biometry**

A clinician may consider ocular biometry in angle closure to determine axial length and intraocular lens power for cataract surgery. A short axial length may indicate nanophthalmos. Nanophthalmos is a small but otherwise normal eye with an axial length <20.0 mm. Other signs of nanophthalmos may include a small horizontal corneal diameter (<11.0 mm) and high hyperopia. Clinicians should diagnose nanophthalmos prior to incisional surgery to avoid complications such as anterior chamber shallowing and aqueous misdirection. Intraoperative maneuvers include scleral windows, \textsuperscript{116-118} decompressing the vitreous pharmacologically with mannitol or mechanically with vitrectomy, and/or using cohesive viscoelastics to avoid anterior chamber shallowing.

**Provocative testing**

Careful gonioscopic examination and synthesis of the clinical findings have largely replaced the use of provocative tests (such as dark-room prone positioning and pre- and postdilation IOP measurement) to make therapeutic decisions for patients at risk for PAC. In the Zhongshan Angle Closure Prevention (ZAP) trial, a single-center, randomized controlled trial of laser peripheral iridotomy for PACS, dark-room prone provocative testing was performed, comparing pre-test IOP to IOP measured after 15 minutes in a dark room with the participant in the prone position. No potential participants experienced IOP elevation with prone-dark testing. \textsuperscript{13}
Differential Diagnosis

Because PACS and PAC tend to be bilateral, the observation of a wide open angle in the fellow eye suggests a diagnosis other than PACD. Other entities that cause secondary angle closure and that may be unilateral or bilateral can be classified as follows:

◆ Secondary pupillary block
  ♦ Uveitis causing a secluded pupil
  ♦ Lens-related disorders (e.g., ectopia lentis or a malpositioned intraocular lens)
  ♦ Pupillary block due to vitreous prolapse

◆ Anterior pulling mechanism
  ♦ Neovascularization of the iris
  ♦ Epithelial or fibrous ingrowth
  ♦ Descemetization of the anterior chamber angle, as in iridocorneal endothelial syndrome
  ♦ Contracture of inflammatory precipitates
  ♦ Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome
  ♦ PAS following anterior segment surgery

◆ Posterior pushing mechanism
  ♦ Retinal conditions leading to the forward shift of the lens-iris diaphragm
    • Ciliary body edema and/or uveal effusion secondary to:
      o Central retinal vein occlusion
      o Panretinal photocoagulation
      o Placement of a scleral buckle
      o Medication (e.g., topiramate, sulfonamides)
    • Intraocular gas or silicone oil with forward displacement of the lens-iris diaphragm
    • Persistent fetal vasculature
    • Chronic serous choroidal detachment
    • Hemorrhagic choroidal detachment (suprachoroidal hemorrhage)
    • Intraocular tumors (mass effect or direct angle invasion)
    • Retinopathy of prematurity
  ♦ Aqueous misdirection (malignant glaucoma)

MANAGEMENT

Goals
The goals of managing a patient with PACD are as follows:

◆ Reverse or prevent the angle-closure process
◆ Control IOP
◆ Prevent damage to the optic nerve

Primary Angle-Closure Suspect

A large population-based, randomized clinical trial in Zhongshan, China has recently shown the benefit of iridotomy for PACS, with a 50% reduction in risk for development of PAC over 6 years. However, the rarity of progression (4%) to PAC led the authors to conclude that laser peripheral iridotomy (LPI) was not justified in similar populations.13 Observational studies suggest that the majority of patients with PACS will not develop either PAC or PACG.12,19 Yet historically, the relative safety of LPI has resulted in its wider prophylactic use for the prevention of AACC and PACG. In patients with PACS, iridotomy may be considered to reduce the risk of developing angle closure. Alternatively, patients with ITC may be followed
for the development of IOP elevation, evidence of progressive narrowing, or synechial angle closure, since iridotomy can be associated, rarely, with bothersome postoperative glare and/or diplopia. Other rare complications of LPI include progression of cataract and the development of posterior synechiae.

Other factors that may influence the decision to perform prophylactic laser iridotomy in PACS include the following:

- Medication is required that may provoke pupillary block
- Symptoms are present that suggest prior intermittent AACC
- The patient's health status or occupation/avocation makes it difficult to access immediate ophthalmic care (e.g., the patient resides in a nursing home facility, travels frequently to developing parts of the world, works on a merchant vessel), or if the patient is poorly compliant with follow-up
- There is a need for frequent dilated eye examinations (e.g., macular degeneration or diabetic retinopathy evaluations)

Patients with PACS who have not had an iridotomy should be warned that they are at risk for AACC and that certain medicines (e.g., over-the-counter decongestants, cold and flu medications, allergy medications, and sleeping aids as well as prescription psychoactive and motion-sickness medication, all of which may have anticholinergic properties) could cause pupil dilation and induce AACC. They should also be informed about the symptoms of AACC and instructed to notify their ophthalmologist immediately if symptoms occur. Some PACS patients develop increased IOP and eventually require topical ocular hypotensive agents despite LPI.

**Primary Angle Closure and Primary Angle-Closure Glaucoma**

Patients with PAC may have elevated IOP as a result of a chronic compromise of aqueous outflow due to appositional or synechial angle closure, or from damage to the trabecular meshwork after previous intermittent AACC. Although some authors advocate that iridotomy is indicated for all eyes with PAC or PACG, other reports indicate that PACG eyes with advanced optic nerve damage and extensive PAS (>180 degrees) may benefit less from LPI, particularly if lens extraction is being considered. Laser iridotomy may be performed using a thermal and/or Nd:YAG laser.

Complications of laser iridotomy include increased IOP; laser burn to the cornea, lens, or retina; late-onset corneal edema; the development of posterior synechiae; hyphema; iritis; and the development of ocular light-induced visual disturbance. Light-induced visual disturbance may result from the prismatic effect of the tear film at the eyelid margin or from the shutter effect of the eyelid partially covering an iridotomy that is adjacent to the eyelid margin and either not fully covered by the eyelid or not fully exposed at the 3 or 9 o’clock position. Some authors have reported a reduced risk of light-induced visual disturbance with horizontal placement of the iridotomy. However, other randomized trials have shown no difference in light-induced visual disturbance symptoms between temporal and superior placement, or between superior quadrant LPI location with full, partial, or no eyelid coverage of the iridotomy.

A recent multicenter, randomized clinical trial, Effectiveness in Angle-closure Glaucoma of Lens Extraction (EAGLE), showed that clear lens extraction provided greater IOP control and quality of life benefit for PAC patients with elevated IOP and PACG patients compared with standard care with LPI. Therefore, cataract (or clear lens) extraction could be considered for management of PAC and PACG (see section on Lens extraction for angle closure, below).

**Surgery and Postoperative Care**

The ophthalmologist who performs the laser iridotomy or incisional iridectomy has the following responsibilities:

- Obtain informed consent from the patient or the patient’s surrogate decision maker after discussing the risks, benefits, and expected outcomes of surgery.
Ensure that the preoperative evaluation confirms the need for surgery. Consider the preoperative use of a parasympathomimetic to facilitate LPI. Use topical ocular hypotensive agents perioperatively to prevent sudden IOP elevation, particularly for patients who have severe disease. Ensure the patency of the iridotomy by directly visualizing fluid flow of aqueous and pigment from the posterior to the anterior chamber. Visualization of a red reflex alone is insufficient to confirm patency. Enlarge the iridotomy as necessary to achieve diameter of at least 100 microns. Perform at least one IOP check immediately prior to surgery and within 30 minutes to 2 hours following laser surgery. Prescribe topical corticosteroids in the postoperative period. Ensure that the patient receives adequate postoperative care.

Follow-up evaluations in the days and weeks after surgery should include the following elements:

- Confirm the patency of the iridotomy by visualizing zonules, the anterior lens capsule, or ciliary processes.
- Measure IOP.
- Perform dark-room gonioscopy with compression/indentation to assess the extent of PAS if it was not performed immediately following the iridotomy.
- Examine the fundus as clinically indicated.

Following LPI for PACD, persistent or progressive elevations of IOP and complications may occur for several reasons:

- Trabecular damage or formation of PAS may have occurred during iridocorneal apposition.
- If the iridotomy becomes occluded, pupillary block may recur, and repeat iridotomy is indicated. Retreatment with laser surgery or a surgical iridectomy may be needed.
- Factors other than pupillary block may lead to angle closure and may have gone unrecognized until after the iridotomy. These include plateau iris syndrome, phacomorphic angle closure, and secondary causes of angle closure (see Differential Diagnosis section).
- Angle closure may be superimposed on pre-existing open-angle glaucoma or on another cause of IOP elevation, such as pseudoexfoliation syndrome.

Additional treatment of PAC or PACG after the component of pupillary block has been alleviated by iridotomy is directed at lowering IOP to prevent or retard pressure-induced optic nerve damage and is similar to the treatment of primary open-angle glaucoma. Reopening an appositionally closed angle by laser iridoplasty or a synechially closed angle with goniosynechialysis may improve aqueous outflow, especially when it is performed within 6 to 12 months of an AACC.

Additional management for elevated IOP that threatens the optic nerve is the same as for primary open-angle glaucoma (see Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma PPP). It includes chronic topical ocular hypotensive agents, incisional surgery, and, rarely, laser trabeculoplasty if, in the judgment of the treating ophthalmologist, sufficiently open trabecular meshwork exists following LPI to expect a reasonable IOP reduction. In addition, a growing body of evidence indicates that cataract extraction alone may lead to substantial IOP lowering in some PAC and PACG patients and can be considered as an option for treatment.

**Acute Angle-Closure Crisis**

**Acute attack management**

The initial treatment of AACC is aimed at lowering IOP to relieve the acute symptoms and potentially harmful high IOP. Several methods have been studied, including medical therapy alone, LPI (if the iris can be visualized and the procedure can be performed),
Peripheral laser iridoplasty, and paracentesis. However, most patients are treated acutely with medications.

In AACC, medical therapy is usually initiated first to lower the IOP to reduce pain and to clear corneal edema. Iridotomy should then be performed as soon as possible. Iridotomy (or iridectomy) allows aqueous to bypass the pupillary block and eliminates the pressure gradient between the posterior and anterior chambers.

Medical therapy includes some or all of the following, taking into consideration medical conditions that may represent contraindications to treatment with specific agents:

- Topical beta-adrenergic antagonists
- Topical alpha-adrenergic agonists
- Topical, oral, or intravenous carbonic anhydrase inhibitors
- Topical parasympathomimetics
- Oral or intravenous hyperosmotic agents

Agents that suppress aqueous humor formation (beta-adrenergic antagonists, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors) may be ineffective initially, because they may have decreased ability to reduce aqueous formation if the ciliary body is ischemic. The configuration of the iris becomes less bowed with pupillary constriction, therefore treatment using parasympathomimetics may open the angle; however, pressure-induced ischemia of the pupillary sphincter makes the pupil unresponsive to miotic therapy when the IOP is markedly elevated. Parasympathomimetic treatment may be ineffective in cases of secondary pupillary block due to intraocular gas, oil, or vitreous, or if the intraocular lens or crystalline lens is blocking the pupil. In these cases, mydriatics may be more effective. Systemic hyperosmotic agents may need to be used to achieve a rapid decrease in IOP in the setting of AACC. Corneal indentation performed with a four-mirror gonioscopic lens, cotton-tipped applicator, or tip of a muscle hook may help break pupillary block.

Laser iridotomy is the preferred surgical treatment because it has a favorable risk-benefit ratio. Iridotomy relieves pupillary block and can prevent or retard the formation of PAS. Iridotomy can also result in significant angle widening and iris-profile flattening. Timely treatment may prevent damage to the optic nerve, trabecular meshwork, iris, lens, and cornea. If an iridotomy cannot be performed due to iris congestion or corneal edema, the cornea may sometimes be cleared with topical hyperosmotic agents, anterior chamber paracentesis, or iridoplasty. Once the attack is broken, it is usually possible to perform a definitive laser iridotomy immediately or soon afterward.

When laser iridotomy is not possible or if the AACC cannot be medically broken, LPI (even with a cloudy cornea), paracentesis, and incisional iridectomy remain effective alternatives. When incisional iridectomy is required and extensive synechial closure is recognized or suspected, simultaneous primary filtering surgery may be considered. Concurrent glaucomatous cupping of the optic disc often indicates a prolonged chronic course before the onset of acute symptoms, and filtering surgery can be considered, especially if the presence of considerable PAS is confirmed on gonioscopy. Filtering surgery in eyes with unbroken AACC has a high risk of anterior chamber shallowing postoperatively, related to the development of aqueous misdirection, choroidal effusions, or aqueous hyposecretion.

Other treatments for AACC unresponsive to conventional treatment include primary phacoemulsification and cyclophotoagulation. A 2019 Cochrane Systematic Review identified only one ongoing study that met inclusion criteria comparing phacoemulsification with endocyclophotoagulation to phacoemulsification alone in patients with PACG, and no completed studies. Thus, the selection of cyclophotoagulation over other procedures should be left to the discretion of the treating ophthalmologist, in consultation with the individual patient. (I, Insufficient Quality, Discretionary Recommendation)
Fellow-eye management

The fellow eye of a patient with AACC should be evaluated, because it is at high risk for a similar event. The fellow eye should be scheduled for a prophylactic LPI promptly if the chamber angle is anatomically narrow, since approximately half of fellow eyes of acute angle-closure patients can develop AACCs within 5 years. These attacks can occur within days of presentation and, therefore, an ophthalmologist should consider LPI in the fellow eye as soon as possible. Chronic parasympathomimetic therapy is not an appropriate alternative, either for prophylaxis of the fellow eye or for treatment of established angle closure, and it is not a substitute for iridotomy. About 40% of fellow eyes treated with parasympathomimetics can develop an AACC within 5 years, and many eyes with PACD can develop progressive formation of synechial angle closure with miotic use. Prophylactic LPIs are effective in preventing AACC in the fellow eye, although one study found that 53% of fellow eyes developed PACG after almost 6 years of follow-up, even after prophylactic LPI was performed.

Lens extraction for angle closure

Numerous studies document that lens extraction significantly widens the anterior chamber angle in eyes that have PACD. In prospective and retrospective studies, cataract surgery also has been shown to lower postoperative medication requirements and decrease complications compared with iridectomy, iridotomy, or trabeculectomy for PACG and AACC. Although lens extraction with or without goniosynechialysis can substantially lower IOP, it has been documented that cataract extraction alone does not result in as low an IOP as cataract surgery combined with trabeculectomy. The risks and benefits of different procedures in eyes with angle closure should be considered when determining the most appropriate surgery.

In patients with AACC, phacoemulsification performed soon after initial medical reduction of IOP is effective in maintaining IOP control and reducing the need for glaucoma medications. However, cataract surgery in AACC eyes may have greater risk of operative complications due to the small dimensions of the anterior chamber and the tendency for choroidal expansion.

The EAGLE Study has recently shown the effectiveness of clear lens extraction with intraocular lens implantation for the treatment of PAC with elevated IOP (≥30 mm Hg at diagnosis) and PACG. This study found clear lens extraction to be superior to LPI for IOP control in PAC with elevated IOP and PACG; in addition, patients had better quality of life scores. Treatment with phacoemulsification was estimated to be cost-effective at 3 years and cost saving by 10 years in the United Kingdom.

Plateau Iris Configuration and Syndrome

The success of prophylactic laser iridoplasty after laser iridotony to prevent PACG and AACC in eyes with plateau iris has been reported in a case series. However, a 2012 Cochrane review found no randomized controlled trials to provide evidence to support the use of this procedure in these eyes. Given the lack of convincing evidence in the literature for prophylactic use of iridoplasty in these patients, and since iridoplasty can be painful for the patient and may cause inflammation, the decision of whether to observe or treat these eyes is left to the judgment of the treating ophthalmologist (I-, Moderate Quality, Strong Recommendation). Eyes with plateau iris syndrome that experience recurrent high IOP associated with a closed angle on gonioscopy after iridotomy should undergo further therapy. Additional treatment could include iridoplasty, chronic parasympathomimetic therapy, or other surgical procedures, including cataract or clear lens extraction, although lens surgery does not guarantee that AACC will not occur. Two recent small case series studies indicate that endoscopic cycloplasty can address the ciliary process configuration and may improve IOP control in plateau iris patients. However, this procedure was combined with cataract extraction in these reports, and no studies have compared cataract extraction with and without endoscopic cycloplasty in this patient population.
Follow-up Evaluation

The recommendations for follow-up evaluations after iridotomy for angle closure apply to ongoing management of PACD and not to visits for other purposes. Following LPI, patients may have an open anterior chamber angle or an anterior chamber angle with a combination of open sectors with areas occluded by PAS. With or without glaucomatous optic neuropathy, patients with a residual open angle or a combination of open angle and some PAS should be followed at appropriate intervals to check for increasing PAS. Special attention should be given to repeat gonioscopy to determine interval changes such as increased extent of PAS or development of secondary angle closure from cataract progression and increased lens thickness. Subsequent follow-up intervals depend on the clinical findings and judgment of the treating ophthalmologist.

If IOP remains elevated long term and the patient develops PAC or PACG, then ongoing medical therapy to lower IOP may become necessary. In such a situation, follow-up procedures and intervals would be dictated by the same principles outlined in the Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma PPP. As in primary open-angle glaucoma, adherence to medical therapy may be handicapped when patients run out of medication, due to inadvertent drop wastage or inability to properly instill eyedrops, before they are permitted to refill their prescription. One study found this was more likely for patients who self-administered eyedrop medications when visual acuity was worse than 20/70 in either eye. However, according to a 2010 directive, patients with Medicare insurance may now refill their medication after they have completed at least 70% of the month, or approximately 21 days of therapy.

PROVIDER AND SETTING

The performance of certain diagnostic procedures (e.g., tonometry, perimetry, pachymetry, anterior segment imaging, optic disc imaging, and photography) may be delegated to appropriately trained and supervised personnel. However, the interpretation of results and medical and surgical management of disease require the medical training, clinical judgment, and experience of the ophthalmologist. Most diagnostic and therapeutic procedures can be undertaken safely on an outpatient basis. Hospitalization may be indicated for intensive treatment of an AACC so that patients can be monitored closely after surgical procedures associated with a high risk of serious short-term postoperative complications. It may also be indicated for patients who have experienced surgical complications or for patients who have special medical or social needs.

COUNSELING AND REFERRAL

If the diagnosis or management of PACD is in question or is refractory to treatment, consultation with or referral to an ophthalmologist with special training or experience in managing these conditions may be indicated. Patients with substantial visual impairment or blindness may benefit from appropriate vision rehabilitation and social services. More information on vision rehabilitation, including materials for patients, is available at www.aao.org/smart-sight-low-vision.

SOCIOECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS

At the individual patient level, research has demonstrated that glaucomatous vision loss can have a dramatic impact on a patient’s well-being. Patients with glaucoma may struggle with daily activities such as reading, walking, and driving. Performance of these activities deteriorates with worsening of glaucoma severity and when both eyes are affected. Studies have reported that patients with glaucoma are three times more likely to experience falls and six times more likely to be involved in motor vehicle collisions compared with controls. Quality of life is affected for patients with all stages of glaucoma, even those with early disease.

The costs of managing a chronic disease like glaucoma can be broken down into direct medical costs, direct nonmedical costs, and indirect costs. Direct costs include costs of visits to eye care providers, ancillary testing, and medical and surgical interventions. One study estimated that nearly $3 billion USD per year is spent on the direct medical costs for all forms of glaucoma. Direct nonmedical
costs (e.g., costs for transportation to appointments and nursing home care) and indirect costs (e.g., loss of productivity of the patient or caregivers) can be more difficult to quantify but are substantial. Using California Medicare claims data and Markov modeling, one study estimated that the average direct and indirect medical costs for patients with glaucoma are $1688 higher over a lifetime than for other patients without this condition.211

Costs of glaucoma are impacted by disease severity and type of glaucoma. One study determined the average annual direct medical costs for patients with early glaucoma, advanced glaucoma, and end-stage glaucoma were $623, $1915, and $2511, respectively.212 Among patients with early glaucoma, most of the costs of care are for medications.213 For those with advanced disease, indirect costs such as costs for home health care and rehabilitation predominate.214,215 A study of enrollees in Medicare using 2009 claims data compared mean payments per beneficiary for those with open-angle glaucoma versus angle-closure glaucoma. The study found the mean payment for angle-closure glaucoma beneficiaries was significantly higher ($303 compared with $263 USD). The higher costs in the study were driven by mean greater use of laser surgery in the angle-closure beneficiary group.216

When considering the economic burden of glaucoma, it is important to appreciate that glaucoma affects a disproportionately large number of racial minorities. In fact, glaucoma is the leading cause of blindness among African Americans, and studies have demonstrated greater risk of glaucoma among Latinos and Asian Americans relative to non-Hispanic whites as well. Various studies have noted disparities in utilization of eye care services among racial minorities. Studies have demonstrated that African Americans are somewhat less likely to undergo examinations for glaucoma relative to whites,217,218 have lower rates of undergoing visual field testing relative to whites in the year before glaucoma surgery,219 and have lower rates of using of medical and surgical interventions for glaucoma.220 A more recent study found that despite possessing health insurance, Latinos were significantly less likely to undergo monitoring for glaucoma relative to whites.221 In the care of patients with glaucoma and at risk for glaucoma, it will be important to ensure that racial minorities and socioeconomically disadvantaged patients have adequate access to eye care services and receive care that is in line with recommended clinical practice guidelines.
APPENDIX 1. QUALITY OF OPHTHALMIC CARE CORE CRITERIA

Providing quality care
is the physician's foremost ethical obligation, and is
the basis of public trust in physicians.
AMA Board of Trustees, 1986

Quality ophthalmic care is provided in a manner and with the skill that is consistent with the best interests of the patient. The discussion that follows characterizes the core elements of such care.

The ophthalmologist is first and foremost a physician. As such, the ophthalmologist demonstrates compassion and concern for the individual, and utilizes the science and art of medicine to help alleviate patient fear and suffering. The ophthalmologist strives to develop and maintain clinical skills at the highest feasible level, consistent with the needs of patients, through training and continuing education. The ophthalmologist evaluates those skills and medical knowledge in relation to the needs of the patient and responds accordingly. The ophthalmologist also ensures that needy patients receive necessary care directly or through referral to appropriate persons and facilities that will provide such care, and he or she supports activities that promote health and prevent disease and disability.

The ophthalmologist recognizes that disease places patients in a disadvantaged, dependent state. The ophthalmologist respects the dignity and integrity of his or her patients and does not exploit their vulnerability.

Quality ophthalmic care has the following optimal attributes, among others.

◆ The essence of quality care is a meaningful partnership relationship between patient and physician. The ophthalmologist strives to communicate effectively with his or her patients, listening carefully to their needs and concerns. In turn, the ophthalmologist educates his or her patients about the nature and prognosis of their condition and about proper and appropriate therapeutic modalities. This is to ensure their meaningful participation (appropriate to their unique physical, intellectual, and emotional state) in decisions affecting their management and care, to improve their motivation and compliance with the agreed plan of treatment, and to help alleviate their fears and concerns.

◆ The ophthalmologist uses his or her best judgment in choosing and timing appropriate diagnostic and therapeutic modalities as well as the frequency of evaluation and follow-up, with due regard to the urgency and nature of the patient's condition and unique needs and desires.

◆ The ophthalmologist carries out only those procedures for which he or she is adequately trained, experienced, and competent, or, when necessary, is assisted by someone who is, depending on the urgency of the problem and availability and accessibility of alternative providers.

◆ Patients are assured access to, and continuity of, needed and appropriate ophthalmic care, which can be described as follows.

◆ The ophthalmologist treats patients with due regard to timeliness, appropriateness, and his or her own ability to provide such care.

◆ The operating ophthalmologist makes adequate provision for appropriate pre- and postoperative patient care.

◆ When the ophthalmologist is unavailable for his or her patient, he or she provides appropriate alternate ophthalmic care, with adequate mechanisms for informing patients of the existence of such care and procedures for obtaining it.

◆ The ophthalmologist refers patients to other ophthalmologists and eye care providers based on the timeliness and appropriateness of such referral, the patient's needs, the competence and qualifications of the person to whom the referral is made, and access and availability.
The ophthalmologist seeks appropriate consultation with due regard to the nature of the ocular or other medical or surgical problem. Consultants are suggested for their skill, competence, and accessibility. They receive as complete and accurate an accounting of the problem as necessary to provide efficient and effective advice or intervention, and in turn they respond in an adequate and timely manner. The ophthalmologist maintains complete and accurate medical records.

On appropriate request, the ophthalmologist provides a full and accurate rendering of the patient's records in his or her possession.

The ophthalmologist reviews the results of consultations and laboratory tests in a timely and effective manner and takes appropriate actions.

The ophthalmologist and those who assist in providing care identify themselves and their profession.

For patients whose conditions fail to respond to treatment and for whom further treatment is unavailable, the ophthalmologist provides proper professional support, counseling, rehabilitative and social services, and referral as appropriate and accessible.

Prior to therapeutic or invasive diagnostic procedures, the ophthalmologist becomes appropriately conversant with the patient's condition by collecting pertinent historical information and performing relevant preoperative examinations. Additionally, he or she enables the patient to reach a fully informed decision by providing an accurate and truthful explanation of the diagnosis; the nature, purpose, risks, benefits, and probability of success of the proposed treatment and of alternative treatment; and the risks and benefits of no treatment.

The ophthalmologist adopts new technology (e.g., drugs, devices, surgical techniques) in judicious fashion, appropriate to the cost and potential benefit relative to existing alternatives and to its demonstrated safety and efficacy.

The ophthalmologist enhances the quality of care he or she provides by periodically reviewing and assessing his or her personal performance in relation to established standards, and by revising or altering his or her practices and techniques appropriately.

The ophthalmologist improves ophthalmic care by communicating to colleagues, through appropriate professional channels, knowledge gained through clinical research and practice. This includes alerting colleagues of instances of unusual or unexpected rates of complications and problems related to new drugs, devices, or procedures.

The ophthalmologist provides care in suitably staffed and equipped facilities adequate to deal with potential ocular and systemic complications requiring immediate attention.

The ophthalmologist also provides ophthalmic care in a manner that is cost effective without unacceptably compromising accepted standards of quality.
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APPENDIX 2. INTERNATIONAL
STATISTICAL CLASSIFICATION OF
DISEASES AND RELATED HEALTH

Primary angle-closure disease includes the entity of primary angle-closure glaucoma and related entities with
the following ICD-10 classifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease Description</th>
<th>ICD-10 CM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary angle-closure glaucoma (PACG)</td>
<td>H40.20X-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute angle-closure glaucoma (AACC)</td>
<td>H40.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermittent angle closure (PAC or PACG)</td>
<td>H40.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic angle-closure glaucoma (PACG)</td>
<td>H40.221-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.222-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.223-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual stage of angle-closure glaucoma</td>
<td>H40.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anatomical narrow angle (PACS)</td>
<td>H40.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau iris syndrome</td>
<td>H21.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary angle closure (PAC) without glaucoma damage</td>
<td>H40.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H40.063</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AACC = acute angle-closure crisis; PAC = primary angle closure; PACG = primary angle-closure glaucoma; CM = Clinical Modification used in the United States; (–) = 0, stage unspecified; 1, mild stage; 2, moderate stage; 3, severe stage; 4, indeterminate stage; PACS = primary angle-closure suspect

Additional information for ICD-10 codes:

- Certain ICD-10 CM categories have applicable 7th characters. The applicable 7th character is required for all codes within the category, or as the notes in the Tabular List instruct. The 7th character must always be the 7th character in the data field. If a code that requires a 7th character is not 6 characters, a placeholder X must be used to fill in the empty characters.

- For bilateral sites, the final character of the codes in the ICD-10 CM indicates laterality. If no bilateral code is provided and the condition is bilateral, separate codes for both the left and right side should be assigned. Unspecified codes should be used only when there is no other code option available.

- When the diagnosis code specifies laterality, regardless of which digit it is found in (i.e., 4th digit, 5th digit, or 6th digit):
  - Right is always 1
  - Left is always 2
  - Bilateral is always 3
APPENDIX 3. ALGORITHM FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF PATIENTS WITH ACUTE ANGLE-CLOSURE CRISIS

Patient with presumed AACC

Medical therapy to break attack and prepare patient for laser iridotomy

View clear

no

Topical glycerin, compression, paracentesis, or iridoplasty to clear the view

yes

Evidence for secondary cause of acute IOP elevation (e.g., FMI, Intraocular tumor, ICE syndrome, etc.)

Treat pathology of secondary acute IOP elevation and lower IOP medically or surgically

Incisional iridectomy or cataract surgery & goniosynechialysis or trabeculectomy*

Laser peripheral iridoplasty or paracentesis

Prompt laser iridotomy

Delicate evidence for PACD mechanism of AACC

Schedule iridotomy in fellow eye if chamber angle is anatomically similar

IOP controlled

Follow-up with dark-room gonioscopy to assess adequacy of angle opening

IOP uncontrolled

Dark-room gonioscopy to assess other mechanisms of angle closure
Ascertain continued patency of iridotomy
Medical and surgical treatment (incisional iridotomy or cataract surgery & goniotomy or trabeculectomy) to lower IOP

AACC = acute angle-closure crisis, ICE = iridocorneal endothelial, IOP = intraocular pressure, NVI = neovascularization of the iris, PACD = primary angle closure disease

* Indicated for extensive synechial closure or optic nerve damage.
APPENDIX 4. LITERATURE SEARCHES FOR THIS PPP

Literature searches of the PubMed and Cochrane databases were conducted in March 2019; the search strategies are provided at www.aao.org/ppp. Specific limited update searches were conducted after June 2020.

("Cataract Extraction"[Mesh] OR "cataract surgery" OR "cataract surgical" OR cataract* OR lensectomy) AND ("Glaucoma, Angle-Closure"[Mesh] OR "angle closure")

("Cataract"[Mesh] OR cataract*) AND ("laser iridotomy" OR (laser[tiab] AND iridotom*[tiab]))


"Glaucoma, Angle-Closure"[mh] = 384 refs


RELATED ACADEMY MATERIALS

Basic and Clinical Science Course  Glaucoma (Section 10, 2019–2020)

Ophthalmic Technology Assessment – Free downloads available at www.aaojournal.org/content/OphthalmicTechnologyAssessment.
Swept-Source OCT for Evaluating the Lamina Cribrosa OTA (2019)
Spectral-Domain OCT: Helping the Clinician Diagnose Glaucoma OTA (2018)
Laser Peripheral Iridotomy in Primary Angle Closure OTA (2018)
Disinfection of Tonometers OTA (2017)
The Effect of Phacoemulsification on Intraocular Pressure in Glaucoma Patients OTA (2015)

Patient Education
Glaucoma Brochure (2020) (also available in Spanish)
Glaucoma Patient Education Video Collection (2015)
Laser Iridotomy Brochure (2019)
Eye Drops Brochure (2019)
Glaucoma Drainage Implant Brochure (2019)
Laser Iridotomy Brochure (2019)
Laser Trabeculoplasty Brochure (2019)
Trabeculectomy Brochure (2020)

Comprehensive Adult Medical Eye Evaluation (2020)
Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma Suspect (2020)
Vision Rehabilitation for Adults (2017)

Focal Points
Optical Coherence Tomography in Glaucoma Diagnosis (2017)
Update on Pseudoexfoliative Glaucoma (2019)
Surgical Management of Angle Closure Glaucoma (2018)
Clinical Applications of Major Glaucoma Trials (2018)
Microinvasive Glaucoma Surgery and Cataract Surgery Synergy (2018)
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