

TABLE 3 MACULAR HOLE SURGICAL OUTCOMES – NO PEEL VS. PEEL OF ILM

Study (Author, Year)	ILM Peeled/Not	% Macular Holes Closed
Margherio et al, ⁶⁶ 2000	No peel; n=59	92%
	Perifoveal tissue dissection; n=48	86%
Tognetto et al, ⁶⁷ 2006	No peel; n=527	89%
	Peel; n=1100	94%
Brooks, ⁵⁸ 2000	No peel; n=46	82% no peel (25 reopened)
	Peel; n=116	100% (no reopening)
Christensen et al, ⁶⁸ 2009	No peel; n=25	44%
	Peel with ICG; n=34	94%
	Peel with TB; n=18	89%
Lois et al, ⁶⁹ 2011	No peel; n=64	48%
	Peel; n=67	84%

ICG = indocyanine green; ILM = internal limiting membrane; TB = trypan blue